

*THE*  
*CHURCHMANSHIP*  
*OF*  
*JOHN WESLEY*

*JAMES H. RIGG, D.D.*



ISAAC FOOT

11

Digitized by the Internet Archive  
in 2007 with funding from  
Microsoft Corporation

THE  
CHURCHMANSHIP OF  
JOHN WESLEY,  
AND THE  
RELATIONS OF WESLEYAN METHODISM  
TO THE CHURCH OF ENGLAND.

By JAMES H. RIGG, D.D.,

AUTHOR OF 'MODERN ANGLICAN THEOLOGY,' 'ESSAYS FOR THE TIMES,'  
'THE LIVING WESLEY,' ETC.

NEW AND REVISED EDITION.

London:

PUBLISHED FOR THE AUTHOR AT THE  
WESLEYAN-METHODIST BOOK-ROOM;  
2, CASTLE-STREET, CITY-ROAD;  
AND 66, PATERNOSTER-ROW.

R

HAYMAN BROTHERS AND LILLY,  
PRINTERS,  
HATTON HOUSE, 113, FARRINGTON ROAD,  
LONDON, E.C.

## P R E F A C E.



THE pages which follow have not been written primarily for Wesleyans, but for non-Wesleyans, for general students of history, for students, in particular, of ecclesiastical history, for all who desire to understand the opinions and character of John Wesley, and the precise position and relations of Wesleyan Methodism among the ecclesiastical organisations and communities of England, and, especially, for the information of earnest Churchmen, those, most of all, who look with concern and uneasiness on the 'schismatic' position and tendencies of modern Methodism.

I have avoided, accordingly, the use of specifically Methodist phraseology, and, though myself a Wesleyan, have, except here and there at a point of application or final inference, written rather as a general student, outside of Methodism, might write, than as one using the freemasonry of phrase and allusion peculiar to the 'people called Methodists.' This explanation I make more for the sake of Methodist readers than of any others.

The volume itself is a new composite out of materials the greater part of which have already been published. The substance of about one-half appeared in the *Contemporary Review*, in September, 1876, as an article on 'The Churchmanship of John Wesley.' By the courtesy of Mr. Strahan, I am allowed to use it for the purpose of this volume. Most of the remainder had appeared in a former publication on the 'Relations of John Wesley and Wesleyan Methodism to the Church of England,' which was called forth by special circumstances eleven years ago, and of which two editions have been sold, but which will be superseded by the present volume.

As now re-cast, these materials constitute a small volume which, as I venture to hope, will have a permanent interest, and will conduce, not to division and controversy, but to settlement and peace so far as regards the Church of England and Wesleyan Methodism with their mutual relations.

But far deeper and more abiding than any inter-denominational or controversial interest is that which belongs to the natural history and growth of the character and opinions of one of the most remarkable men and of the most influential personalities that the modern world has known. If I have been able to



---

cast any new and steadfast light upon this subject, my little book will have some permanent value,

JAMES H. RIGG.

WESTMINSTER,

*December 10th, 1878.*

---

PREFACE TO THE PRESENT EDITION.

IN the present edition some corrections have been made of minor importance, to which I need not particularly refer, and one of considerable importance. Mr. Telford, in his life of John Wesley, lately published\* has proved that John Wesley was never in any sense a Moravian, and that the Fetter Lane Society, as he established it, was not a Moravian Society. I have consequently had to re-write some paragraphs in the third chapter of this volume. Elsewhere I have made a few changes or additions in order to bring the statistics and some of the references of the present edition up to date. The book, however, as a whole, remains unaltered. The argument is quite unaffected by such correc-

---

\* *The Life of John Wesley.* By the Rev. J. Telford, B.A. (Hodder and Stoughton.)

tions as I have made in this edition. The reader will please to remember, especially in reading the introductory chapter, that the volume was first published more than ten years back, and that much of it was written, and indeed published, several years earlier. I have not thought it necessary or desirable to alter the time-references generally so as to accommodate them to the present year. The case is the same as it was ten or twenty years ago. The situation has in no respect materially altered.

It is gratifying to know that the volume has not been written in vain. It has been recognised, and its argument accepted practically, if not with explicit acknowledgment, by some of the most distinguished recent authorities on the history of the Church of England since the rise of Methodism. There are still to be found a few writers who cavil, more or less, at its conclusions. But they do not meet its arguments, nor are they authorities on whom the standard historians of England or the English Church, now or hereafter, will rely for either opinion or information.

JAMES H. RIGG.

WESTMINSTER,

*Christmas Eve, 1886.*

# CONTENTS.

	PAGE
CHAPTER I.	
<i>INTRODUCTORY</i> . . . . .	1
CHAPTER II.	
<i>WESLEY'S CHURCHMANSHIP IN HIS EARLIER LIFE</i> . . . . .	18
PERIOD OF RITUALISTIC HIGH CHURCHMANSHIP, 1725- 1738—WESLEY'S CONVERSION.	
CHAPTER III.	
<i>THE REVOLUTION IN WESLEY'S ECCLESIASTICAL VIEWS AFTER HIS CONVERSION</i> . . . . .	52
SOME REMAINS OF HIGH CHURCH PRINCIPLES FOR SEVERAL YEARS—RITUALISM AND HIERARCHICAL SUPERSTITION FINALLY RENOUNCED AND ABANDONED — HIS CHURCHMANSHIP MUCH MORE BROAD THAN HIGH.	
CHAPTER IV	
<i>RELATIONS OF WESLEY, AS A METHODIST, AND OF PRIMITIVE METHODISM, WITH THE CHURCH OF ENGLAND</i> . . . . .	83
CHAPTER V.	
<i>THE POSITION OF WESLEYAN METHODISM IN REGARD TO THE CHURCH OF ENGLAND SINCE THE DEATH OF WESLEY.</i> . . . . .	110
<i>APPENDIX</i> . . . . .	124



THE CHURCHMANSHIP OF  
JOHN WESLEY,  
AND THE  
RELATIONS OF WESLEYAN METHODISM  
TO THE CHURCH OF ENGLAND.

---

CHAPTER I.  
*INTRODUCTORY.*

WESLEY'S opinions as to questions of ecclesiastical principle are interesting to the philosophical historian or biographer in their own right, as I trust the following pages will show. There are few more curious or more instructive studies in the development of character and opinion than the study of Wesley's mind as his views in regard to church principles and polity varied from period to period of his course, in accordance with the changes of his theological sympathies and opinions, until at length he settled down permanently into the position which he held for almost half a century at the head of

the Methodist Conference and of 'the people called Methodists'; during nearly the whole of which prolonged period his ecclesiastical opinions varied as little as his theology.

But, for another reason also, it is a matter of interest and importance that the history of Wesley's ecclesiastical opinions and the matured form which they permanently assumed during the later and much the longer period of his life, should be conclusively investigated and determined. It is in regard to this point, beyond any other, that Churchmen are accustomed to press Wesleyans, pleading against the modern position and claims of Methodism the opinions and authority of John Wesley. This aspect of the subject, accordingly, as possessing some polemical importance, is the one which excites the keenest present interest, although the other aspect—that which belongs to the province of the philosophical biographer or historian—is the one which possesses much the greater permanent and intrinsic importance.

In the following pages the subject will be regarded from both points of view. Some introductory remarks relating to the question in its inter-denominational and more controversial aspect will occupy the remainder of

this chapter, and will clear the way for the strictly historical chapters that are to follow.

One of the very noteworthy facts of the present age is the perseverance with which attempts are made, on the part of the Church by law established in England, to bring back the Wesleyan Methodists into communion with that Church. If, indeed, there was any possibility of such an attempt succeeding, nothing would be more natural than that it should be made. But the peculiarity of this case is, that there is no such possibility; that the reasons which prove the impossibility are clear, and absolutely decisive; that these reasons have been again and again set forth by the literary and connexional organs of Wesleyan Methodism, and by individual writers of eminence and authority; and that the overtures and attempts on the part of the Established Church appear to have been made without any encouragement whatever from the expressed sentiments of any known Wesleyan, whether in speaking or in writing. It is yet more remarkable that in the letters, the pamphlets, and the discussions in Convocation, relating to this subject, the question is never raised as to how the Wesleyans have received former overtures, or whether their authorities have

---

ever pronounced upon this subject of reunion with the Church of England. It seems as if this were a point not worth inquiring about. The *Wesleyan Magazine*, the *London Quarterly Review*, the *Watchman* newspaper, have repeatedly, during the last twenty years, discussed this subject in detail with complete frankness, with an explicitness and fulness which could leave no doubt on any point; but all this is ignored. The venerable Thomas Jackson, a Methodist of the elder school, was not less explicit nearly forty years ago in his pamphlet, *Why are You a Wesleyan Methodist?* than the Rev. W. Arthur was twenty years ago in the pages of the quarterly journal to which I have just referred, in denying, with ample reasons assigned, that there can be for the Church of England any place for repentance in regard to its rejection of Methodism from its borders, or for Methodism itself any possibility of organic union with the Church of England. And yet it would seem as if almost every Churchman regarded it as a thing of course, that, if the Church to which he belongs can but show the way to attach Methodism to itself as a privileged dependency, Methodism will only be too happy to be absorbed. The leading ministers, it seems to be imagined, would feel it to be a wonderful elevation and



---

consolation to themselves, if episcopal hands could be laid on their heads; the ministerial commonalty would be content to abdicate their pastoral character, and subside into preaching laymen—constituting a kind of subdiaconate—provided only that the hope of attaining to ordination might rise before them in the distance; the people, like sequacious sheep, would *en masse* humbly follow their preachers into the Anglican fold; trustees would make no question or scruple about the deeds by which the chapels are secured to the Wesleyan Connexion, and for the ministrations of Wesleyan itinerant preachers; the Methodism of England would be more than content, for the sake of union with the ancient and established Church of this English realm, the territorial and endowed and decorated Church of the Queen and Parliament, to sever itself from union and communion with the Methodism of all countries besides, and thus to mar the integrity of the greatest sisterhood of evangelical churches which the world has known; nay, would be ready to isolate itself, as the Church of England is isolated, from the entire family of Reformed Christian churches of every nation; and Parliament would be forward to dispose of all legal difficulties, and to ease the way to the re-union and absorption desired.

~~~~~

All this, I repeat, is very surprising, and, on the whole, by no means flattering to the self-respect of the Wesleyan Connexion. It is as though a fashionable gentleman of noble family and extensive property had again and again sought the hand of a lady of middle rank and of country breeding, but of good looks and good property, and notwithstanding repeated and most decisive refusals, still persisted in his overtures with bland assumption, as if no denial had been given to his suit, or rather continued to write letters of inquiry as to the time, the place, and all other arrangements for the marriage, as though his rejection by the lady were a thing inconceivable, as if her refusal of such a personage as himself had been a mere ignorant mistake which could never be allowed to stand.\*

I recommend Churchmen in general, who feel any interest in this subject, for their own sakes to procure Mr. Jackson's and Mr. Arthur's excellent publications, of which the titles will be found in the Appendix at the

\* The passage in the text was first published *before* Dr. Pusey addressed his letter to the Rev. J. Bedford, the President of the Wesleyan Conference, during its Session in 1868, and before the cartoon and verses relating to that letter and to the answer of the Conference had appeared in a facetious contemporary journal.

---

end of this volume. I may also be allowed to quote here, for the sake of Churchmen, my own deliberate testimony, printed in 1866, in a volume entitled *Essays for the Times*. 'I have no hesitation,' I then said, 'in saying that there is not the remotest possibility of the Wesleyan Methodist Church ever being absorbed in the Church of England. And I doubt whether out of the many hundreds of Wesleyan ministers, and of the hundreds of thousands of Wesleyan communicants, there are altogether a score of persons who would not smile with supreme amusement if such a proposal were presented to them.' \*

Let me not be misunderstood. Neither myself, nor any of the authorities to whom I have referred, must be supposed to be indifferent to the question of Christian union. If the proposal were in very deed one for drawing close the bonds of union between Christians of all denominations, or for establishing, as the late Dean Alford and as Dean Stanley have sought to establish, intercommunion on equal and fraternal terms between the Church of England and Nonconformist churches, the Wesleyan Church included, all that might be done in favour of it would be gladly done by such men as the late

\* *Essays, &c.*, p. 1, 2. See also a very powerful paper in the *Wesleyan Magazine* for June, 1856.

Mr. Jackson and as Mr. Arthur, and, I hope I may add, by myself. But what has been so often talked of in Church Congress or Convocation, what has been suggested in so many letters and pamphlets, is not any union of churches, as such, or any fraternal recognition or intercommunion between the Episcopalian clergy and the pastors of Wesleyan or other Nonconformist churches, or anything, in a word, which would imply an acknowledgment of non-episcopal denominations as true Christian churches, or Nonconformist ministers as true ministers of Christ, but merely the reabsorption of the denominations into the Established Church, by the submission of their clergy to pass under the yoke of ecclesiastical dependence, and by the subordination of all the rules and privileges of the denominations to the clerical assumptions and prerogatives of the high Episcopalian theory. The bearing of the advocates of what is called reunion towards the Greek Church is most deferential, not to say obsequious; in this case what is contemplated is a real reunion of churches on terms of honour and equality for both parties, and without disbanding or subordination on the part of either. The proposals on the part of the Church of England in regard to Wesleyan Methodism, which have from time to time

---

been brought forward, are altogether of a different character. If Wesleyans accordingly regard them with an interest which, although not unkindly, is rather critical than cordial or grateful, they must not on that account be condemned as indifferent to the question of Christian union. None long for such union more ardently than they—perhaps none are so favourably situated for realising it; if only the obstacles which arise from error and misconception as to the principles and feelings of the Methodist ministers and people can be removed.

That such obstacles should be removed is, however, of the highest importance. At present Wesleyans are apt to feel themselves affronted by the condescending overtures of Churchmen. When the latter intimate their hopes of a closer union with Wesleyans, Wesleyans themselves understand that the dissolution of their church-existence is held in view; and Wesleyan ministers who use any expression of friendly regard towards the Church of England, or even towards particular efforts and undertakings connected with that Church, are liable to be annoyed and humiliated by finding their friendliness construed into a willingness to see the way made open for their return into the ‘bosom of the Establishment.’ I have undertaken the discussion, at this time,

of the question of reunion, or absorption, as it respects Wesleyans in particular, in the hope that I may set it at rest by a fundamental investigation, and to show that the aims and hopes of the well-meaning men who are perpetually raising it rest upon a tissue of fallacies and illusions.

Why do Churchmen perpetually single out Wesleyan Methodism from among the denominations as the one to be absorbed? Its mass and unity, no doubt, attract their admiration. Congregational churches could only be annexed one by one; Congregational pastors would hardly be likely to go over in a mass. But Methodism acts collectively: and, if it could be imagined that the body as a whole, under the leading of the Conference, could be brought back into the Church of England, of course the gain would be immense, especially in these times of schism and distraction within that Church. But might it not naturally occur to Churchmen that the vaster the system, the more highly organised in its unity, the more complete in its manifold integrity, the more massive in the bulk of property which it holds, the more multitudinous in its aggregate of churches and congregations, so much the more improbable it must be that it could ever be brought to consent



to be reduced by absorption to the condition of a mere dependency within a church, with its pastors, except such as might have received the privilege of re-ordination, reduced to the rank of laydeacons, and its various, and independent life suppressed within the limits of a mere ecclesiastical order, sanctioned in its irregularity, but inferior and subordinate, subject to Anglo-episcopal control, and adjusted in some way to the framework of the Established Church, with its antique system of prescription and stereotype?

In 1856, indeed, the self-constituted committee for promoting the absorption of Methodism into the Church of England took encouragement from the fact that Methodists have never professed themselves Dissenters; and it is likely that the same consideration has still some weight with Churchmen. The reasons, however, for the intermediate position occupied by Methodism are sufficiently plain. Methodism did not originate in any active Dissent, and does not now require of its members, or even its ministers, any profession of Dissent, from the Church of England. It grew into a separate body, almost unconsciously, and very reluctantly, by a process of separate development, the steps of which I shall presently enumerate. Neither does Methodism

understand that it is any part of its duty to profess any polemic principle, or to assume any offensive or critical position in regard to the Establishment, or any other Christian communion. In the eye of the law the Methodists may be regarded as Dissenters; but they raise, as Methodists, no question of political relation, or of ritual or doctrine, as regards the Church by law established. A day may come when the connection between Church and State in this country will be at an end. The designation 'Dissenters' will then be out of date and out of place—(there are no Dissenters in America)—but the positive principles of Wesleyan Methodism will still remain.

It is a fact, indeed, that, some years ago, a distinguished Methodist minister gave evidence before a Committee of the House of Lords in favour of Church-rates, and incidentally also in support of the Church of England, as an eminently valuable religious and political institution. But it must be remembered that that evidence was given on the sole and personal responsibility of the witness. I have elsewhere set down my own assurance, that 'undoubtedly the great majority of Wesleyans were passively opposed to Church-rates; they heartily disliked them, although few of them



may have joined in any agitation against them.’\* And in an able and temperate paper published in the *Wesleyan Magazine* for April, 1868, and understood to be from the pen of the distinguished minister to whom I have referred, which deals with the very subject of ‘The Union of the Methodists with the Church of England,’ I find the following passage :—

‘We hope it now appears that in every point of view these proposals for union are impracticable, ill-considered, and inexpedient. If those who make them would expend their time and talent in maintaining the Protestant character of the Established Church, they would do far more (though indirectly) towards accomplishing their object than by any such overtures as we have lately heard of. They would conciliate the feelings of many now grieved, beyond expression, at the unfaithfulness of those who claim to be the only authorised guides and instructors of the English people. Another method of usefulness in the same direction is open to them. They may, in their several neighbourhoods, treat their Methodist neighbours with gentleness and consideration, and respect their legal rights and liberties. Many of the clergy appear to think a Methodist preacher a being almost beneath notice, and debarred from the courtesies of society. Union in a smaller sphere they do not contemplate, though they talk about it on a large scale. Wisdom, however, would reverse this course of proceeding, and proceed from less to more. Nothing is lost by civility; something may be gained by it.’

\* *Essays, &c.*, p. 7.

There can be no greater mistake, indeed, than to suppose that there is, or ever has been, at least in the present generation, any party within Methodism, whether of ministers or among the people, who have felt the slightest concern as to union with the Church of England. Such a union has been regarded as simply out of the question. There has never been within my knowledge the faintest movement in its favour. It was a complaint of Mr. Crowther,\* in 1795, that the ‘children of Methodists, alas! too seldom grow up Methodists.’ It is not an uncommon complaint of Methodists to-day that their children, when they grow up, migrate into the Church of England. On the whole, however, the attachment of Methodists to their own denomination is firmer now than at any former period. And, so far as I am able to judge, the number of young persons brought up among the Congregationalist Nonconformists who pass across into the ranks of the more fashionable and ecclesiastically open and undisciplined State Church, is not smaller than of the children of Methodists. So, also, the number of ministers now in the Church of England who received their early training (in some instances

\* The elder Jonathan Crowther, one of Wesley’s preachers.

---

as ministerial candidates) in the ranks of Congregational Dissent is probably larger in proportion than of those who passed their early years in Methodism. It is scarcely to be questioned that more ministers of mature age and respectable position pass over to the Church of England from the Independents and Baptists than from the Methodists. The Rev. George Venables, in his paper on Non-conformists and the Church, read before the Church Congress of 1867, speaks, in a series of notes, of a 'Dissenter of high position, who wishes to conform,' to whom he is indebted for 'courteous letters' on the subject; of a 'Nonconformist of position and ability,' to whom he had written, and 'who would join the Communion of the Church at once, but by no means alone, if one or two suggested explanations were given;' of a 'Nonconformist minister,' with whom he has been in correspondence, 'who desires to cease his Nonconformity, if only some fair opportunity were given him by the Church'; of 'a very eminent Dissenting minister' (now deceased), who 'told him that if he had his time over again, he would be ordained in the Church of England.' This minister, it may be probably inferred from an allusion on a foregoing page of Mr. Venables' paper, was the late Rev. John

Clayton; and Mr. Venables professes to be 'rather intimately acquainted with Dissent (though never connected with it), and to have been at one time well known to a few of its eminent ministers.' Now, it is to be noted that we never hear of such confidential communications as these having been made by Wesleyan ministers to clergymen of the Church of England.

I imagine, however, that that which most powerfully influences clergymen in their advances upon the Methodist Church is the consideration that John Wesley, till his death, considered himself as belonging to the Church of which he was ordained a minister, and wished and urged his people, as far as possible, to attend her services and take part in her communion. It is hence inferred that Methodists ought to be members of the Church of England, if they duly revere their founder's memory and precepts, and that there can be no insuperable difficulty in effecting their return. The inference, indeed, is eminently fallacious. The Methodists were forced by circumstances to widen the separation, already in reality radical, although in extent only partial and in appearance not very considerable, which, sorely against his will, Wesley had been obliged to make between his societies and the Church of

---

England. Half a century ago, this separation may be said to have become universal and complete, and fifty years of growth since that time must needs have built up a system which cannot now be folded back within the precincts of the Church of England. 'New bottles' have been provided for the 'new wine'; to attempt to pour it back into the 'old bottles' would be insanity. Still the fallacy I have spoken of does prevail. Mr. Wesley's principles and conduct, and the relations of Methodism to the Church of England during the later years of Wesley, are, indeed, altogether misunderstood. One object of this publication is to dispose effectually of the assumptions and illusions of clergymen on this subject. The evidence offered in the historical investigation which forms the substance of the following chapters will, I hope, set at rest the questions that have hitherto been debated respecting the churchmanship of John Wesley.

## CHAPTER II.

### *WESLEY'S CHURCHMANSHIP IN HIS EARLIER LIFE.*

PERIOD OF RITUALISTIC HIGH CHURCHMANSHIP,  
1725-1738—WESLEY'S CONVERSION.

THE Methodism of to-day will never be understood until the history of its founder is rightly understood; and neither the history of Wesley himself, nor the character of his life-work, can ever be understood until it is recognised that his life was divided into two distinct, and in many respects sharply-contrasted, periods—the period preceding and the period following the spring of 1738. The opinions of his earlier years have often been attributed to him as his permanent convictions and principles, although he had abandoned them fifty years before his death, while the real principles which guided all his course as the founder of Methodism have apparently never been apprehended at all by many who have undertaken to pronounce on the subject both of Wesley himself and of the community which he founded. It is my purpose in the present volume to exhibit as clearly as I can what Wesley was, as a Churchman, before the



~~~~~  
turning-point in his history, and what he afterwards became, and to indicate also, at least in part, how the Methodism which he founded has been moulded, and its ecclesiastical position determined, by the principles which he adopted after the spring of 1738.

Wesley's parents were of the Church of England and were High Church: but their ancestors, so far back as we can trace, had been Puritans: in the last generation indeed had been Nonconformists. The Wesleys or Westleys, were a line of Puritan clergymen in Wessex (Dorsetshire seems to have been their centre), men of decided views, but of liberal culture, Oxford being their hereditary University. Both the father and the grandfather of the Epworth rector had suffered for their opinions, the father in particular (John Westley) having been much harassed, and more than once imprisoned. Susanna Wesley, the rector's wife, came of a courtly and town-bred race of Puritan clergy; and her father, Dr. Annesley, though a leader among the Nonconformists, seems to have suffered little personal hardship. Both the rector and his wife, however, had in their early youth abandoned Puritanism, or rather Dissent. Mr. Wesley became a strict, but yet, like many of the clergy of that period, a time-serving Churchman, the

colour of whose ecclesiastical opinions always matched the shade which for the time found favour at Court. He was naturally much disliked by the Dissenters as a deserter from their camp, and, in his earlier life, he returned their dislike in full; but, in his old age, he and they appear to have come to something like friendly terms. Wesley's mother, on the other hand, was throughout life a Jacobite High-Churchwoman, whose ecclesiastical creed was a matter of passionate sentiment and affection, and was cherished as warmly under Low Church William as during Queen Anne's High Church *régime*. Both parents were strict disciplinarians: the rector in his parish, where loose livers were taught to fear the Church courts; and the mother in her family, where, however, she seems to have united, in a remarkable manner, at least for that age, the minimum of punishment with the maximum of authority and order. Y

Coming from such a stock, and having been bred up in habits of frugal stint as well as strict discipline at home, and of patient and hardy endurance at the Charterhouse School, Wesley went to Oxford in 1720, and, having taken orders in 1725, appears to have begun his clerical course as a moderate theologian. His first theological counsellors were,



naturally, his parents. The rector was never a High Church theologian, whatever ecclesiastical line he might, at certain periods, take in Convocation. Nor did his mother's Jacobitism affect her theology. She believed in the divine right of kings, and probably also of bishops, but she did not accept the theology of Laud or of Sheldon. From the first the younger Wesley rejected Calvinism, and insisted also that Christians in a state of salvation must be happily conscious of the fact. He distinctly rejected also the doctrine, extensively held at that day, of the 'conversion of the elements,' the modern 'Anglo-Catholic' doctrine of the 'real presence.' He said, twenty years later, of his own preaching and belief at this time (1725—1729)—

'I preached much, but saw no fruit of my labour. Indeed it could not be that I should, for I neither laid the foundation of repentance nor of preaching the Gospel, taking it for granted that all to whom I preached were believers, and that many of them needed no repentance.'\*

In 1729, however, having been deeply impressed by reading Law's *Serious Call*, Wesley joined the original Methodist company at Oxford, which, during his absence in Lincolnshire, where he had been serving his father's rectory

\* *Works*, viii., p. 450.

of Wroote (held with Epworth), had been founded by his brother Charles and by some other University men, chiefly undergraduates. From this time Wesley's doctrines became more intense and more severe. He laid now, as he himself wrote in 1746,\* 'a deeper foundation of repentance,' but he knew nothing as yet of the 'evangelical' doctrines of 'reconciliation' and 'justification.' He insisted—following in this his teacher Law—on a high standard of religious consecration and personal holiness, both active and passive. He presently united with these views not a little of the High Church doctrine and discipline.

Mr. Tyerman's interesting volume, entitled *The Oxford Methodists*, enables us to trace with great distinctness the stages by which Wesley's religious earnestness developed into punctilious and ascetic ritualism. William Morgan, one of the very first Methodists—a true Celtic devotee—was an intense ascetic. Wesley learnt his asceticism from Morgan, who, however, died in 1732. In the same year John Clayton joined the Methodist company. From his example, and the influence of the friends to whom he introduced Wesley, especially Dr. Deacon, a learned High Church Jacobite, of repute in his day, Wesley seems to have im-

\* *Works*, viii., p. 451.

bibed what would now be called ritualism in an extreme form. Mr. Tyerman publishes a curious correspondence with Clayton, which brings this out very clearly. The close intimacy of Wesley and Clayton covers the interval 1732-- 1735, and their warm friendship lasted till 1738, when Wesley adopted the doctrines of 'salvation by faith.' Through Clayton and Deacon, Wesley was led for a time to follow the guidance in matters ecclesiastical of the pretended *Apostolical Constitutions*. Clayton, as well as Deacon, was an intense Jacobite. We have already noted that Wesley's mother was a Jacobite Churchwoman.

But there was another influence besides that of ritualism which told powerfully upon Wesley between 1732 and 1735, the influence of the Mystics, to whose fellowship he had been introduced through William Law. Law was himself a Jacobite of the most extreme type—a Nonjuror ; this fact may have helped his influence over the minds of such men as Clayton, and, in some measure also, over that of Wesley. The main cause, however, of his influence over Wesley was undoubtedly his *Serious Call* and his *Christian Perfection*, both of which are books of extraordinary power—the former having been the means of profoundly impressing the mind not only of Wesley but of Dr.

Johnson. Once established, Law's influence thoroughly penetrated Wesley, so that for some years Law was to him as an oracle.

Wesley was already an ascetic, when in 1732, several years after reading the *Serious Call*, he first paid a personal visit to Law; he was also fast drifting into extreme ritualism. Nevertheless, the influence of Law must, on the whole, have been contrary to that of Clayton, and was no doubt really more profound, although for some years ritualism appeared to have the ascendancy. Mysticism is often < united with asceticism, with which indeed it would seem to have a natural affinity, but it is unquestionably opposed to a servile ritualism. Whether Mystic or Ritualist, Wesley was ascetic, and ascetic he remained after he had forsworn the fellowship alike of his early oracle Law and of his Jacobite High Church guide Clayton. Law recommended Wesley to read Tauler's works, the *Theologia Germanica*, and other similar writings. In reading them he must have found himself in a very different atmosphere from that of the *Apostolical Constitutions*.

Wesley has himself furnished us with an instructive comparative summary of the effects produced on his own mind and character, on the one hand by the acceptance of patristic

traditionalism, as held by Clayton and his fellows, and on the other hand, by yielding to the spell of Law's mystical teachings.

On January 25th, 1738, on his voyage from Georgia, he wrote in a private paper :

'I bent the bow,' he says, 'too far the other way :  
1. By making Antiquity a co-ordinate rather than subordinate rule with Scripture. 2. By admitting several doubtful writings, as undoubted evidences of Antiquity. 3. By extending Antiquity too far, even to the middle or end of the fourth century. 4. By believing more practices to have been universal in the ancient Church than ever were so. 5. By not considering that the Decrees of one Provincial Synod, could bind only that Province ; and the Decrees of a general Synod, only those Provinces whose representatives met therein. 6. By not considering that the most of those Decrees were adapted to particular times and occasions ; and consequently, when those occasions ceased, must cease to bind even those Provinces.' 'These considerations,' Wesley adds, 'insensibly stole upon me as I grew acquainted with the mystic writers, whose noble descriptions of union with God and internal religion made everything else appear mean, flat, and insipid. But in truth they make good works appear so too ; yea, and faith itself, and what not ? '\*

Under this singular combination of influences, partly ritualistic, partly mystical, and whether ritualistic or mystical, always ascetic, Wesley remained during his 'Methodist' life

\* *Whitehead's Wesley*, ii., 56.

—his University and pre-evangelical Methodist life—at Oxford. It was during this precise period that he was in love and in correspondence with the fascinating and celebrated Mrs. Pendarves, afterwards Mrs. Delany, a most interesting episode in his history, shedding a curious and unexpected light on his primary disposition and character. I have sketched this episode in one of the chapters of another volume,\* but must not dwell upon it here.

Wesley himself, a few years afterwards, describes his manner of life at this time as a ‘refined way of trusting to his own works and his own righteousness,’ and says that he ‘dragged on heavily, finding no help or comfort therein.’† He also speaks very distinctly as to the nature of the struggle within him between mysticism and a scrupulous practical conscience.

< ‘Though,’ he says, ‘I could never fully come into this [the quietude of mysticism] nor contentedly omit what God enjoined; yet, I know not how, I fluctuated between obedience and disobedience. I had no heart, no vigour, no zeal in obeying; continually doubting whether I was right or wrong, and never out of perplexities and entanglements. Nor can I at this hour give a distinct account how I came back a little toward the right way; only my present sense is this—all the other enemies of Christianity are triflers; the Mystics

\* *The Living Wesley.*

† *Works*, i., p. 94.



are the most dangerous of its enemies. They stab it in the vitals; and its most serious professors are most likely to fall by them. May I praise Him who hath snatched me out of this fire likewise, by warning all others, that it is set on fire of hell!' \*

So Wesley wrote in the beginning of 1738, on his return from America, but before he had fully embraced the doctrines of 'salvation by faith' as afterwards expounded by himself and his followers. It appears to have been during his residence in America that Wesley finally broke with mysticism. There can be no doubt, however, that the element of truth in the mystical teaching remained by him; and that his philosophy and theology were permanently elevated and enriched through the familiarity which he had gained with some, at least, of the writers to whom Law had introduced him, as well as through the direct influence of Law himself.

One conclusion results from all the evidence on this subject, viz., that though Wesley was a High Church Ritualist at Oxford, he was never a fully-persuaded or single-minded Ritualist. With him it was during these years a struggle between ritualism and mysticism; and that struggle was not finally ended until he found in the personal Christian faith of an

\* *Whitehead's Wesley*, vol. ii., p. 57.

evangelical Arminian Churchman that which, to him at least, afforded the real and abiding rest which mystic quietism could but simulate; until he came under the sway of that 'law of the Spirit of life in Christ Jesus' which kept alive the continual spirit of devotion, and sustained from within that outward service of Christian worship and beneficence which he had previously struggled to maintain in full energy by an almost unintermitted round of self-imposed observances and duties.

No part of Wesley's life is more interesting to the student of character and its development, or to one who desires to trace the actual growth of his opinions, than that which immediately followed this period at Oxford—the interval, namely, which he passed in America and on the voyages to and fro. Here, again, I must abstain from more than a passing reference to his relations with an attractive woman, to his celebrated 'affair of the heart' with Miss Hopkey in Georgia. Of this subject also I have written in my volume on *The Living Wesley*. It is needful, however, to mention the fact that Wesley's failure to prosecute his love-suit with Miss Hopkey involved him in an ecclesiastical law-suit, and was to him the beginning of troubles in the colony. Enemies rose up against him, and he was publicly indicted as



having been guilty of sundry illegal and injurious acts of ecclesiastical despotism. The law-suit entirely broke down, but the indictment, taken in connection with his own admissions and comments, instructs us as to the character of his churchmanship at this period. The resemblance of his practices to those of modern High Anglicans is, in not a few points, exceedingly striking. He had early and also forenoon service every day; he divided the morning service, taking the Litany as a separate service; he inculcated fasting, and weekly communion, requiring those who intended to take the sacrament to prepare themselves for its reception by taking private counsel with himself;\* he refused the Lord's

\* It is perhaps not quite certain that Wesley at this or at any time made private confession to the priest as a preparation for the Lord's Supper a part of his fixed discipline. Some evidence on the subject may be found in Tyerman's *Oxford Methodists*, especially in his account of the Jacobite churchman, Mr. Clayton, and in Tyerman's *Life of Wesley*, from which (vol. i. p. 94) is taken the following extract of a letter from his sister Emilia to himself. The extract shows at least that at one period he had put pressure upon his sister to use confession, and the evidence in the *Oxford Methodists* shows that the friends at the University made such a familiar use of the word *confessor* in speaking of persons of their acquaintance as implied that the practice of private confession in their circles was customary. Emilia Wesley writes, 'To lay open the state of my soul to you, or any of our clergy, is what I have no inclination to do at present; and

Supper to all who had not been baptized by a minister episcopally ordained; he insisted on baptism by immersion; he rebaptized the children of Dissenters; and he refused to bury all who had not received episcopalian baptism. One main point, however, was wanting to make the parallel with our moderns complete; there is no evidence that he believed in the 'conversion of the elements' by consecration, or in their doctrine of the real presence.\* Indeed, I shall very soon show that at no time did he believe this tenet of Anglican Neopopery. He was of course at this time a believer in the 'three orders' of the priesthood and in apostolical succession. I may add to my picture some touches from the pen of Thomas Jackson, the author of *The Life of Charles Wesley*, and the very accurate editor of Wesley's works, who in his introduction to I believe I never shall. I shall not put my conscience under the direction of mortal man frail as myself. To my own Master I stand or fall. Nay, I scruple not to say that all such desire in you or any other ecclesiastic seems to me like Church tyranny, and assuming to yourselves a dominion over your fellow creatures which was never designed you by God.'

\* Under date February 28th, 1732, Wesley thus writes to his mother: 'One consideration is enough to make me assent to his (*i.e.* his father's) and your judgment concerning the holy sacrament; which is, that we cannot allow Christ's human nature to be present in it, without allowing either CON- or TRANS-substantiation.' *Works*, xii., p. 13.

Lockwood's *Life of Peter Böhler*, tells us that in Georgia Wesley 'turned his face to the East when he recited the creed, most probably mixed the sacramental wine with water, prayed standing on Whit-Sunday, and certainly deemed himself a Sacrificing Priest.'

It is well known that Wesley refused the Lord's Supper to one of the most exemplary Christians in the colony, John Martin Bolzius, the pastor of the Salzburgers, because he had not been, as Wesley insisted, canonically baptized. His entry in his journal in reference to this matter, written many years later, is very noteworthy. It ends with the words, 'Can anyone carry High Church zeal higher than this? And how well have I since been beaten with mine own staff!'<sup>\*</sup> In regard to this matter there is the following entry in Wesley's unpublished journal under date, Sunday, July 17th, 1737 :—'I had occasion to make a very unusual trial of the temper of Mr. Bolzius, in which he behaved with such lowliness and meekness as became a disciple of Jesus Christ.'

With all his High Church intolerance, however, Wesley in Georgia was inwardly melting. His intercourse with Moravians, on the voyage out and in the colony, deeply impressed him. He did not admire or approve

<sup>\*</sup> *Journal*, September 29th, 1749.

all their peculiarities, but they seemed to have found the rest which he had so long sought in vain. He remarked with special admiration that they were delivered even from the fear of death—a fear which continually overcast Wesley at this time of his life, although afterwards he was so completely delivered from it. He learnt something also, in Georgia, from the Lutheran Salzburgers. Moreover, he attended a Presbyterian service at Darien, and there, to his great astonishment, heard the minister offer a devout and appropriate extempore prayer. He was present at a meeting of the clergy of the neighbouring province of South Carolina, at which, he says in his diary, ‘there was such a conversation, for several hours, on “Christ our Righteousness and Example,” with such seriousness and closeness as I never heard in England, in all the visitations I have been present at.’

‘I entirely agree with you,’ thus he wrote to a friend, ‘that religion is love, and peace, and joy in the Holy Ghost; that as it is the happiest, so it is the cheerfulest thing in the world; that it is utterly inconsistent with moroseness, sourness, severity, and indeed whatever is not according to the softness, sweetness, and gentleness of Christ Jesus. I believe it is equally contrary to all preciseness, stiffness, affectation, and unnecessary singularity. I allow, too, that prudence, as well as zeal, is of the most importance in the Christian life. But I do

not yet see any possible case wherein trifling conversation can be an instance of it. . . . I am not for an austere manner of conversing. No; let all the cheerfulness of faith be there, all the joyfulness of hope, all the amiable sweetness, the winning easiness of love. If we must have art, "Hæc mihi erunt artes."\*

It was, in fact, the gradual rise within him, even in Georgia, of evangelical views and sympathies—I need hardly say that I use the word *evangelical* in no narrow sense—which slowly dispelled the mystical confusion that had so long beclouded him. During the latter part, at any rate, of his American experience, it is evident that underneath the outward rule both of rubrical observance and of ascetic discipline, which was maintained with such unrelenting severity—and, perhaps, with all the more severity because of his inward rebellion against the yoke—there was welling up within him a fountain of true Christian self-knowledge and a passionate longing after a new life.

He left Georgia 'a sadder and a wiser man' than he had entered it. His voyage home was a season of sorrowful review and self-searching. He had good right, indeed, to feel humbled. As yet he appeared to have failed in everything he had had at heart since

\* Tyerman's *Life of Wesley*, vol. i., p. 138.

he took his fellowship. At Oxford he had much personal influence, but his labours there seemed to have borne but little permanent fruit. He had left no 'school of the prophets' behind him at the University. His career in Georgia had been an almost ignominious failure. His bitter confessions, written during the voyage home, and immediately after his return, are well known. 'One thing I have learnt in the ends of the earth, that I who went to America to convert the Indians was never converted myself.'

Wesley himself, in after-life, took a less severe view of his own case and character at this period. He would hardly, in 1770, have maintained, as he affirmed in 1738, that he was an unconverted man during all the time he was in Georgia. But to his life's end he held that he was in many and important respects an unenlightened man, and that he was wanting in that filial and evangelical faith and in that spiritual power which belong to the character and experience of a Christian in the highest sense of the word. Nor is it possible to understand in the least his after-life, unless it be apprehended that in 1738 a vital and critical change passed on his experience, and one which transformed, in many ways, his character for all his following course.



Already, before he had landed at Deal on February 1st, 1738, he had definitively adopted the doctrine of 'salvation by faith.' In this respect, his voyage home, with his unbroken and solitary reflections on all that he had seen and learnt during the two years which had passed since his leaving England, seems to have borne decisive fruit. But until he met with Böhler—which, however, was very soon after he landed,—he still regarded faith as largely a question of creeds and of the intellect. Böhler taught, on the contrary, that faith was critically and essentially a moral act and habit of the heart and soul, exercised through the help of the Divine Spirit, and bearing no necessary *ratio* or relation whatever to the *quantum* of a man's creed, or to any intellectual process or attainment. Having once accepted this doctrine, Wesley never afterwards forgot it.

I know of no writer who has shown more insight in dealing with this part of Wesley's history than Miss Wedgwood. It is remarkable that, standing distinctly apart from the theology of Wesley, she has yet appreciated so justly the spiritual *momenta* of his development as a man of faith and power.

'Wesley's homeward voyage in 1738,' says Miss Wedgwood, 'marks the conclusion of his High Church

2 period. He abated nothing of his attachment to the ordinances of the Church, either then or to the last day of his life; and he did not so soon reach that degree of independence of her hierarchy and some of her rules which marks his farthest point of divergence; but his journals during this voyage chronicle for us that deep dissatisfaction which is felt wherever an earnest nature wakes up to the incompleteness of a traditional religion; and his after-life, compared with his two years in Georgia, makes it evident that he passed at this time into a new spiritual region. . . . "By Peter Böhler, in the hand of the great God," he writes in his journal, "I was, on March 5th, fully convinced of the want of that faith whereby we are saved." . . . But the reader cannot but ask, What is the meaning of this conversion? No candid person can read the account of Wesley's life before and after the change he so described and doubt that something did really happen then. . . . It is the most memorable of all events when any one wakes up to the conviction that besides all the men and women he sees round about him there is a Person who is not seen, but who is just as real as they, and an agent in a sense in which they are not; when he comes to feel that certain results are due to the will of God, not only in the sense in which any one must believe in it who believes in Him at all—that He is almighty, and could prevent it if He chose—but in that same direct, personal sense in which a man's lifting his hand is the result of his choosing to do so. It is literally and simply a new life. An element is come into the man's dealings with his fellow-men which alters everything, and which, in the words of one who will always be remembered among the best exponents of this change (St. Augustine), makes it delightful to escape from that which before it was unendurable to give up, and impossible to avoid that



which it was before impossible to do. . . . The witness that the direct influence of God upon the spirit of man was not confined to a remote past or a mysterious future, but was an actual fact in the lives of all who truly deserved the name of Christian, came home to Wesley and to many others of that day as the one force that was to bind a society together and to give new life to the individual soul. . . . The birthday of a Christian was shifted from his baptism to his conversion, and in that change the partition line of two great systems is crossed.'

Such is Miss Wedgwood's philosophical explanation, in general terms, of what took place at this crisis of Wesley's life. Wesleyans say—as Wesley himself would have said—that the explanation is so vague and general as to be essentially defective. There is not a word here of that deep sense and conviction of sin and helplessness which lay at the root of Wesley's conversion; nothing of the atoning work of Christ. Nevertheless, it is true as far as it goes; and it marks very justly the critical character of the change, of the 'conversion,' through which Wesley now passed.

At the first with Wesley, as with most men of that age, faith had meant the intellectual acceptance of the creeds, together with the submission of the will to the laws and services of the Church. Of course, after 1730, when his attention had been directed to the rubrics and to the teachings of tradition, his

faith, thus regarded, included in its scope much more of ecclesiastical observance than it did at an earlier period. Presently, to the two elements I have noted was added a third, that, viz., of intense contemplation with a view to realise the mystical union of the soul with God. This latter element, it must be observed was theosophical, not evangelical. In Georgia, however, the mystical ideas of contemplative union with God began to give place to the thought of spiritual union with Jesus Christ. Mysticism faded away by degrees—he himself says he could hardly tell how—and evangelical ideas and desires took possession of him instead. This grew and deepened during his voyage home. Still, until he met with Böhler, he had not embraced, scarcely, it would seem, had conceived, the idea of faith as being, in its main element, personal trust and self-surrender, as having for its central object the atonement of Jesus Christ, and as inspired and sustained by the supernatural aid and concurrence of the Holy Spirit. As yet faith in his view was a union of intellectual belief and of voluntary self-submission, acted out day by day, and hour after hour, in all the moralities of ordinary life, and in all the prescribed means and services of the Church, Christ being held in view as the Saviour of the race, and as

the Exemplar for all men. From this conception of faith the element of the supernatural was wanting, and equally that of personal trust for salvation on the atonement of Jesus Christ.

Now, the work of Peter Böhler was to convince Wesley that such faith as this was, after all, but a man's own work, the result of his own logic and will, and not in any sense the true vital faith of a Christian. Wesley, after many days of close controversy, was at length convinced that the Moravian was right. 'Mi frater, mi frater,' said Böhler, 'ista philosophia tua excoquenda est.' It was no easy matter to accomplish this task; but once effected, it seems to have been done for ever. Wesley confessed that Böhler's teaching was true Gospel teaching. He now believed himself for the first time to understand the words of St. Paul, when he said, 'I am crucified with Christ: nevertheless I live; yet not I, but Christ liveth in me, and the life which I now live in the flesh I live by the faith of the Son of God, who loved me, and gave Himself for me.' Such faith as this, and such only, he conceived could be said to be 'faith of the operation of the Holy Ghost.' Such faith he some years later described as 'the loving, obedient sight of a present and reconciled God.' He

believed himself now to understand for the first time the meaning of the apostle when he speaks of the inward kingdom of God as 'righteousness and peace, and joy in the Holy Ghost.'

If I have seemed somewhat to labour this point, it is because it is simply impossible to understand either Wesley's character or his course without understanding the critical and vital change which at this time passed upon him. Here ended really his High Church stage of life. Here began his life as an evangelist and a Church revivalist. All dates from his final and decisive acceptance of Böhler's teaching as to the nature of faith, and from that which followed on the 24th day of May, as described in his own words, when he 'felt his heart strangely warmed; felt that he did trust in Christ, Christ alone for salvation,' and had 'an assurance given him that Christ had taken away his sin, and saved him from the law of sin and death.' \*

\* This 'assurance' was what Wesley, in his mature and settled theology, spoke of as the 'Witness of the Spirit.' It was an inward persuasion of present acceptance and favour; but, unlike the Calvinistic 'assurance,' had no distinct reference to the final perseverance and acceptance of the believer. It was not for some years that Wesley's views as to the 'Witness of the Spirit,' its meaning, and its relations became definite

From this time forth Wesley was no longer characteristically a priest; his vocation was pre-eminently that of a preacher. He was never again to be a settled pastor; he was henceforth to be an itinerant evangelist. Though for some time yet he retained his rubrical scruples and punctilios as to the necessity of episcopalian baptism, and even went so far, on at least one occasion, contrary and settled. Moravian statements of experience seem at first to have left him in much perplexity. Here, in a note, I may refer to another specially Wesleyan doctrine, which it is beside my plan to discuss or analyse in the text—what Wesley was accustomed to speak of as ‘Christian perfection,’ or ‘perfect love.’ Wesley did not at all mean ‘sinless perfection.’ But his analysis of human nature seems to have been metaphysically inadequate; and for this, as well as other reasons, his statements of this doctrine often appear to be vague, if not sometimes inconsistent. There has always been some difference of view among Methodists, both as to the precise nature of Christian perfection and the manner or the stages of its attainment. John and Charles Wesley, indeed, did not always agree on the matter. In the main, however, this Wesleyan tenet must be regarded as a protest against extreme Calvinistic views, amounting sometimes to gross antinomian perversions, on the subject of ‘imputed righteousness’ and indwelling and abiding sin. A catena of eminent writers, beginning with Clement of Alexandria, and including both saintly Catholics and high mystics of different nations, have built on the teaching of St. John and St. Paul an exalted doctrine of Christian perfection.



< to the counsel of the Bishop of London, as to re-baptize Dissenters, yet henceforth the sacraments, according to his teaching, were to be regarded only as means and seals of grace, not as fountains of supernatural power, ministered by the hand of the priest.

It is remarkable, indeed, how very little is found on the subject of baptism in the fourteen volumes of Wesley's works. He revised and re-issued, under his own name, in 1756, the treatise on that subject which his father had published more than half a century before, < and which teaches 'baptismal regeneration' after the mildest type of the doctrine, and much as it had been taught by the Puritan divines of the Church of England. Elsewhere all that Wesley says on the subject, besides two sentences in his *Notes on the New Testament*, to which I refer in a note below, is in two sermons, and in his *Farther Appeal to Men of Reason and Religion*, and amounts < altogether to but a few lines. He allows that infants are made children of God in baptism. 'It is certain,' he says, 'our Church supposes that all who are baptized in their infancy are at the same time born again; and it is allowed that the whole office for the baptism of infants proceeds upon this supposition.' 'But yet,' he insists, 'there may be the outward sign, >

where there is not the inward grace.' 'Whatever be the case with infants, it is sure all of riper years who are baptized are not at the same time born again.'\* These words occur in the sermon on the 'New Birth.' In his sermon on 'The Marks of the New Birth' he only refers to the subject at all in order to rebut the pretensions of those who claimed to be Christians on the strength of their baptism when infants. He allows that as infants they were regenerated, but asks vehemently and repeatedly of what avail that fact can be in the case of those who are now beyond question living godless and wicked lives. In the *Farther Appeal* he uses precisely equivalent, almost identical, language in one place, † while in another he says that 'Our Church supposes infants to be *justified*

\* In his *Notes on the New Testament*, which volume is not included in the general edition of his *Works*, but is sold separately, Wesley thus expresses himself as to the point touched in the passage quoted in the text. Writing on Acts xxii. 16, he says, speaking of adults, it must be remembered: 'Baptism administered to real penitents is both a means and seal of pardon. Nor did God ordinarily, *in the primitive Church*, bestow this on any except through this means.' Compare also the note on John iii. 5. It cannot be said that there is any necessary contrariety between what I have now quoted, and the passage quoted in the text.

† *Works*, viii., p. 48.



in baptism, although they cannot then either believe or repent.' \* Higher than this John Wesley's baptismal doctrine never went, so far as his writings show. The most remarkable thing, indeed, in regard to Wesley's teaching on baptism, is his reticence. Many, probably most, of his preachers no less than of his people, during his lifetime rejected altogether the doctrine of baptismal regeneration, and he never required any of them to receive it. He made no sign except to republish the treatise to which I have referred, and to make the brief and merely incidental references to the subject which I have noted in two of his sermons. To this day Wesleyan Methodism remains destitute of any explicit doctrine on the subject of baptismal grace. There are still, I believe, a few Wesleyan ministers who receive the doctrine of baptismal regeneration. There are probably many more who believe in what Wesley and his father spoke of as a 'principle of grace' imparted to the soul of the infant in baptism; but there can be no doubt that the prevalent conviction within the 'Connexion' is strongly against the doctrine of baptismal regeneration. I imagine that the variety and general balance of opinion on this subject in modern Meth-

\* Ibid, p. 52.

odism are not very different from what existed in early Methodism after the Connexion was fully and widely organised. What is very remarkable is, that Wesley made no effort to convert his people to his own opinions. Indeed, it has been contended, not without considerable show of reason, that Wesley must, in his later years, have ceased to hold, or at least have come to doubt of, the doctrine of baptismal regeneration. In 1784 he prepared and published, originally for the use of the offshoot Methodist Church in America, *The Sunday Service of the Methodists, with other Occasional Services*, a volume which still holds an authorised and honoured place among Methodist formularies, although the abridged Sunday service here given is seldom preferred by Wesleyans to the full Church Service in English Wesleyan chapels where the Liturgy is in use. In this volume are contained, instead of the Thirty-nine Articles, twenty-five Articles of Religion, which are now the authoritative standard of the largest Protestant Church in the world—the Methodist Episcopal Church of the United States. These articles are for the most part substantially the same, or nearly so, with those of the thirty-nine to which they correspond. In some cases, however, they are materially

abridged. This is the case in particular with that which relates to baptism, in which all that is taught as to the nature of baptism is, that, besides being a sign of the Christian profession, it is 'also a sign of regeneration or the new birth.' As to baptism as an instrument, as to the effects of baptism, not a word is said. This article proves, at all events, that Wesley had in 1784 concluded not to insist on the doctrine of baptismal regeneration in any sense. \*

As to the Lord's Supper the case is still plainer. Here he has in various places clearly and fully expressed his views, and those views were certainly not what would in the present day be regarded as High Church. It appears, indeed, as I have already noted, to be more than doubtful whether, although he always to his latest day insisted on frequent communion — indeed, on 'constant communion,' that is, communion at every opportunity—he at any time of his life held really high doctrine as to the Lord's Supper. In 1788 he published a sermon which he had

\* *The Sunday Service of the Methodists.* Three editions were published by Mr. Wesley, one in 1784 for America, and two afterwards for this country (1786 and 1788). [The articles are also contained in the complete and revised Service Book of the Wesleyan Methodists published in 1883].

written at Oxford, 'for the use of his pupils,' fifty-five years before—that is, in 1733—when he carried to the utmost his rubrical Anglicanism at the University. He says, in the brief preface to this publication, 'I have added very little, but retrenched much, < as I then used more words than I do now. But I thank God I have not yet seen cause to alter my sentiments in any point which is therein delivered.' The only phrase in the sermon which looks like high sacramental doctrine is one in which he speaks of the Lord's Supper as the 'Christian sacrifice.' But that he uses this phrase in a merely figurative sense is certain and evident from the explicit statements which precede and follow. He says: 'As our bodies are strengthened by bread and wine, so are our souls by < these tokens of the body and blood of Christ.' 'The design of this Sacrament is, the continual remembrance of the death of Christ by eating bread and drinking wine, which are < the outward signs of the inward grace, the body and blood of Christ.' 'As the apostles were obliged to bless, break, and give the bread to all that joined with them in these holy things, so were all Christians obliged to receive those signs of Christ's body and blood. Here, therefore, the bread and wine are com-

manded to be received in remembrance of His death to the end of the world.'

There are distinct indications that at the time John Wesley published this old Oxford sermon he was, in different ways, returning in his extreme old age (84—85) to the love of his youth. His brother Charles, whose strong < Anglican bias had obliged Wesley to lean to the other side, in order to hold the balance safely and wisely with his preachers, had been some years dead; his anti-Church of England preachers—he had many such—respected his great age, and waited for his death to assert their own ideas and claims; his enemies, on all sides round, were now at peace with him; he was no longer proscribed or attacked by any section of the clergy, but was publicly honoured by very many, including men of the highest distinction; and he was not altogether < superior to the fond clingings and idolatries of age, which lead men to look back so lovingly to the memories and affections of their youth. Hence he became in the last few years of his life more Anglican in his feelings than he had been at perhaps any time since his conversion. And yet he came no nearer to high Angli- < can doctrine as to the real presence, as to sacramental mysteries and efficacy, than may be understood from the foregoing quotations.

The sermon from which I have quoted is not included among the standard sermons which all Methodist preachers are required to read and to accept, as containing the general system of Methodist theology. All he says on the subject in his standard sermons is contained in the one on 'The Means of Grace,' and is altogether contrary to the teaching of modern sacramentarian 'Anglo-Catholics.' '“He said, Take, eat : this is My body” —that is, the sacred sign of My body.' "“He took the cup, saying, This cup is the new testament” or covenant, “in My blood” : the sacred sign of that covenant.' "“As oft as ye eat this bread, and drink this cup, ye do show forth the Lord’s death till He come” : ye openly exhibit the same by these visible signs ; ye manifest your solemn remembrance of His death, till He cometh in the clouds of heaven.'

There is other evidence of Wesley’s doctrine on this subject, which, though indirect, is yet decisive, and in entire harmony with what has thus far been before us. He sanctioned in his middle-age the publication of extracts from Dr. Brevint’s tractate on *The Christian Sacrament and Sacrifice*, as a preface to his brother Charles’ *Hymns on the Lord’s Supper*. 'The Lord’s Supper,' Dr. Brevint teaches,



‘ was chiefly ordained for a sacrament (1) to *represent* the sufferings of Christ which are *past*, whereof it is a *memorial*; (2) to *convey* the first fruits of these sufferings in *present* *graces*, whereof it is a *means*; and (3) to assure us of glory to come, whereof it is an infallible *pledge*.’ And, as to the crucial point, on the second of these heads he thus explains himself more precisely: ‘ His body and blood have *everywhere*, but especially at this Sacrament, a *true and real presence*. . . . Since *He is gone up*, *He sends down to earth the graces* that spring continually both from His everlasting sacrifice, and from the continual intercession that attends it.’\* Perhaps it might be inferred from the last passage cited that Dr. Brevint believed in the Lutheran dogma of the ubiquity of our Lord’s bodily presence. But it is evident that he did not believe in the real corporeal presence of our Lord in and under the elements of bread and wine, in virtue of the priestly consecration. Brevint’s doctrine Charles Wesley transfused into ecstatic hymns, which are full of the ‘ real presence ’ indeed, but contain no trace of any doctrine equivalent to the modern High ‘ Catholic ’ teaching as to the corporeal

\**The Poetical Works of J. and C. Wesley*, vol. iii., pp. 186, 197.



presence of the incarnate Christ in the consecrated bread and wine of the Eucharist.

It seems evident, accordingly, that however extreme may have been Wesley's High Churchmanship at Oxford, he held no high Anglo-Catholic tenets as to the sacraments during his after life. It is indeed more than doubtful whether, on the subject of the Eucharist at least, he had at any time held doctrine which would now be regarded as high. At Oxford he was full of devotional ritualism; he had a great reverence also for primitive symbolism and for ancient tradition generally, and accordingly at one time would have the sacramental wine mixed with water. He insisted strongly on baptism, as he did on every rubrical requirement, however minute or punctilious. As a loyal and obedient Churchman he conceived himself bound by his ordination vows to make a conscience of all these matters. He laid great stress on 'constant communion,' and on full and close preparation for such communion, including a private visit to the minister. But he did not even in Oxford believe in any such doctrine as that of the supernatural bodily presence of the Lord Jesus in the consecrated elements, as now taught by advanced High Churchmen.

### CHAPTER III.

#### *THE REVOLUTION IN WESLEY'S ECCLESIASTICAL VIEWS AFTER HIS CONVERSION.*

SOME REMAINS OF HIGH CHURCH PRINCIPLES FOR SEVERAL YEARS—RITUALISM AND HIERARCHICAL SUPERSTITION FINALLY RENOUNCED AND ABANDONED—HIS CHURCHMANSHIP MUCH MORE BROAD THAN HIGH.

FOR many months before Wesley's 'conversion'—in Georgia, on the voyage home, and in the interval after his return—a process of undermining had been going on, which had left his system of High Church habits and observances almost without a foundation. After his conversion, the undermined fabric fell into manifest ruins, although some fragments of it were left standing for several years afterwards. What passed immediately after his conversion between himself and his friends the Huttons is very significant, although it must be remembered that the Huttons are the narrators, and may perhaps have represented what took place more sharply and startlingly than it would appear if we possessed Wesley's own account. Mr. Hutton, let me explain, was a retired clergyman, who had been long a particular friend of the Wesley

brothers, having been intimate with Samuel Wesley when he was one of the masters at Westminster School, and his near neighbour. Mr. Hutton's house was in College Street, Westminster. Here, a few days after Wesley's 'conversion'—the hour, that is, when, as he himself describes it, his heart was 'strangely warmed,' and he found rest and peace through faith in Christ for salvation—Mr. Hutton was reading to a company in his study a sermon of Bishop Blackall's, when Wesley stood up and told those present that five days before he was not a Christian. 'Mr. Hutton,' to quote Mr. Tyerman's account, 'was thunder-struck, and said, "Have a care, Mr. Wesley, how you despise the benefits received by the two sacraments"; Wesley afterwards repeated his declaration, upon which Mrs. Hutton answered, "If you have not been a Christian ever since I knew you, you have been a great hypocrite, for you made us all believe that you were one." To this Wesley rejoined, "When we renounce everything but faith and get into Christ, then, and not till then, have we any reason to believe that we are Christians."'

The language Wesley used may have been crude or unguarded, or perhaps only an imperfect account of it may here be given, but there can be no reasonable doubt as to the sub-

stantial accuracy of this relation nor as to its meaning. To Wesley's transformed apprehension, as Miss Wedgwood says, in words already quoted, 'the birthday of a Christian was shifted from his baptism to his conversion, and in that change the partition line of two great systems is crossed.' The date of Wesley's Christian birthday, according to this reckoning, was, as we have noted, May 24th, 1738. Let that date be well marked. Wesley's inner and essential ultra-High-Churchmanship belongs to the period preceding this date. Then follows a transition period, during which he was gradually getting rid of his high ecclesiastical tenets and prejudices, and which came to its complete end in 1745-6. After this period he may have been in a certain sense a High Churchman—it is certain he was never a Low Churchman in the modern sense, he was indeed rather broad than low—but his High Churchmanship in after-life, and through the space of nearly half a century, included neither high sacramentarian doctrine nor servile veneration for rubrics, nor any belief in either the virtue or the reality of what is commonly called 'the apostolical succession.'

Wesley's conversion took place at a religious fellowship-meeting, held in Aldersgate Street, connected with one of those societies

organised within the Church of England, with which the names of Dr. Horneck and Dr. Woodward are associated, and the propriety and special value of which had been defended so vigorously by his own father forty years before. It was while one was reading Luther's preface to the Epistle to the Romans that the critical change took place. It is no wonder that fellowship meetings henceforth were inseparable from the spiritual life of the Wesleys.

'When Wesley returned from America,' says Miss Wedgwood, 'these societies formed a natural organisation for one who desired fellowship in a religious body more developed and coherent than the Church of England, and it was in these societies that all the chief peculiarities of Methodism took their rise. The Methodist class-meeting was no more than "the weekly conference among young communicants" recommended by the earlier body, thereby to "admonish and watch over one another, and to fortify each other against those temptations which assault them from the world and their own corruptions" (Dr. Woodward's Account, p. 75). "And these persons, knowing each other's manner of life and their particular frailties and temptations, partly by their familiar conversation, and partly from their own inward experience, can much better inspect, admonish, and guard each other than the most careful minister usually can." Here we have an exact description of a Methodist class-meeting, written about four years before Wesley was born (1699). Like the early Methodists, too, the religious societies were distinguished by their frequent communion, and the reverence paid by them to this rite;

< they had also their charitable fund, and their stewards elected yearly.'

These earlier societies, however, were, as Miss Wedgwood proceeds to say, distinctively *Church societies*. Their spirit and tone were in conformity with the views and feelings of the Wesleys before they had embraced Peter Böhler's teaching as to the 'righteousness of faith.' Orthodoxy, the instituted means of grace, and beneficence—summed up for these societies all that appertained to the Christian character and profession. Of the 'new heart' and the 'new life' their members knew nothing. 'The 'spirit of the older societies,' as Miss Wedgwood says, 'was not only unlike that of Methodism, it was the very spirit from which Methodism was a reaction.' Hence the ideas and experience of the Wesleys after they had become disciples of their Moravian teacher were to these societies as new wine to old bottles. Some of the members, no doubt, followed the Wesleys and became partakers of the like experience. But many were filled with alarm and dismay. It was probably at a meeting of one of these societies that Wesley, at Mr. Hutton's house, startled his host and hostess in the manner which has been described.

Wesley did not at once, perhaps never did >



formally, separate himself from these Church of England societies. From many of them he was before long excluded ; of that there can be no doubt. There was, however, one society, which early in 1738 he and his brother took part in establishing, though they only ranked as private members in it, and which met in Fetter Lane, of which Wesley was accustomed to speak as 'our society.' With this for two years Wesley was closely identified, the society consisting exclusively of members of the Church of England. Although nominally but private members there can be no doubt that Wesley and his brother Charles were in reality the leaders of this society, and had for a long time a settled ascendancy in it. Under their guidance, the society was organised somewhat closely on the Moravian model. Their influence, however, was in 1740 undermined by the Moravian teachers, Molther and Spangenberg, whose worse than foolish vagaries—their mystical quietism and doctrinal antinomianism—fascinated and bewildered many of the members. The consequence was that, after long forbearance, Wesley and his brother separated themselves in 1740 from this society, carrying with them their own adherents—a minority—to the Foundery, Moorfields, where he had already in the preceding year organised



~~~~~  
a society of his own, with which the faithful remnant who accompanied the Wesleys from Fetter Lane were now united.

The Foundery was an old place which he had a short while before secured for his own use, and had repaired and fitted up for the purpose of religious meetings. Here was the real beginning of Wesleyan Methodism, as an organised system. The private fellowship-meeting—a little later organised systematically as a 'class-meeting'—was its nucleus, its 'germ cell,' as it has been truly called by Wesleyan writers.

Such meetings as these, however, could be of little avail apart from the work of preaching. Preaching, indeed, was the characteristic force of the new movement of which the Wesleys had become chief lights and leaders. Whitefield had already given evidence of this. Himself formerly one of Wesley's Oxford pupils and religious disciples, he had, as all men know, embraced the doctrines of evangelical faith and 'experimental religion,' and had passed into the enjoyment of the 'new life' before either of the brothers. As an immediate consequence he had become a preacher—a preacher of extraordinary power and unbounded popularity. He, however, was in  
< America during the early months which fol-

lowed the conversion of the brothers. Now, having passed through a similar experience to that of Whitefield, the Wesleys, like him, had become preachers. In popularity they presently became the rivals of Whitefield, while as respects decisive and permanent results, John Wesley's power as a preacher was even superior to that of Whitefield.\*

In all that I have now described we see evidences of the essential change in ecclesiastical bias which had passed upon Wesley. Henceforth his dominant tendency was altogether different from what it had been before. His face was now set in an opposite direction.

Wesleyan writers take their stand here. None have shown so distinctly and fully the rigid and excessive Churchmanship of Wesley up to the date of 1738. But they insist that, from that date, everything was essentially different, and that the essential difference very swiftly developed into striking results.

The High Churchman, they argue, makes salvation to be directly dependent on sacramental grace and apostolical succession. Whereas the evangelical believer, the man who has received the doctrine of salvation by faith, as it was taught by Peter Böhler, and

\*I may be allowed here to refer to the chapter on 'Wesley the Preacher,' in my *Living Wesley*.

as it is understood by the Reformed Churches in general, learns from St. Paul that 'faith cometh by hearing, and hearing by the Word of God.' Hence, according to his conviction, the Christian salvation—justification, regeneration, and sanctification—must be realised by means of the 'truth as it is in Jesus.' Truth and life are for him indissolubly associated. He cannot forget the words of the Word Himself, 'Sanctify them through Thy Truth; Thy word is Truth'; and again, 'I am the Way, the Truth, and the Life'; nor the words of St. Paul, when he speaks of himself and his fellow-workers as 'by manifestation of the truth commending themselves to every man's conscience in the sight of God.' It is the truth in the sacraments, according to his view, which fills them with blessing to those who receive them with faith; they are 'signs and seals,' eloquent symbols and most sacred pledges; but they are not, in and of themselves, saturated with grace and life; they are not the only organs and vehicles through which grace flows to the members of Christ's mystical body, nor is their efficacy, irrespective of any divine truth, apprehended and embraced by the mind and heart of the believer.

Wesleyans admit that, up to 1738, Wesley had been a High Church Ritualist, but they

insist that all his life afterwards he taught the evangelical doctrine of salvation by faith ; that before long, and once for all, he discarded the fable,' as he called it, of 'apostolical succession,' and that he presently gave up all that is now understood to belong to the system, whether theological or ecclesiastical, of High Church Anglo-Catholicism. 'The grave-clothes of ritualistic superstition,' they say, 'still hung about him for a while, even after he had come forth from the sepulchre, and had, in his heart and soul, been set loose and free ; and he only cast them off gradually. But the new principle he had embraced led,' as they affirm, 'before long to his complete emancipation from the principles and prejudices of High Church ecclesiasticism.'

Such language as this may seem to High Churchmen harsh, and perhaps uncharitable, but the one question really is, how far it is warranted by the history and the recorded sentiments of Wesley himself after the year 1738. Modern Wesleyans cannot be expected to be more High Church than their founder. I propose, accordingly, to show now in some detail what Wesley did actually claim and hold as to matters ecclesiastical during the half-century which followed his 'conversion.' Ecclesiastical claims and theories are founded

on theological dogmas. We shall see how the newly-received doctrines of grace and of faith gave colour and form to the ecclesiastical principles of the founder of Methodism.

It is hard to conceive views as to the public ministry of the word, and the government and discipline of the Church, more hazardous and untenable, according to the standard of High Churchmen, than those which were maintained by John Wesley.

He held, as I will presently show, after the year 1745, that, the office of presbyter or priest and that of bishop being originally and essentially one, he, as a presbyter, had the abstract and essential right to ordain presbyters, in a new sphere—a sphere of his own creation, so to speak—if by his so doing neither he nor they whom he ordained became intruders into other communions, or trespassers within other jurisdictions. Acting on this principle, he ordained ‘presbyters,’ and even ‘superintendents’\* or bishops, for America; he ordained presbyters for Scotland; and

\* In Wesley's time, the senior preacher in charge was called ‘assistant,’ not as now ‘superintendent.’ The preachers generally were called ‘helpers.’ ‘Superintendent,’ in Wesley's ecclesiastical nomenclature, meant ‘bishop’; he held, of course, that his ‘superintendents,’ or ‘bishops,’ were not in order, but only in office, distinguished from presbyters.

eventually felt himself constrained and even driven to ordain presbyters to assist him in administering the sacraments to his own societies in England, one of his strong pleas being that the clergy in many instances would not admit his people to the Lord's Supper. Indeed there is high authority—the authority of Samuel Bradburn, one of his ablest and most eminent preachers—for saying that Wesley went so far, at the Conference of 1788, as to consecrate one of his English preachers as 'superintendent,' or bishop. The Methodist Conference did but extend this principle to its obvious consequences when, a few years after his death, those of them whom Wesley had already ordained were presumed to have the power to share their prerogatives with their brethren and partners in common charge of the societies, so that all the societies which desired it might receive the sacraments from their own preachers.

Quite as radical, indeed, as any opinion of a modern Methodist on these points, and far more startling, as coming from John Wesley, is the following passage contained in the Minutes of Conference for the year already noted, 1745 :—

'Q. 1.—Can he be a spiritual governor of the Church who is not a believer nor a member of it ?



'A.—It seems not : though he may be a governor in outward things by a power derived from the King.

'Q. 2.—What are properly the laws of the Church of England?

'A.—The rubrics; and to those we submit as the ordinance of man, for the Lord's sake.

'Q. 3.—But is not the will of our governors a law?

'A.—No; not of any governor, temporal or spiritual. Therefore, if any bishop wills that I should not preach the Gospel, his will is no law to me.

'Q. 4.—But what if he produce a law against your preaching?

'A.—I am to obey God rather than man.

'Q. 5.—Is Episcopal, Presbyterian, or Independent church government most agreeable to reason?

'A.—The plain origin of church government seems to be this. Christ sends forth a preacher of the Gospel. Some who hear him repent and believe the Gospel. They then desire him to watch over them, to build them up in the faith, and to guide their souls in the paths of righteousness.

'Here, then, is an *Independent* congregation subject to no pastor but their own; neither liable to be controlled in things spiritual by any other man or body of men whatsoever.

'But soon after, some from other parts, who are occasionally present while he speaks in the name of Him that sent him, beseech him to come over to help them also. Knowing it to be the will of God, he consents, yet not till he has conferred with the wisest and holiest of his congregation, and, with their advice, appointed one or more who have gifts and grace to watch over the flock till his return.

'If it pleases God to raise another flock in the new place, before he leaves them he does the same thing,

appointing one whom God has fitted for the work to watch over these souls also. In like manner, in every place where it pleases God to gather a little flock by His Word, he appoints one in his absence to take the oversight of the rest, and to assist them of the abilities which God giveth. These are *deacons*, or servants of the church, and look on the first pastor as their common father. And all these congregations regard him in the same light, and esteem him still as the shepherd of their souls.

‘These congregations are not absolutely *independent*; they depend on one pastor, though not on each other.

‘As these congregations increase, and as their deacons grow in years and grace, they need other subordinate deacons or helpers, in respect of whom they may be called *presbyters* or elders, as their father in the Lord may be called the bishop or overseer of them all.

‘Q. 6.—Is mutual consent absolutely necessary between the pastor and his flock ?

‘A.—No question. I cannot guide any soul unless he consent to be guided by me. Neither can any soul force me to guide him if I consent not.

‘Q. 7.—Does the ceasing of this consent on either side dissolve that relation ?

‘A.—It must, in the very nature of things. If a man no longer consent to be guided by me, I am no longer his guide : I am free. If one will not guide me any longer, I am free to seek one who will.’ \*

This remarkable extract contains implicitly the whole theory of Methodist government and discipline, regarded as an organisation created and controlled by Wesley for the pur- ↓

\* *Minutes of Conference*, vol. i., pp. 26, 27. Last edition.

pose of converting souls and of watching over his converts. Wesley regarded himself as a sort of bishop, his 'assistants' or chief preachers in charge as quasi-presbyters, and the junior or probationary 'helpers' as a sort of deacons. If he never carried out this conception thoroughly in practice, and especially never conceded to his chief preachers generally the distinct status of presbyters, it was because he cherished, more or less, though with heavy doubts and misgivings, the hope that the bishops of his Church might be brought to give virtual effect to his desires, and that Methodism might become an affiliated branch of the Church of England.

It is true, indeed, and it is very singular, that even at the time he penned the remarkable extract just given, Wesley still retained some considerable relics of his ecclesiastical High Churchmanship. The date of the minute is August, 1745. On December 27th of the same year he prints in his journal a letter to his brother-in-law, Hall—a letter well known and often quoted by Churchmen—in which he upholds the doctrines of apostolical succession, and of the threefold order of the ministry. On the very next page of his journal, however, under date January 20th, 1746—and no doubt the juxtaposition was calculated and intended by

the journalist—he declares and publishes his definitive renunciation of these selfsame views, as the result of reading Lord (Chancellor) King's *Account of the Primitive Church*. From this conclusion he never afterwards swerved. In a letter to his brother Charles many years afterwards (1785) he spoke of 'the uninterrupted succession' as 'knowing it to be a fable, which no man ever did or can prove.'\*

During his subsequent course he more than once speaks of himself as 'a Scriptural Episcopos'; and, as we have seen, he acted on this persuasion.†

In the *Disciplinary Minutes* for 1746‡ it is said that the Wesleys and their helpers may 'perhaps be regarded as extraordinary messengers, designed of God to provoke the others to jealousy.' The following suggestive question and answer are also given in the same Minutes. 'Why do we not use more form and solemnity in the receiving of a new labourer?—We purposely decline it: first, because there is something of stateliness in

\* Jackson's *Life of Charles Wesley*, vol. ii., p. 395. Twenty-four years before this, in 1761, he had said the same thing in a letter to the *London Chronicle*, in reply to a tract entitled, *A Caveat against the Methodists*,—*Works*, iii., p. 42 (*Journal*, February 13—20, 1761).

† *Works*, vol. xiii., pp. 240, 257.

‡ *Minutes*, vol. i., pp. 30, 31.

it; second, because we would not make haste. We desire barely to follow Providence as it gradually opens.' The Minutes for 1747 contain the following decisive series of questions and answers :—

'Q. 6.—Does a church in the New Testament always mean a single congregation ?

'A.—We believe it does. We do not recollect any instance to the contrary.

'Q. 7.—What instance or ground is there then in the New Testament for a *National Church* ?

'A.—We know none at all. We apprehend it to be a merely political institution.

'Q. 8.—Are the three orders of bishops, priests, and deacons plainly described in the New Testament ?

'A.—We think they are; and believe they generally obtained in the churches of the apostolic age.

'Q. 9.—But are you assured that God designed the same plan should obtain in all churches throughout all ages ?

'A.—We are not assured of this; because we do not know that it is asserted in Holy Writ.

'Q. 10.—If this plan were essential to a Christian church, what would become of all the foreign Reformed Churches ?

'A.—It would follow they are no parts of the Church of Christ; a consequence full of shocking absurdity.

'Q. 11.—In what age was the divine right of Episcopacy first asserted in England ?

'A.—About the middle of Queen Elizabeth's reign. Till then, all the bishops and clergy in England continually allowed and joined in the ministration of those who were not episcopally ordained.

'Q. 12.—Must there not be numberless accidental varieties in the government of various churches ?

‘A.—There must, in the nature of things. For as God variously dispenses His gifts of nature, providence, and grace, both the offices themselves and the officers in each ought to be varied from time to time.

‘Q. 13.—Why is it that there is no determinate plan of church government appointed in Scripture ?

‘A.—Without doubt, because the wisdom of God had a regard to this necessary variety.

‘Q. 14.—Was there any thought of uniformity in the government of all churches until the time of Constantine ?

‘A.—It is certain there was not, and would not have been then had men consulted the Word of God only.’\*

So far Wesley had travelled since 1738, so thoroughly different were his views in 1747 from what they had been in 1735. So profound was the contradiction between the principles of the Oxford Methodist and of the founder of the Methodist Connexion of societies. The former was a priest and pastor among ‘the schools of the prophets,’ devoted to the rubrics and order of his Church; the latter was an itinerant evangelist for his nation and the world, loving his National Church indeed, but regarding it as a ‘political institution,’ and always prepared to sacrifice, if it were necessary, his Churchmanship to what he regarded as his higher and wider mission as a preacher and teacher of the Gospel to all men. Nearly forty years later, in 1785, in the

\* *Minutes*, vol. i., p. 36. Last Edition.



letter to his brother Charles, lately referred to, Wesley reaffirms all that he had said in the *Minutes* I have quoted, and even speaks more decisively as to the definition and character of the National Church.\* He uses language, besides, as to the existing Church, of singular trenchancy. He quotes, as descriptive of the priesthood of the Church of England, a line from one of Charles' own poems—

‘Heathenish priests and mitred infidels’:

in regard to which line I may say, further, that in a letter dated three weeks later he says, ‘Your verse is a sad truth. I see fifty times more of England than you do, and I find few exceptions to it.’ He declares, as to the “uninterrupted succession,” I know it to be a fable, which no man ever did or can prove.’ He explains that all that he had meant from the beginning by ‘separating from the Church,’ was refusing to ‘go to church’; and he proceeds as follows:—

‘But here another question occurs: “What is the Church of England?” It is not all the people of England. Papists and Dissenters are no part thereof. It is not all the people of England, except Papists and Dissenters. Then we should have a glorious Church indeed! No: according to our twentieth Article, a particular church is a “congregation of faithful people”

\* Jackson's *Life of Charles Wesley*, vol. ii. pp. 394—396.

(*cætus credentium* are the words of our Latin edition), "among whom the Word of God is preached, and the sacraments duly administered." Here is a true logical definition, containing both the essence and the properties of a church. What, then, according to this definition is the Church of England? Does it mean all the believers in England (except the Papists and Dissenters) who have the Word of God and the sacraments administered among them? I fear this does not come up to your idea of the Church of England. Well, what more do you include in the phrase? "Why, all the believers that adhere to the doctrine and discipline established by the Convocation under Queen Elizabeth." Nay, that discipline is well-nigh vanished away, and the doctrine both you and I adhere to.

'All those reasons against a separation from the Church in this sense, I subscribe to still: what, then, are you frightened at? I no more separate from it now than I did in the year 1758. I submit still (though sometimes with a doubting conscience) to "mitred infidels." I do indeed vary from them in some points of doctrine, and in some points of discipline (by preaching abroad, for instance, by praying extempore, and by forming societies); but not a hair's breadth further than I believe to be meet, right, and my bounden duty. I walk still by the same rule I have done for between forty and fifty years. I do nothing rashly. It is not likely I should. The heyday of my blood is over. If you will go on hand in hand with me, do. But do not hinder me, if you will not help me. However, with or without help, I creep on: and as I have been hitherto, so I trust I shall always be,

'Your affectionate Friend and Brother.' \*

\* Jackson's *Life of Charles Wesley*, p. 395: Smith's *History of Methodism*, vol. i., pp. 520, 521.

It is true that one of Wesley's latest sermons, that on 'The Ministerial Office,' preached in 1789, flames with indignation against unauthorised intruders into the office of the 'priesthood,' whom he compares to Korah and his fellows. But it must be remembered that he regarded ordination by himself, conferred on one of his preachers, as equally valid with any that might have been bestowed by the hands of any bishop of whatever Church. What he objected to in some of his preachers was that they had presumed to administer the sacraments *when he had not appointed them*. 'Did we ever appoint you,' he asks in his sermon, 'to administer sacraments, to exercise the priestly office?' 'Where did I appoint you to do this? Nowhere at all!'

In the year preceding the date of the letter from which I have just quoted, Wesley had taken the necessary steps for organising an independent Methodist Church for America. His *Letter to Dr. Coke, Mr. Asbury, and our Brethren in North America*, is dated September 10th, 1784. In it he expounds his views as to Church government in strict agreement with the extract which I have quoted from the Disciplinary Minutes of 1747, making specific reference to Lord Chancellor

King's account of the Primitive Church; and he closes this letter with the following sentence: 'As our American brethren are now totally disentangled both from the State and from the English hierarchy, we dare not entangle them again, either with the one or the other. They are now at full liberty, simply to follow the Scriptures and the Primitive Church; and we judge it best that they should stand fast in that liberty wherewith God has so strangely made them free.' For which reason, among others, Wesley had no desire, in 1784, that 'the English bishops should ordain part of our preachers for America.' \*

Nevertheless in 1775, writing to a Tory statesman, Wesley described himself as 'a High Churchman, the son of a High Churchman'; and this fact is sometimes brought forward as evidence that he retained through life, substantially unchanged, the principles of his Oxford ritualistic Churchmanship. The more, however, the question is investigated, the more untenable will any such view appear. Wesley was never a political Low Churchman. He had no Dissenting predilections or Puritan punctilios or latitudinarian laxity. He was a Tory in Church and State. But during the last forty or fifty years of his life he altogether

\* *Works*, vol. xiii., pp. 238, 239.

abandoned the positive principles of High Churchmanship, both in theology and in relation to ecclesiastical government. The letter to which I have referred was, however, one in which he was justified in putting prominently forward his Toryism, as regarded from a political point of view, in order that he might the better commend the argument of his letter to the attention of a Tory statesman. He was writing to Lord North on behalf of the revolted American colonists, urging counsels to which it would have been well if the Government had listened. He was writing on a political question to a politician. Accordingly he says, 'Here all my prejudices are against the Americans; for I am a High Churchman, the son of a High Churchman, bred up from my childhood in the highest notions of passive obedience and non-resistance.' These words indicate the scope and bearing of the High Churchmanship of which he speaks. And yet it is curious how he goes on to illustrate, even in the political sphere, the independence and the liberal tone of his Toryism. He proceeds thus: 'And yet in spite of all my long-rooted prejudices, I cannot avoid thinking, if I think at all, these, an oppressed people, asked for nothing more than their legal rights, and that in the most modest

and inoffensive manner that the nature of the thing would allow.' \*

His actual position in regard to High Church and Low Church, to Anglicanism and Nonconformity, is very clearly indicated in the following passages. In his journal, under date Friday, March 13th, 1747, he writes, 'In some of the following days I snatched a few hours to read *The History of the Puritans*. I stand in amaze; first, at the execrable spirit of persecution which drove those venerable men out of the Church, and with which Queen Elizabeth's clergy were as deeply tinctured as ever Queen Mary's were; secondly, at the weakness of those holy confessors, many of whom spent so much of their time and strength in disputing about surplices and hoods, or kneeling at the Lord's Supper.' † In April, 1754, again, he writes, 'I read Dr. Calamy's *Abridgement of Mr. Baxter's Life*. In spite of all the prejudices of education, I could not but see that the poor Nonconformists had been used without either justice or mercy, and that many of the Protestant bishops of King

\* Smith's *History of Methodism*, i., p. 700.

† Compare also what he says in his letters to 'Mr. John Smith' (Archbishop Secker), about 'Mr. Cartwright, and the body of Puritans in that age.' *Works*, xii., p. 82.



Charles (the Second) had neither more religion nor humanity than the Popish bishops of Queen Mary.\* But still more decisive, perhaps, as to the limited and modified sense in which alone Wesley could be regarded as a High Churchman, even when he described himself as such, is the following passage, written two years later than his letter to Lord North, viz., in 1777. In it he is, notwithstanding his letter of 1775, appealing to Dissenters to show loyalty to the King in the struggle then going on with the revolted colonies; and he exclaims, 'Do you imagine there are no High Churchmen left? Did they all die with Dr. Sacheverell? Alas! how little do you know of mankind! Were the present restraint taken off, you would see them swarming on every side, and gnashing upon you with their teeth. . . . If other Bonners and Gardiners did not arise, other Lauds and Sheldons would, who would either rule over you with a rod of iron, or drive you out of the land.' †

These passages seem to settle the question as to Wesley's High Churchmanship. A Low Churchman he was not, nor would he have been in sympathy with modern Low Churchmanship, if he were living to-day. He dis-

\* *Works*, ii., p. 297.

† *Works*, xi., pp. 132, 133.

liked Calvinism; he loved the cathedral service. But far less would he have been in sympathy with the Romanising Churchmanship of the present time. How far Wesley was, in taste and sympathy, from that kind of Low Churchmanship which might have been described as Dissent in the wrong place, and which aimed at making the Church service and worship resemble as much as possible, in style and spirit, the service of the Calvinistic meeting-house, will be seen from the following outburst in a letter addressed, in 1778, to one of his most esteemed correspondents, Miss Bishop :

‘But to speak freely, I myself find more life in the Church Prayers than in any formal extemporaneous prayers of Dissenters. Nay, I find more profit in sermons on either good tempers or good works than in what are vulgarly called Gospel sermons. The term has now become a mere cant word. I wish none of our society would use it. It has no determinate meaning. Let but a pert, self-sufficient animal, that has neither sense nor grace, bawl out something about Christ or His blood, or justification by faith, and his hearers cry out, “What a fine Gospel sermon!” Surely the Methodists have not so learned Christ.’ \*

\* *Works*, xiii., p. 34.

But, on the other hand, it is evident that Wesley was in utter antagonism with all that is essential in modern High Churchmanship. On points of principle, as distinguished from taste and feeling, he was a Low Churchman—he was an Arminian Low Churchman.

There is one short, sharp test which settles this question. The High Churchman of to-day rests upon his 'apostolical orders,' his 'succession'; this at any rate holds true of all sacramentarian and ritualistic High Churchmen. Whereas the Low Churchman relies upon the articles, the homilies, and the general spirit of the common worship of the Church. He is an illogical and inconsistent Low Churchman who mixes up with these things the properly High Church dogma of the 'uninterrupted succession.' Now, on which side was Wesley? We have seen that he makes short work with 'the succession,' as 'a fable which no man ever did or can prove.' But yet more clearly and definitely Low Church is the following remarkable passage, written in 1755: 'My conclusion, which I cannot yet give up, that it is lawful to continue in the Church, stands, I know not how, without any premisses that are to bear its weight. I know the original doctrines of the Church are sound; I know her worship is in

the main pure and scriptural. But if "the essence of the Church of England, considered as such, consists in her orders and laws" (many of which I myself can say nothing for) "and not in her worship and doctrines," those who separate from her have a far stronger plea than ever I was sensible of.\* Could Low Church principles cut lower than this?

In his journal, under date June 11th, 1739, little more than twelve months after his conversion, Wesley, in a letter which he there prints, thus sets forth the principle which guided him, a principle from which he never afterwards departed:

'You ask, "How is it that I assemble Christians who are none of my charge, to sing psalms, and pray, and hear the Scriptures expounded? and you think it hard to justify doing this in other men's parishes, upon catholic principles." Permit me to speak plainly. If, by catholic principles, you mean any other than scriptural, they weigh nothing with me; I allow no other rule, whether of faith or practice, than the holy Scriptures: but, on scriptural principles, I do not think it hard to justify whatever I do. God in Scripture commands me, according to my power, to instruct the ignorant, reform the wicked, confirm the virtuous. Man forbids me to do this in another's parish; that is, in effect, to do it at all; seeing I have now no parish of my own, nor probably ever shall. Whom then shall I hear, God or man? If it be just to obey man rather than God,

\* *Works*, xiii., p. 185.

judge you. A dispensation of the Gospel is committed to me; and woe is me if I preach not the Gospel. But where shall I preach it upon the principles you mention? Why, not in Europe, Asia, Africa, or America; not in any of the Christian parts, at least, of the habitable earth. For all these are, after a sort, divided into parishes. If it be said, "Go back then to the heathens, from whence you came:" nay, but neither could I now (on your principles) preach to them; for all the heathens in Georgia belong to the parish either of Savannah or Frederica. Suffer me now to tell you my principles in this matter. I look upon all the world as my parish; thus far I mean, that, in whatever part of it I am, I judge it meet, right, and my bounden duty to declare unto all that are willing to hear, the glad tidings of salvation.'

Perhaps, on the whole, Wesley in his intermediate and indeed isolated position as a Churchman, resembled the late Archdeacon Hare in his relation to parties in his generation, as much as any other man that might be named. But it is impossible to find a good analogy for a position which in reality was quite unique. A striking illustration of the reality and thoroughness of the change which passed upon John and Charles Wesley after 1738 is afforded by the manner in which from that date to the end of his life—a period of thirty-five years—their intimate and cherished friend Clayton absolutely denied and ignored them both. Mr. Tyerman says in his *Oxford Metho-*

*dists* (p. 55) 'John Wesley, between the years 1738 and 1773, visited Manchester more than twenty times; and some of these visits were so memorable, that Clayton must have heard of them; and, yet, there is not the slightest evidence of any renewal of that fraternal intercourse which was interrupted when Wesley began to preach salvation by faith only, and, in consequence, was excluded from the pulpits of the Established Church. This was heresy too great for a High Churchman to overlook. To be saved by faith in Christ, instead of by sacraments, fasts, penances, ritualism, and good works was an unpardonable novelty, deserving of Clayton's life-long censure; and hence, after 1738, the two old Oxford friends seem to have been separated till they met in heaven.' Mr. Tyerman shows that Wesley's first visit to Manchester after his conversion was in May, 1747, but though he preached at Salford Cross, immediately adjoining Clayton's residence, there is nothing to show that the former friends met for a moment. On Good Friday, 1752, Wesley went to the Old Church in Manchester, where 'Mr. Clayton read prayers; I think the most distinctly, solemnly, and gracefully of any man I ever heard.' The sacrament was administered, but though there is every reason



to suppose that Wesley was a communicant and Clayton one of those who administered, we do not find any recognition between them. Three years later, in October, 1756, Charles Wesley writes in his journal: 'My former friend Mr. Clayton read prayers at the Old Church, with great solemnity.' The same week he adds, 'I stood close to Mr. Clayton in church (as all the week past), but not a look would he cast towards me; "so stiff was his parochial pride," and so faithfully did he keep his covenant with his eyes, not to look upon his old friend when called a Methodist.' Next day he heard Mr. Clayton preach a good sermon on Constant Prayer. The senior chaplain invited Charles Wesley to join the other clergy in receiving the bread and wine before the people, yet even after this public recognition was vouchsafed by his senior Clayton seems to have taken no notice of his old friend.

## CHAPTER IV.

RELATIONS OF WESLEY, AS A METHODIST, AND OF  
PRIMITIVE METHODISM, WITH THE CHURCH OF  
ENGLAND.

WE have seen how far Wesley had travelled since 1738. The investigation which we have thus far conducted is fundamental to any correct view of the relations of Methodism to the Church of England. There are some who still hope that a violent and entire breach between Methodism and the Church of England may yet be averted. But of this there can be no hope, if the position and the principles of Wesley himself are for ever to be misunderstood. Those who at the same time summon Methodists, on the authority of their founder, to return to the fold of the Church of England, and deny to their pastors and preachers the status of ministers, both mistake the facts of the case, so far as Wesley himself was concerned, and do all that lies in their power, so far as modern Methodism is concerned, to widen separation into alienation, to harden and provoke independence into animosity and antagonism. Wesley had plans

< —dreams many may think them—by which he conceived that the Methodist organisation, as such, might in great part have been attached to the Church of England, might have been the means of largely reviving that Church, of absorbing not a little of explicit and professed Dissent, of making the Church living and national throughout the land. He feared that, if this did not come to pass, if nothing were done by the rulers of the Church towards meeting his views, his people would, after his death, become a separate people. In his independent organisation of American Method- 7  
ism, he had embodied in general his own ideal of an independent Methodist Church. He knew full well the mind of many of his leading preachers, headed by Dr. Coke, as to the high benefit and desirableness, if not the necessity, of Methodism in England becoming an independent organisation. But he desired to postpone such a consummation as long as possible, and to prevent it if possible; he was bent upon securing for his own Church the utmost space and opportunity for effecting an organic union with his societies. He endeavoured so to use his influence to the last as to keep as many of his people attached to the Church as possible, and at least to preclude a separation on Dissenting principles.

It is wonderful how long and how far his influence has extended. Even such a policy as that represented in the pastorals of the late Bishop of Lincoln (Dr. Wordsworth), and exemplified in the outrage some years ago inflicted by the vicar of Owston Ferry, has not fully availed to drive Methodism to make a breach with the Church of England. It may yet be possible, by a wise and generous policy, to retain many friends in the Methodist Connexion who hold that it is well, apart from all voluntary communions, to have a liberal Protestant Established Church, or who, at all events, are opposed to a disestablishment agitation. But it is no more possible, by quoting the authority of Wesley, on the one hand to win back, than it is by petty persecutions on the other to drive back, any appreciable number of Methodists into the ranks of the Church. All that such conduct can do is to irritate and alienate at large.

In fact the principles which Wesley embraced in 1738 determined all his future course, and every step he afterwards took looked towards separation and independence, unless, in good time, Methodism could somehow be taken up into organic union with the Church of England and yet left as a system in its substantial integrity. It is evident, from the

terms of the Deed of Declaration, by which, in 1784, he legally constituted the Conference, that Wesley contemplated the possibility of the chief ministers in some of his circuits being stationary ordained clergymen of the Church of England, with and under whom itinerant Methodist Evangelists might do the work of the 'circuits.' The limitation of a preacher's labours in connection with the same chapel to a period of three years as provided by that Deed does not apply, according to the terms of the Deed itself, in the case of an ordained clergyman. Wesley's dream, probably, was that a number—an increasing number as years passed on—of Methodist preachers might be appointed to benefices situated respectively at the head place or in the centre of the 'circuits' of Methodism, and that, living there, they might act as the chief ministers of such circuits, having unordained itinerants as their subordinate colleagues and coadjutors. The celebrated Mr. Grimshaw, vicar of Haworth, and the still more celebrated Fletcher of Madeley, did thus act as the chief ministers of Methodist circuits, and had their names as such upon the *Minutes of Conference*. If this process had gone on, these ordained Methodist clergy being members of the Conference, there might conceivably have

been a Methodist order and organisation within the Church of England, of which the members, distinguished by zeal and activity, might have been extending their lines and labours in all directions. I can see no necessary reason why something like this might not have taken place; the orders of the Church of Rome have done a work somewhat analogous, have had their own assemblies, their special organisation and discipline, their generals. Wesley had early studied closely, and has left on record his admiration of, the genius and discipline of Loyola. And it was, perhaps, his highest desire to do, in a frank and evangelical sense and spirit, for the Church of England, a work somewhat resembling what Loyola had organised with such marvellous success for the Church of Rome. Whatever might, however, have been his ideas in regard to this matter, they were not to be fulfilled; and, apart from such fulfilment, the steps he successively took were directly bent, as I have said, towards one goal—the goal of separation, of organised independency. ✓

When (in 1739) Wesley organised a system of religious societies, altogether independent of the parochial clergy and of episcopal control, but dependent absolutely on himself, he took a step towards raising up a separate



communion, especially as the 'Rules' of his societies contained no requirement of allegiance to the Established Church. When (in 1740) he built meeting-houses, which were settled on trustees for his own use, and when (in 1741) he began (with his brother) to administer the sacraments in these houses, a farther step was taken in the same direction. His calling out lay preachers (in 1741) wholly devoted to the work of preaching and visitation, was still a step in advance towards the same issue.\* The yearly Conference (begun in 1744) tended obviously in the same direction. The legal constitution of the Conference in 1784, and the provision for vesting in trustees for the use of the 'People called Methodists,' under its jurisdiction as to the appointment of ministers and preachers, all the preaching places and trust property of the Connexion, was a most important measure, giving to the Union of the societies a legally corporate character and large property rights. The

\* When this step was challenged, he met the challenge in a style which shows how radical an anti-High Churchman was Wesley. 'I do assure you, this at present is my chief embarrassment. That I have not gone too far yet, I know; but whether I have gone far enough, I am extremely doubtful. . . . Soul-damning clergymen lay me under more difficulties than soul-saving laymen.'—*Works*, xiii., p. 197.

ordination of ministers, even for America,\* as Charles Wesley pointed out forcibly at the time, could hardly fail to conduct towards the result which Wesley had so long striven to avert—viz., the general ordination of his preachers in Great Britain. If it was necessary to ordain for America, they would plead that it was highly expedient to ordain for England. The principle was conceded, the only question was one of time and fitness as to its more extended application. The ordinations for Scotland† were refused by Wesley so long as he could refuse them with either safety or consistency. Without them his people would, in very many cases, have been left quite without the sacraments, as the Calvinistic controversy had become embittered, and Wesley and his followers were accounted heretics by the orthodox in Scotland. Nevertheless, ordaining for Scotland could not but hasten the day when preachers must be ordained for England. It was hard to require that Mr. Taylor should administer in Scotland and hold himself forbidden and unable to administer in England. And when at length Wesley was compelled to ordain a few ministers for England,‡ it could

\* In 1784.

† In 1785.

‡ In 1788 he ordained Alexander Mather deacon, elder, and superintendent or bishop (*ἐπίσκοπος*), and on

not but be seen that what had been done in the case of the few could not always be refused as respected their brethren at large. As little could it be expected that while for various reasons, in addition to London and Bristol, which had enjoyed this privilege from the beginning, more and more places were allowed to have preaching in church hours, the concession of the same privilege to other places that might desire it could be permanently denied.

In weighing this summary of facts, Churchmen are also bound in justice to remember that it was the continued refusal of the clergy in Bristol to administer the Lord's Supper to the Methodists, and even to the Wesleys themselves, which drove the brothers to administer it to the 'societies' in their own meeting-house. Similar conduct constrained Wesley to allow separate services in more and more places, and, in the end, to ordain some of his own preachers to assist him in administering the sacraments to his societies even in England.

- < Ash Wednesday, 1789, he ordained Henry Moore and Thomas Rankin presbyters, and empowered them to administer the sacraments to the Methodists of England. (See an able article on 'The First Principles of Early Methodism' in the *London Quarterly Review* for January, 1884).

It was in 1755 that the demands of the preachers and the societies for the administration of the Lord's Supper among themselves, and by the hands of the preachers, first began to make themselves powerfully felt. Wesley had much ado to resist the importunities of his flock, which were enforced with much feeling and with the weight of strong reasons. Such men as Thomas Walsh and Joseph Cownley—that is to say, the very best of his preachers—headed this movement. For five, ten, fifteen years they had been preaching, and the societies had grown up to maturity under their pastoral care and instructions. It was no wonder if they felt that the pastoral character of those who were *de facto* the ministers of the churches ought to be completed; and it is very much to the credit of these excellent men and able preachers that considerations of Christian expediency, forcibly urged by John Wesley, prevailed with them to hold their claims in abeyance, and to labour on—in some cases for more than thirty years afterwards—as mere preaching deacons. Those who wish to understand fully all that belongs to this interesting section of Methodist history must study the pages of Mr. Jackson, in his *Life of Charles Wesley*. It was in connection with this that the controversy began between

↑ Wesley and his brother Charles, some of the last passages in which, thirty years later, have been already referred to. Mr. Jackson says :

‘ The year 1755 was a sort of crisis in Methodism, because then a controversy on these subjects began, which was not finally settled until some years after the founders of the system had ended their life and labours. In London and Bristol the Lord’s Supper was regularly administered by a clergyman ; but in most other places both the preachers and the societies were expected to attend this ordinance in their several parish churches. In many instances the clergy who officiated there were < not only destitute of piety, but were immoral in their lives ; and doubts arose, whether such men, notwithstanding their ordination, were true ministers of Christ, and whether it was not a sin to encourage them in the performance of duties for which they were so manifestly destitute of the requisite qualifications. The clergyman at Epworth, who repelled Mr. John Wesley from the Lord’s Table, and assaulted him before the whole congregation, was notoriously drunk at the time. In other cases, the doctrine which was taught in the churches was deemed not only defective but positively erroneous ; especially when justification by faith, and the work of the Holy Spirit, were peremptorily denied and opposed. Several of the clergy were directly concerned in the instigation of riotous proceedings against the Methodists, by which their property was destroyed, and their lives were endangered ; and if the sufferers forgave these injuries, it was too much to expect that they would contentedly receive the memorials of the Saviour’s death at the hands of men who had encouraged such outrages upon humanity and justice. If John Nelson could profitably receive the Holy Communion from the minister

who, by bearing false witness against him, had succeeded in tearing him away from his family, and sending him into the army, every one had not John's meekness and strength of mind. Not a few of the clergy absolutely refused to administer the Lord's Supper to the Methodists. When these people approached the table of the Lord, they were singled out among the communicants and denied the sacred emblems of their Redeemer's body and blood. This was the case, as we have seen, at Bristol, at Leeds, in Derbyshire, and other places; so that the Methodists were compelled either to receive the Lord's Supper at the hands of their own preachers, or in the Dissenting Chapel, or to violate the command of the Lord, who has charged all His disciples to "eat of this bread and drink of this cup." Great uneasiness therefore existed among the preachers, and in several of the societies.\*

In regard to what was determined upon at the Conference of 1755, Wesley wrote to his brother as follows:—

‘Do not you understand that they all promised by Thomas Walsh, not to administer, even among themselves? I think that a huge point given up; perhaps more than they could give up with a clear conscience.

‘They showed an excellent spirit in this very thing. Likewise when I (not to say you) spoke once and again, spoke *satis pro imperio*, when I reflected on their answers, I admired their spirit, and was ashamed of my own.

‘The practical conclusion was, not to separate from the Church. Did we not all agree in this? Surely either you or I must have been asleep, or we could not differ so widely in a matter of fact.

\* Jackson's *Life of Charles Wesley*, vol. ii., pp. 68, 69.



‘Here is Charles Perronet raving, because “his friends have given up all”; and Charles Wesley, because “they have given up nothing”; and I, in the midst, staring and wondering both at one and the other.

‘I do not want to do anything more, unless I could bring them over to my opinion : and I am not in haste for that.

\* \* \* \* \*

‘Thomas Walsh (I will declare it on the house-top) has given me all the satisfaction I desire, and all that an honest man could give. I love, admire, and honour him; and wish we had six preachers in all England of his spirit. But enough of this. Let us draw the saw no longer, but use all our talents to promote the mind that was in Christ.

‘We have not one preacher who either proposed, or desires, or designs (that I know) to separate from the Church at all. Their principles in this single point of ordination I do not approve; but I pray for more and more of their spirit (in general) and practice.

‘Driving me may make me fluctuate; though I do not yet.’\*

Much is made by many Churchmen of the injunctions which Wesley so often gave to his people down to his last days, not to separate from the Church of England. There can be no doubt that he had a passionate desire to keep them as long as possible, and as many of them as possible, within that fold; but no injunctions or entreaties on his part could change the logic of facts or prevent the neces-

\* *Wesley's Works*, xii., pp. 108, 110.

sary consequences of the course he himself pursued so steadily for fifty years. Besides, his sayings on the other side were sharp and strong, and cannot but have the more weight as having been wrung from him in spite of himself, in spite of the strongest bias in the other direction. Writing to his brother Charles, Wesley says, in 1755, in a letter from which I have already quoted: 'Joseph Cownley says, "For such and such reasons I dare not hear a drunkard preach or read prayers." I answer, *I dare*, but I cannot answer his reasons.' And again, writing still to his brother thirty years later, in 1786, he says: 'The last time I was at Scarborough I earnestly exhorted our people to go to church, and I went myself. But the wretched minister preached such a sermon that I could not in conscience advise them to hear him any more.'\*

It is truly said, and much stress is laid upon this point, that Wesley urged his preachers and people not to hold their services in church hours. This was his rule; but it is equally true that in London and Bristol, his chief centres, the services had almost from the beginning been held in church hours, that he sanctioned many other exceptions to the rule,

\* *Works*, xii., pp. 109, 144.

and that the number of exceptions increased as the years went on, until at length, in 1788, general liberty was given to hold such services wherever the people did not object, except only on Sacrament Sunday. This exception was absolutely necessary, because, as a rule, Methodists could only obtain the sacrament at church. As yet but few of the preachers were ordained. Wesley and Coke, Wesley's lieutenant after his brother Charles ceased to itinerate, could rarely visit any given place, and they never visited some places. Local preachers supplied the pulpit, leaders met the classes; but neither could administer the sacraments.

Wesley's views as to the Established Church were—as has been shown—very lax. Regarded as a National Church, he defined it to be merely a political institution. He seems to have considered that every one who believed the main doctrines of the Church of England and lived a Christian life, according to his best lights and opportunities, so long as he did not consciously or deliberately dissent from that Church, was to be regarded as a member of it.\* We must bear this in mind if we would understand how it was that Wesley, at

\* Wesley's letter to his brother Charles in 1785, quoted on p. 70.

the same time, earnestly desired and entreated his people generally to remain as closely as possible attached to the Church of England, and yet, whenever any usage, or customary right, or even law, of that Church seemed to come into conflict with what he regarded as the spread of evangelical truth and life, was prepared to make an entire and unhesitating sacrifice of it. He regarded the Church of England, indeed, and all belonging to it as only a means to an end. Hence, in 1755, when his brother Charles was trembling and indignant in the prospect, as he foreboded, of a speedy and organic separation of many of the preachers and of the societies from the Church, Wesley wrote to him thus :—

‘Wherever I have been in England the societies are far more firmly and rationally attached to the Church than ever they were before. I have no fear about this matter. I only fear the preachers’ or the people’s leaving, not the Church, but the love of God, and inward or outward holiness. To this I press them forward continually. I dare not, in conscience, spend my time and strength on externals. If, as my Lady (Huntingdon) says, all outward Establishments are Babel, so is this Establishment. Let it stand for me. I neither set it up nor pull it down. But let you and I build up the city of God.’\*

\* *Works*, xii., p. 110.

Again, still more notable are his words already quoted at the end of the last chapter :—

‘My conclusion, which I cannot yet give up—that it is lawful to continue in the Church—stands, I know not how, without any premisses that are to bear its weight. I know the original doctrines of the Church are sound ; I know her worship is, in the main, pure and Scriptural. But if the “essence of the Church of England, considered as such, consists in her orders and laws” (many of which I myself can say nothing for) “and not in her worship and doctrines,” those who separate from her have a far stronger plea than I was ever sensible of.’

Again, in 1786, writing to his brother, Wesley said, ‘As you observe, one may leave a church (which I would advise in some cases) without leaving *the* Church. Here we may remain in spite of all wicked or Calvinistic ministers.’ In the same year, a month earlier, he had written, also to his brother, ‘Indeed, I love the Church as sincerely as ever I did ; and I tell our societies everywhere, “The Methodists will not leave the Church, at least while I live.”’ †

The limitation intimated in the last clause quoted is not without significance. But there were occasions on which Wesley contemplated the possibility of actual Dissent, even on his own part, although assuredly no alternative,

\* *Works*, xiii., pp. 185, 186. The date is 1755.

† *Works*, xii., pp. 144, 145.

no extremity could well have been more repugnant to all his tastes and feelings. The Bishop of London having excommunicated a clergyman for preaching without a license, Wesley wrote respecting this, 'It is probable the point will now be determined concerning the Church, for if we must either dissent or be silent, *actum est.*' 'Church or no Church,' again he wrote, 'we must attend to the work of saving souls.'\*

It was at last brought to the sharp issue which Wesley dreaded—so far as many, and in the end all, of his congregations were concerned. They were obliged either to *dissent or be silent*. One of Wesley's latest letters, addressed to a bishop, relates to this subject. The Methodists found themselves forced either to register their meeting-houses as 'Protestant Dissenting' places of worship, or else to forego all the protection and benefits of the Toleration Act. I give the Methodist patriarch's letter entire. He was eighty-seven years old when he wrote it.

'MY LORD,—It may seem strange that one who is not acquainted with your lordship should trouble you with a letter. But I am constrained to do it; I believe it is my duty both to God and your lordship. And I

\* Stevens' *History of Methodism*, i., p. 398.



~~~~~  
must speak plain, having nothing to hope or fear in this world, which I am on the point of leaving.

‘The Methodists, in general, my lord, are members of the Church of England. They hold all her doctrines, attend her service, and partake of her sacraments. They do not willingly do harm to any one, but do what good they can to all. To encourage each other herein, they frequently spend an hour together in prayer and exhortation. Permit me, then, to ask, *cui bono*? “For what reasonable end” would your lordship drive these people out of the Church? Are they not as quiet, as inoffensive, nay, as pious as any of their neighbours, except perhaps here and there a harebrained man who knows not what he is about? Do you ask, Who drives them out of the Church? Your lordship does, and that in the most cruel manner, yea, and the most disingenuous manner. They desire a license to worship God after their own conscience. Your lordship refuses it, and then punishes them for not having a license! So your lordship leaves them only this alternative, “Leave the Church or starve.” And it is a Christian, yea, a Protestant Bishop that so persecutes his own flock. I say persecutes, for it is a persecution to all intents and purposes. You do not burn them, indeed, but you starve them. And how small is the difference! And your lordship does this under colour of a vile, execrable law, not a whit better than that *De Hæretico Comburendo*. So persecution, which is banished out of France, is again countenanced in England.

‘O my lord, for God’s sake, for Christ’s sake, for pity’s sake, suffer the poor people to enjoy their religious as well as civil liberty. I am on the brink of eternity. Perhaps so is your lordship too. How soon may you also be called to give an account of your stewardship to the great Shepherd and Bishop of our

souls! May He enable both you and me to do it with joy! So prays, my lord,

‘Your lordship’s dutiful son and servant.’\*

Thus were the Methodists compelled, against their own will, as well as sorely against the will of their founder, to become, in legal construction, Protestant Dissenters.

Nevertheless, it is remarkable how slowly the process of actual separation proceeded. The date of the letter just quoted was June 26th, 1790, a few weeks before the last Conference at which Wesley presided. What effect the new condition of things might have produced on his views or conduct if he had been a younger man and had lived a few years longer, it is impossible to conjecture. He was still hoping for relief from this stringent and impolitic application of the Conventicle Act up to the date of his death. But it is certain that the Dissenting party within the Conference and among the societies (by no means a small or feeble party) must have been stimulated and strengthened by finding themselves forced into the legal position of Dissenters. Nevertheless, the spirit of Wesley prevailed in the councils of his followers after his death to a degree which, all things considered, is really surprising.

\* *Works*, xiii., p. 137.

*expressing*  
*moment* In 1787 Wesley had said, 'When the Methodists leave the Church of England, God will leave them'; in 1788, that the 'glory' of the Methodists had been 'not to be a separate body,' that they 'would not be a distinct body,' and that 'the more he reflected the more he was convinced that the Methodists ought not to leave the Church'; in 1789, that 'none who regarded his judgment or advice would separate from the Church of England.' And as a matter of fact, notwithstanding the enforcement of the Conventicle Act, the Conference after Wesley's death did not 'separate from the Church of England.'

What Wesley dreaded first and most in separation was its want of charity, its schismatic temper and tendency. Many passages might be quoted to prove this. His whole soul revolted from the thought of his people deliberately, for reasons assigned, and upon a manifesto of dissent and separation, severing themselves from the Church. If there were to be separation, his determination all through life was, that the separation should be imposed and forced upon, not sought or determined by, the Methodists. He could not but be aware, moreover, that the conscious and deliberate organisation of his people into a separate Church would be in many ways a hazardous

---

and precarious experiment. He was persuaded that the express adoption by himself and his people of the status and principles of a Dissenting sect would bring disorganisation and ruin to Methodism.

Wesley knew indeed that what he had done amounted to partial separation from the Church of England, regarded as an organised body, and that this separation was very likely to spread farther and farther; he knew that he had done a number of things, each of which contained the principle of a complete separation, unless the Church of England should take some special means of reform and comprehension, to prevent such a separation, and to gather into organic connexion the churches of Methodism. His longing was that such means might be taken: and as long as it was possible, he would, for his part, keep the door of hope for union open. His object was not division or separation, but revival and re-animation. Hence his invincible opposition to all proposals for express and general separation from the Church. If separation was to ensue, he would leave the blame of it entirely on the supineness or the intolerance of the Anglican clergy. His hand, at least, should not sever the tie. He knew, however, that after his death, unless

a change came over the character and policy of the clergy, a separation must ensue before long. He knew that the very steps he had taken had shown the way to effect such a separation: and he never repented of those steps, although he saw most clearly whither they pointed. Had the Church known the day of her visitation, no separation need have ensued. If it did not, a separation was inevitable, and even desirable; and it was necessary that the way wisely to provide against such a contingency should be indicated. Besides, every one of the steps he had taken had been imperatively forced upon him by the necessities of his evangelical labours. Providence had indicated them. The work must have been brought to a stand without them. And if, through the obstinacy of the Church of England, the steps thus forced upon Wesley were to prepare the way for a separation, this also must be right, and in the order of Providence.\*

\* The following extract from Wesley's Journal, under date August 4th, 1788 (*Works*, vol. iv.), will illustrate what is said in the text:—'The sum of a long conversation [at the annual Conference] was—1. That in the course of fifty years we had neither premeditatedly nor willingly varied from it in one article of doctrine or discipline. 2. That we were not yet conscious of varying from it in any point of doctrine. 3. That we

John Wesley had striven to hope, like his brother, that through the wisdom of the bishops some way might be devised for preserving Methodism in its spirit and discipline, and yet keeping the Methodists in communion with the Church of England, and making Methodism subsidiary to that Church—a source to it of life and power. But years passed on, and all his intimations were rejected; it became more and more evident that Methodism was producing little or no change within the recognised precincts of the Church itself; and that the clergy and their bishops, with very few exceptions, were determined to frown upon Methodism; while the needs of the people of

have in a course of years, out of necessity, not choice, slowly and warily varied in some points of discipline, by preaching in the fields, by extemporary prayer, by employing lay-preachers, by forming and regulating societies, and by holding yearly Conferences. But we did none of these things till we were convinced we could no longer omit them, but at the peril of our souls.' It must be admitted, however, that the list of 'variations' is very formidable, and that it would be difficult to discover in what respect, for fifty years, Wesley had adhered, as a clergyman, to the discipline of his Church. He had been constrained, in fact, to found a separate community, established on principles and informed with a spirit altogether in contrariety to the principles and spirit of the Anglican Establishment.



England still pressed as before, and the demands of his own societies at home and abroad to have provided for them the due administration of the holy sacraments were continually becoming more general and more resolute. Under these circumstances Wesley was obliged, however reluctantly, to ordain some of his preachers. We have seen what the case of America was; that of Scotland was scarcely less clear, the Methodists there could not well be members of Calvinistic churches; in parts of England, where crowds of Methodists found only profane, often insulting, clergymen, it was impossible—it would have been monstrous—continually to withstand their demand. Wesley did withstand the demands of many of his people, and the convictions of not a few of his best preachers, so long as to drive a considerable number both of the preachers and people outright into Dissent. Mr. Edwards founded an Independent church at Leeds, Titus Knight one at Halifax, John Bennet a number in Lancashire, Charles Skelton one at Southwark, and so forth. It is quite certain that, but for the sacramental ministrations of the Wesleys, of the few clergymen who assisted them, as Grimshaw, Fletcher, and Dickinson, and of the few preachers whom he ordained, the number of Independent churches formed

out of Methodist churches would have been much greater. And after Wesley's death, if the preachers had not at length, after some years of turmoil and intense excitement, yielded to the reasonable demands of the people, and consented to allow the sacraments to be administered in those societies in which otherwise peace could not have been preserved, it is certain that tens of thousands of Dissenters would have been added to the ranks of those who were opposed on principle to the Established Church.

The Conference, as I have said, after Wesley's death acted in harmony with the spirit of their founder. Even the enforcement of the Conventicle Act, the hardships of which were not removed till 1812, when Lord Liverpool passed an Act repealing the obnoxious and oppressive restrictions on the liberty of preaching, did not drive them into any extreme course. They suffered indeed, between 1791 and 1795, the peace of the Connexion to be most seriously embroiled, and allowed many of their churches to be brought to the verge of dissolution, before they consented to permit even the gradual extension of separate services in church hours and of sacramental administration by their own preachers for the members of the 'societies.'

In giving this guarded permission they still did but follow the precedent of Wesley, and act in conformity with his spirit and principles. They never at any time decreed a separation of Methodism from the Church of England ; that separation was effected by the particular societies distributively and the individual members personally, not at all by the action or on the suggestion, but only by the permission, of the Conference. The Wesleyan Conference did not in fact recognise and provide for the actual condition of ecclesiastical independency into which the Connexion had been brought until that condition had long existed ; and Methodist preachers abstained from using the style and title appropriate to ordained ministers, and from assuming in any way collectively the language of complete pastoral responsibility, until by the universal action of the Connexion the 'societies' had, of their own will, practically separated themselves from the Church of England and forced their preachers into the full position and relations of pastors —pastors in common of a common flock, who recognised them alone as their ministers and amongst whom they itinerated by mutual arrangement.

Looking at the whole evidence, it appears to be undeniable that, so far as respects the

separate development of Methodism, Wesley not only pointed but paved the way to all that has since been done, and that the utmost divergence of Methodism from the Church of England at this day is but the prolongation of a line the beginning of which was traced by Wesley's own hand. It is idle to attempt to purge Wesley of the sin of schism in order to cast the guilt upon his followers. There is, indeed, neither sin nor, properly understood, schism in the case, unless it be that the sin of persecution and proscription may be chargeable on some of the ministers and people of the Church of England. But at any rate Wesley himself led his people into the course which they have since consistently pursued.

It is, at the same time, no less undeniable that separation was the necessary result of Wesley's work, because the Church of England failed to make any provision—in fact it made no effort towards providing—for the incorporation of Methodism within its own system.

## CHAPTER V.

THE POSITION OF WESLEYAN METHODISM IN  
REGARD TO THE CHURCH OF ENGLAND SINCE  
THE DEATH OF WESLEY.

AFTER Wesley's death, the preachers trod most strictly in the steps of their founder; they breathed the self-same spirit: they 'walked by the same rule'; they 'minded the same thing.' They took no step towards independence which was not forced upon them; they passed no resolution or law declaring or compelling separation. As many of the Methodists as chose were not only at liberty, but by the majority of the preachers were encouraged, to attend their parish church, and to take the Sacrament from the parish priest. And for many years after Wesley's death a large proportion of the Methodists continued so to do. Only by degrees, and through individual conviction and preference, were the Methodists as a community actually separated from the Church of England.\*

After Wesley's death, indeed, the feeling which the venerable founder of Methodism

\* See Joseph Benson's letter to Mr. Thompson of Hull in 1800.

had, for many years, experienced the greatest difficulty in repressing, and which many among his preachers, and vastly more among his people, had only suppressed out of deference to the feelings and authority of one whom they regarded as their bishop and patriarch, broke out with overwhelming force. The people demanded what they could not but regard as their evangelical right—that the sacraments should be administered to them by those who had so long been their pastors and preachers. A number of trustees—men of property, in many instances; in others, Methodists that had been, who had become Church formalists; in some cases, good, single-eyed, conservative followers of ‘Old Methodism’—and most of the preachers, were at first opposed to the people’s demands. Year by year, however, the feeling of the societies became stronger and more unanimous; the opposition of the trustees sank away; the preachers became convinced that the people’s demands must either be conceded, or Methodism altogether broken up, leaving no permanent result except a multitude of scattered Dissenting congregations. The Conference and the itinerancy would have been destroyed. Dissent would have been enormously strengthened; the name of the Established Church



would have been rendered intolerable to multitudes. Accordingly, after a resistance protracted for four years, it was settled at the Conference of 1795, that, where a majority of the stewards and leaders in any society, and also of the trustees of the chapel, desired it, the Lord's Supper might be administered. No society was advised to ask for this; the tone of the Conference to the last was rather dissuatory; but provision was made that, society by society, where the members insisted on the sacraments being administered, they should be administered. This is all the separation from the Church of England which has ever taken place in Methodism. It took five-and-twenty years to consummate the result. That result was that the ministers finally came to administer the Sacrament in every circuit and every society.

This result was hastened by the intolerance of many of the clergy and also by the religious indifference, and, too often, the open misconduct, of many more. In 1800, according to the concurrent testimony of Churchmen themselves, the clergy at large were little, if at all, superior to what they had been fifty years before.

It is now the policy of Churchmen to allege that Wesley was a Churchman to the last, and that, if Wesleyans were consistent,

---

they would be Churchmen too. Fifty years ago, Churchmen took very different ground, and argued that Wesley was, throughout all his active career, a 'schismatic,' and no other than a Dissenter, whatever he might fancy himself to be. The facts are quite as much in favour of this view as of that now set forth by our modern Churchmen.

Truth, however, on the whole gains through these discussions. Feeling and opinion oscillate from one extreme to another; but there is progress notwithstanding towards an equitable and comprehensive settlement of the question. Churchmen now admit that the corruption and supineness of their Church in the middle of the last century were such as to justify irregularities; they admit, moreover, and lament that Wesley and his people were coarsely and often cruelly driven out from a communion in which he and his brother, most honestly and intensely, and many of his people very seriously, desired to remain. Further still, they justify by the schemes and proposals which, even at the present day, they set forth for the comprehension of Methodism, notwithstanding its separate existence for more than half a century, those ideas and hopes as to the possibility of retaining Methodism within the Church, if the bishops and clergy had but

been willing, which the Wesleys ventured to indulge.

All this is so far good ; it is eminently satisfactory to Methodists ; it is accompanied with many indications of Christian candour and kindness on the part of Churchmen which ought to be frankly and cordially acknowledged and reciprocated. It remains only for them to learn two things—that Wesley ceased to be a High Churchman five-and-forty years before his death, and that Wesleyan Methodism cannot be absorbed. The former point is, as I venture to affirm, settled by this investigation ; the second may be said to be a direct consequence from the first, but is, besides, rendered certain by other considerations.

Methodism, as I have noted in an early part of this volume, if it were to be ‘reconciled’ to the Church of England, would have to part company with the other Christian churches and communions throughout the world. The liberty of friendship and co-operation which it now enjoys would have to be given up. From a large and wealthy place, where almost all evangelical churches can meet, it would have to retire into a very strait room.

The genius of Methodism, as now fully organised into a church, and that of Anglican

---

Episcopacy are mutually repellent and exclusive. In the Church of England everything depends absolutely upon the bishop or the minister, or, as they say, 'the priest.' This is not the case in Methodism. No leader can be appointed without the concurring vote of the Leaders' Meeting, nor any local preacher be admitted on trial or into full recognition except on the resolution and by the vote of the Local Preachers' Meeting. The power of discipline is, to a large extent, in the hands of the Leaders' Meeting. No member can be censured or expelled, unless he has been found guilty at a Leaders' Meeting, or by a committee of the Quarterly Meeting. No minister can be introduced into the pulpit of a Methodist chapel who has not been recommended to the ministry by the Quarterly Meeting of the circuit to which he belongs. All this, I apprehend, is contrary to the essential principles of the Church of England. How could these provisions be fitted into harmony with an organisation in which the sole and absolute power of the clergy, as such, to admit to communion or to repel, is, however it may be in practical abeyance, a fundamental principle, and in which the law of patronage remains supreme? Moreover, it would be impossible for the Church of England to admit all

Methodist ministers, merely as such, to take full pastoral rank and authority in the administration of the sacraments. To do so would be to renounce the dogma of sacerdotal succession, and to admit that the validity of orders has no relation to episcopal authority. And, on the other hand, it is certain that neither the Methodist people nor their ministers would endure a word of re-ordination, or consent to the relinquishment of the right of sacramental administration.

Besides, it is just as likely that Methodism should absorb Anglican Episcopacy as that Anglican Episcopacy should absorb Methodism. Methodism has already, within the network of its own sister or daughter churches, a more widespread and a more numerous 'connexion' and communion of churches—a vaster host of adherents—than Anglican Episcopacy can sum up in all its branches and correlatives. As a world-power, Methodism is the more potent in its operation and influence. For the Church of England (so called) now to absorb Methodism would be a portentous operation. It would be more hazardous than to put new wine into old bottles.\*

\* In a letter which appeared in the *Times* in September, 1867, the Rev. L. H. Wiseman furnished the following statistics:—'In the United Kingdom there

But surely, in all reason and modesty, the Church of England should heal her own breaches before her Congresses give sittings to consider how to effect the inclusion and reconciliation of Nonconformists within her own pale. There are three parties within the Church of England—High, Broad, and Low.

are belonging to the original Wesleyan society 356,727 recognised and registered members. Careful inquiries have shown that for every member three other persons may be added, either as regular hearers though not avowed members, or as children of members who are being brought up in the faith of their parents; thus giving a total of a million and a half of adherents. In Australia, the West Indies, Canada, and other colonies where the English language is spoken, the number calculated in the same way will be about 573,000 more. The several bodies which have separated on disciplinary grounds—none of them on any doctrinal ground—from the original society, number in England and the colonies 288,000 members, or 1,152,000 adherents. It will thus be seen that in England and its dependencies considerably over three million persons are attached to the Methodist communions. If we turn to the United States a recent return places the membership of the Methodist Episcopal Church at 1,700,000; the numbers cannot be given at present with absolute exactness from some of the churches in the South. It is generally estimated, however, in the United States that this Church numbers not less than seven million adherents; and there are, in addition, as is the case in England, minor bodies which have separated from the parent Church, though still holding the Methodist



If the High are to reconcile Nonconformists with themselves, Nonconformists must embrace Apostolic Succession and sacramental efficacy—in fact, embrace that which, in its essentials, is Popery. If the Nonconformists are to be reconciled on the principles of the Low-Church, they must contrive to harmonise evangelical Calvinism or Arminianism, as the

name and discipline, whose followers may be estimated at a million more. Putting all these members together, it will appear that the several branches of the Methodist communion number between eleven and twelve million persons in those countries where the English language is spoken. Taking the same area of comparison, what now is the number of adherents of the Anglican communion? To begin with the United Kingdom, it is well known that in Scotland and Ireland they form only a small part of the population; but in England they probably equal all the Nonconforming bodies put together. . . . Allowing for Ireland and Scotland, it appears a fair calculation to allow to her eight millions of adherents in the United Kingdom. As to the colonies, computation is difficult. Throughout Canada and Australia the number of Methodist clergy and places of worship greatly exceeds the number belonging to the Church of England; for example, the number of Methodist clergy in Canada last year was 1,003, and of Anglicans, 479; but let it be supposed that the number of Churchmen in the colonies is a million, or nearly double the number we have put down for the Methodists, and let the Anglicans in the United States, whose communicants have been estimated at 250,000, be put down at a million or a million

case may be, with the Prayer-book, if not also with the fabulous hypothesis of Apostolical Succession, which, fascinating dream as it is to most Churchmen, is held by some even among the Low-Church clergy. If, again, Nonconformists are to be reconciled on the principles of the Broad-Church, they must make up their minds to accept a latitude of faith and construction in matters of religion which tends to dissolve all definite theology and all distinctions between faith and unbelief, between the Church and the world, which does away with all Church discipline and with all real and earnest Christian fellowship.

In good sooth, however, there are but two theories on which the Church of England can, as a matter of principle and of right, affect to and a half more, the total number of adherents will then be ten millions or ten millions and a half against the eleven millions and upwards belonging to the Methodists.'

The following tables confirm Mr. Wiseman's calculations, and enable us to supply corrections to the present time: The statistics for 1870 are taken from a German History of Methodism, published by Dr. Jacoby, a German minister of the American Methodist Episcopal Church, which is described as 'an interesting and comprehensive work,' and is published at the Book Room of the Methodist Episcopal Church at Bremen; those for 1884 are from the American Methodist Almanac for 1886:—

## GENERAL VIEW OF METHODISM IN DIFFERENT PARTS OF THE WORLD.

The figures marked \* with an asterisk are approximate, as no official report is to hand.

	Members.		Ministers.		Local Preachers.		Sunday-school Scholars.	
	1870.	1884.	1870.	1884.	1870.	1884.	1870.	1884.
<b>EUROPE.</b>								
<b>GREAT BRITAIN.</b>								
Wesleyan Methodists	367,306	445,638	1,565	1,917	10,470	14,183	601,801	879,112
Wesleyan Methodists in Ireland	20,699 } †		175 } †		1,600 } †		17,653 } †	
Primitive Wesleyans in Ireland	9,000 }	25,457	125 }	237	300 }	2,000*	10,000*	32,000*
Primitive Methodists	161,229	191,108	943	1,044	14,169	15,982	258,857	400,000*
Methodist New Connexion	26,309	33,819	162	215	1,119	1,271	68,582	78,500*
United Methodist Free Church	68,062	83,469	312	415	3,445	3,390	152,315	200,000*
Bible Christians	26,241	30,034	254	241	1,759	1,909	44,241	60,000*
Wesleyan Reformers	8,650	8,771	23	15	600	600*	18,036	18,200*
<b>FRANCE.</b>								
French Wesleyan Methodists	2,158	1,797	52	31	117	127	2,768	2,219
English Wesleyan Methodists	1119	271	3	13	37	2	168	576
<b>SPAIN AND PORTUGAL.</b>								
Wesleyan Methodists	37	406	2	9	—	11	275	455
<b>ITALY.</b>								
Wesleyan Methodists	790	1,542	4	28	61	22	743	691
<b>GERMANY.</b>								
Methodist Episcopal Church	6,956	9,000*	56	80*	29	52*	7,434	16,500*
Wesleyan Methodists (Evangelical Association included in America)	1,915	2,226	11	27	53	138	261	2,636
<b>DENMARK, SWEDEN, &amp; NORWAY.</b>								
Methodist Episcopalians	1,100	1,300*	19	25*	30	37*	564	700*
<b>AMERICA.</b>								
<b>BRITISH POSSESSIONS.</b>								
Wesleyan Conference in Canada	66,877		580		250*		53,024	
East-Indian Wesleyan Conference	16,291	168,803	160	1,638	119	1,959	15,742	125,000*
Methodist Episcopalians	28,957	2,100	216	45	224	—	23,000*	21,000*

UNITED STATES.	1,291,404	1,787,339	7,330	12,811	10,278	12,211	1,168,839	1,796,034
Methodist Episcopal Church								
Methodist Episcopal Church, South	535,681	877,299	2,495	4,045	4,431	5,869	500,000*	400,000*
African Bethel M. E. Church	200,000	391,044	550	1,882	1,501*	9,760	150,000*	150,000*
African Zion M. E. Church	64,800	300,000	694	2,000	700*	2,750	75,000*	110,000*
Coloured Methodist Episcopal	125,000	125,000	—	638	—	883	—	—
Protestant Methodists	100,000*	130,000	800*	1,500	800*	1,010	90,000*	111,000*
Wesleyan Methodists	25,000	23,590	236	267	164	215	23,000*	15,000*
Evangelical Association (including Canada and Germany)	60,241	119,758	478	953	382	599	40,855	118,000*
United Brethren in Christ	108,122	159,547	864	1,257	783	963	106,002	135,000*
Sundry Small Methodist Societies	55,000*	44,975	200*	353	200*	578	45,000*	61,000*
WEST INDIES.								
Wesleyan Methodists	43,802	50,669	90	107	370	540	21,577	29,434
ARGENTINE REPUBLIC.								
Methodist Episcopal Church	151	151*	7	7*	—	—	290	300*
ASIA.								
CHINA.								
Methodist Episcopal Church	1,415	1,800*	17	23*	64	72*	265	350*
Wesleyan Methodists	104	788	11	19	4	3	312	577†
INDIA.								
Methodist Episcopal Church	578	—	41	—	33	—	3,711	—
Wesleyan Methodists	635	2,924	33	64	13	98	5,333	17,545†
Ceylon.								
Wesleyan Methodists	1,980	4,341	30	66	58	153	3,765	11,556
AFRICA.								
LIHERIA.								
Methodist Episcopal Church	1,830	2,300*	15	23*	38	45*	1,240	1,700*
BRITISH COLONIES, including Missions to Heathens.								
Wesleyan Methodists	22,223	49,488	91	223	793	1,964	17,495	28,062
AUSTRALASIA.								
BRITISH COLONIES, including Missions to Heathen.								
Wesleyan Methodists	61,175	74,391	298	483	2,786	4,430	118,233	146,049
Methodist New Connexion (other Methodist Societies included in Great Britain)	8,329	1,448	97	35	155	—	6,824	10,030*
TOTAL	3,389,166	5,154,701	19,019	32,729	57,934	83,616	3,654,215	4,979,196

† These Churches are united.  
‡ Day and Sunday Scholars.

reclaim to itself all 'the Sects.' These are—the High-Church theory, which demands submission from all as of right, and counts Nonconformity to be the deadly sin of schism; and the Broad-Church theory, according to which the Church is co-extensive with the nation, and every Englishman, as such, is a member of Christ.

Methodists equally repudiate both theories. They reject the superstitious mediævalism of the one, and they detect the intrinsic un-Christianity, however disguised, of the other. They understand by schism an uncharitable division in a church, not a necessary separation from it; and they pray themselves, on behalf of the Church of England, tainted as it is with Romanising superstition, and distracted with incurable divisions, that God would be pleased to deliver it from 'false doctrine, heresy, and schism.' They do not desire to see Christendom distributed into merely National Churches, which could not be truly spiritual communities, nor to see one only church prevail, whatever might be its name, although they would not needlessly multiply denominations. They would leave the free influence of the truth, under the power of the Spirit, so to mould and adapt Churches in the midst of nations and of the world, as to exhibit

---

the Gospel and its fellowship according to the several aspects and modes best adapted, on the whole, to bring out into living power the manifold variety and fulness of the Gospel, and to produce the highest and richest total effect upon the nations and the world at large. Cherishing no hostility or animosity against the Church of England—desiring for it nothing worse than that it should be freed from all essential germs of Popish superstition and spiritual despotism, and should undergo, without violence or spoliation, a salutary and effective reorganisation—Wesleyan Methodists decline, without thanks, though with respect and goodwill, all overtures whatsoever for reunion, or (which is the same thing) for absorption. They must ‘abide in their lot’ till ‘the end of the days.’

---



## APPENDIX.

The following is a list of books and pamphlets relating to the subjects with which I have dealt in the foregoing pages:—

*Authorised Report of the Church Congress held at Wolverhampton, October 1—4, 1867.* London: Macmillan and Co. 1867.

*The Guardian Newspaper, Supplement, Wednesday, February 12th, 1868.* Report of the Meeting of Convocation for the Province of York.

*Methodism and the Established Church.* By the Rev. WILLIAM ARTHUR, M.A. *London Quarterly Review for July, 1856.*

*The First Principles of Early Methodism and The Methodist "Plan of Pacification," 1791—1795.* By the Rev. JOHN S. SIMON. *London Quarterly Review, January and October, 1884.*

*Life of Rev. Charles Wesley, M.A.* By THOMAS JACKSON. Two vols. 8vo. London: Wesleyan Conference Office. 1841.

*An Answer to the Question, Why are you a Wesleyan Methodist?* By THOMAS JACKSON. Sixth Edition. London: Wesleyan Conference Office. 1860.

*The Church and the Methodists.* Being the Substance of a Speech addressed to the Wesleyan Conference in 1834. By THOMAS JACKSON. Wesleyan Conference Office. 1834.

*The Life of Peter Böhler.* By the Rev. J. P. LOCKWOOD. *With an Introduction on the Early Religious Life of the Wesleys.* By the Rev. THOMAS JACKSON. Wesleyan Conference Office, 1868.

*The Question, Are the Methodists Dissenters? Fairly Examined.* By SAMUEL BRADBURN. 1792.

*A Reply to a Pamphlet, entitled, 'Considerations on a Separation of the Methodists from the Established Church.'* By HENRY MOORE. Bristol. 1794.

*The Crisis of Methodism; or, Thoughts on Church Methodists and Dissenting Methodists, including Strictures on Mr. KN\*X's 'Considerations,' and 'Candid Animadversions, &c.'* By JONATHAN CROWTHER, P.G. Bristol. 1795.\*

*Primitive Methodists, an Address from the Trustees of Broadmead and Guinea Street Chapels in Bristol to the Methodist Conference, and to all the Societies, &c.* Published by Order of the Trustees. Bristol. (Dated July, 1795.)

*A Vindication of the People called Methodists, in Answer to a 'Report from the Clergy of a District in the Diocese of Lincoln,' in a letter to Thomas Thompson, Esq., of Hull.* By JOSEPH BENSON, a Preacher among the Methodists. London. 1800.

*The Church and the Wesleyans. Their Differences shown to be Essential.* Oxford: J. H. Parker. 1843.

*Pastoral Advice of the Rev. John Wesley, M.A.* London: Masters and Co.

*The Church and Wesleyanism.* A Letter by the Rev. P. G. MEDD. London: Rivingtons. 1868.

*The Church and the Methodists.* By the Rev. C. HOLLAND HOOLE. 1868.

\* P.G. stands for 'Preacher of the Gospel.' Mr. Bradburn's pamphlet, of which the title is given above, is a most acute and masterly compendium of the whole question. Nothing can be more skilful than the way in which, without a word wasted or the colour of exaggeration, he puts his points; and nothing can be finer than the spirit in which he writes. His argument is exhaustive. He shows, amongst other things, that if the authorities of the Church of England had been willing, it might have been possible to accomplish, during Wesley's life-time, such a union of Methodism with the Established Church as is now desired by such Churchmen as Mr. Medd and Mr. Lyttelton.

---

*Occasional Papers of the Home Reunion Society.* Nos. 1—5. 7, Whitehall. 1878.

*History of Wesleyan Methodism.* Three vols. By G. SMITH, LL.D. London: Longmans.

*History of Methodism.* By ABEL STEVENS, D.D. Three vols. New York. London: Trübner and Co.

*John Wesley, the Church of England, and Wesleyan Methodism.* Their relation to each other clearly and fully explained in two dialogues. I. *Was John Wesley a High Churchman?* II. *Is Modern Methodism Wesleyan Methodism?* London: The Wesleyan Methodist Book-Room. 1883.

# WORKS BY THE REV. J. H. RIGG, D.D.

---

*A COMPARATIVE VIEW OF CHURCH ORGANIZATIONS*, Primitive and Protestant, with an Appendix on Methodist Secessions and Methodist Union. Demy 8vo., 5s.

---

*DISCOURSES AND ADDRESSES ON LEADING TRUTHS OF RELIGION AND PHILOSOPHY*. Demy 8vo, 10s. 6d.

‘The flower of Wesleyan scholarship. . . Deals with all the higher forms, not only of Christian life, but of Christian thought.’—*Nonconformist and Independent*.‡

---

Third Edition, Revised. With Memoir of Canon Kingsley.‡

*MODERN ANGLICAN THEOLOGY: Chapters on COLERIDGE, HARE, MAURICE, KINGSLEY, and JOWETT; and on the Doctrine of Sacrifice and Atonement, to which is prefixed a Memoir of Canon KINGSLEY, with Personal Reminiscences*. Crown 8vo, 7s. 6d.

‘Exceedingly cultured essays on the various aspects of Anglican theology. . . Dr. Rigg has added an exceedingly interesting and valuable memoir of Canon Kingsley. . . Firm, delicate, and for the most part, just criticism of Kingsley, both as a theologian and writer.’—*Nonconformist and Independent*.

‘We commend the volume for its scholarly character, for its thorough apprehension of the tendencies it criticises, and for the ability with which it sets forth the errors of those tendencies, and the extent to which they deviate from orthodox doctrine.’—*Edinburgh Daily Review*.

---

*THE CONNEXIONAL ECONOMY OF WESLEYAN METHODISM* in its Ecclesiastical and Spiritual Aspects. Crown 8vo, 3s. 6d.

‘Able, weighty, and powerful. . . This invaluable treatise.’—*Methodist Recorder*.

---

*THE LIVING WESLEY, AS HE WAS IN HIS YOUTH AND IN HIS PRIME*. Crown 8vo, 3s.

‘A restored portrait.’—*City Road Magazine*.

‘This remarkable work. . . No life of Wesley is complete without the addition of Dr. Rigg’s *Living Wesley*.’—*Watchman*.

WORKS BY THE REV. J. H. RIGG, D.D.—*Continued.*

**THE CHARACTER AND LIFE-WORK OF DR. PUSEY :**

A Sketch and Study. Crown 8vo, 1s.

‘A keen and able disquisition.’—*The Christian.*

---

**THE SABBATH AND THE SABBATH LAW**

before and after Christ. Second Edition. Crown 8vo, 2s.

‘Powerful and conclusive. . . Especially suitable to the present time. . . An evangelical and, in the best sense of the word, *liberal* book.’—*Wesleyan Methodist Magazine.*

‘Characterised by great fairness; clear and dispassionate.’—*Christian Age.*

---

**ESSAYS FOR THE TIMES ON ECCLESIASTICAL**

and Social Subjects, 8vo, 6s.

‘Essentially “Essays for the Times.” Dr. Rigg “catches the manners living as they rise.” . . . Wields a trenchant pen; he is keen, sagacious, and merciless in discriminating truth, and in exposing error.’—*British Quarterly Review.*

---

**NATIONAL EDUCATION IN ITS SOCIAL CONDI-**

tions and Aspects, and Public Elementary School Education, English and Foreign. Crown 8vo, 6s.

‘We know of no book in which the reader will find the educational schemes of the Continent and America laid before him in a manner so concise and yet so sufficient for practical purposes. . . . As a clear description and acute comparison of the different national schemes of education, Dr. Rigg’s book leaves nothing to be desired.’—*Times.*

‘History outlasts polemics; judgment is longer lived than partisan advocacy; and we therefore believe that Dr. Rigg’s homelier but steadier light will remain when Mr. Morley’s clever fireworks have gone out.’—*Guardian.*

---

**JOHN WESLEY, THE CHURCH OF ENGLAND,**

and Wesleyan Methodism: Their Relation to each other clearly and fully explained in Two Dialogues—

1. Was John Wesley a High Churchman?
2. Is Modern Methodism Wesleyan Methodism?

Crown 8vo, cloth, price 1s.

The Tracts constituting the above volume are sold separately. No. 1, price 2d., or 8s. per 100; No. 2, price 3d., or 12s. per 100.

---

PUBLISHED FOR THE AUTHOR,

T. WOOLMER, 2, CASTLE ST., CITY ROAD, E.C.;  
AND 66, PATERNOSTER ROW, E.C.

# Standard & Popular Works

PUBLISHED BY

CHARLES H. KELLY, 2, CASTLE ST., CITY RD., E.C.

**Life of Jabez Bunting, D.D.** By T. PERCIVAL BUNTING and Rev. G. STRINGER ROWE. 8vo, with Two Portraits. 10/6.

PRICE FIVE SHILLINGS.

**Sermons and Addresses: Chiefly Official.** By Dr. YOUNG. Demy 8vo.

**The Light of the World: Lessons from the Life of Our Lord for Children.** By the Rev. RICHARD NEWTON, D.D. Fcap. 4to. Numerous Ill. 'A most attractive and deeply interesting Sunday book for children.'

**A Comparative View of Church Organizations, Primitive and Protestant.** By the Rev. Dr. RIGG. Demy 8vo.

**American Methodism, A Compendious History of.** By ABEL STEVENS, LL.D. Crown 8vo, with Portraits.

**Toward the Sunrise: being Sketches of Travel in Europe and the East.** To which is added a Memorial Sketch (with Portrait) of the Rev. W. MORLEY PUNSHON, LL.D. By HUGH JOHNSTON, M.A., B.D. Crown 8vo. Numerous Illustrations.

**Fiji and the Fijians; and Missionary Labours among the Cannibals.** Sixth Thousand. Revised and Supplemented with Index. By Rev. JAMES CALVERT. Crown 8vo, with Portrait of Thakombau, a Map, and numerous Illustrations.

PRICE FOUR SHILLINGS.

**Zoology of the Bible.** By HARLAND COULTAS. Preface by the Rev. W. F. MOULTON, D.D. Imperial 16mo. 126 Illustrations.

**Missionary Anecdotes, Sketches, Facts, and Incidents.** By the Rev. WILLIAM MOISTER. Imperial 16mo. Eight Page Illustrations.

**The Brotherhood of Men; or, Christian Sociology.** By Rev. W. UNSWORTH.

PRICE THREE SHILLINGS AND SIXPENCE.

**Prayers for Christian Families.** With an Introduction on Family Prayer. By Dr. GREGORY. Crown 8vo. Red edges.

**Farrar's Biblical and Theological Dictionary.** Revised and Enlarged by Rev. J. R. GREGORY. Crown 8vo.

**Sermons, Addresses, Charges.** By Rev. J. BUSH. Cr. 8vo.

**By Canoe and Dog Train among the Red Indians.** By EGERTON R. YOUNG. Imperial 16mo. Profusely Illustrated.

**Consecrated Culture. Memorials of Benjamin Alfred Gregory, M.A.** By the Rev. Dr. GREGORY. Crown 8vo, with Portrait.

**Sermons by the Rev. W. MORLEY PUNSHON, LL.D.** Two Volumes. Crown 8vo. 3/6 each.

**Lectures by the Rev. W. MORLEY PUNSHON, LL.D.** Crown 8vo. 7,000.

12.89.



PRICE THREE SHILLINGS AND SIXPENCE (*Continued*).

- Uncle Jonathan's Walks in and around Loudon. Second Edition. Enlarged. Foolscap 4to. Profusely illustrated. Gilt edges.
- A Harmony of the Gospels in the Revised Version. Arranged by S. D. WADDY, Esq. Crown 8vo.
- A Manual of Christian Doctrine. By the Rev. J. S. BANKS. Second Edition. Crown 8vo.
- W. O. Simpson: Methodist Minister and Missionary. Early Life and Life in the Home Work by Rev. S. WRAY; Mission Life by Rev. R. STEPHENSON, B.A. Edited by Rev. JOSEPH BUSH. With Portrait.
- The Story of my Life and Missionary Labours. By the Rev. W. MOISTER. Crown 8vo, with Portrait.
- Recollections of my Life and Work at Home and Abroad in connection with the Wesleyan Methodist Conference. By WILLIAM HARRIS RULE, D.D., with Portrait.
- Dr. Gervase Smith's Memorial Volume. Sermons, Lectures and Biographical Sketch. With Portrait.
- Gems Reset; or, The Revised Wesleyan Catechisms Illustrated by Imagery and Narrative. By Rev. B. SMITH. Crown 8vo.
- Our Indian Empire; its Rise and Growth. By the Rev. J. SHAW BANKS. Imperial 16mo. Thirty-five Illustrations and Map.
- Northern Lights; or, Pen and Pencil Sketches of Twenty-one Modern Scottish Worthies. By Rev. J. MARRAT. Third Edition, enlarged. Crown 8vo. Portraits and Illustrations.
- Our Sea-Girt Isle: English Scenes and Scenery Delineated. By the Rev. J. MARRAT. Imperial 16mo. Map and 153 Illustrations.
- Rambles in Bible Lands. By the Rev. RICHARD NEWTON, D.D. Imperial 16mo. Seventy Illustrations.
- Popery and Patronage. Biographical Illustrations of Scotch Church History. By the Rev. J. MARRAT. Imperial 16mo. Ten Illustrations.
- Wycliffe to Wesley: Heroes and Martyrs of the Church in Britain. Imperial 16mo. Twenty-four Portraits and Forty other Illustrations.
- John Lyon; or, From the Depths. By RUTH ELLIOTT. Eighth Thousand. Crown 8vo. Five Full-page Illustrations.
- The Thorough Business Man: Memoir of Walter Powell, Merchant. By Rev. B. GREGORY. Eighth Edition. Crn. 8vo, with Portrait.
- The Life of Gideon Ouseley. By the Rev. WILLIAM ARTHUR, M.A. Ninth Thousand. Crown 8vo, with Portrait.
- Missionary Stories, Narratives, Scenes, and Incidents. By the Rev. W. MOISTER. Crown 8vo. Eight Page Illustrations.
- Vice-Royalty; or, a Royal Domain held for the King, and enriched by the King. Crown 8vo. Twelve page Illustrations. By Rev. B. SMITH.
- Sunshine in the Kitchen; or, Chapters for Maid Servants. Fourth Thousand. Crown 8vo. Numerous Illustrations. By Rev. B. SMITH.
- Way-Marks: Placed by Royal Authority on the King's Highway. Being One Hundred Scripture Proverbs, Enforced and Illustrated. Crown 8vo. Eight Page Engravings. By Rev. B. SMITH.

PRICE THREE SHILLINGS AND SIXPENCE (*Continued.*)

**The Great Army of London Poor.** Sketches of Life and Character in a Thames-side District. By the River-side Visitor. Third Edition. Crown 8vo. 540 pp. Eight Illustrations.

PRICE THREE SHILLINGS.

**Eminent Methodist Women.** By ANNIE E. KEELING. Crown 8vo, with Portrait. Gilt Edges,

PRICE TWO SHILLINGS AND SIXPENCE.

**Severn to Tyne.** The Story of Six English Rivers. By E. M. EDWARDS. Crown 8vo. Illustrated.

**Judge Burnham's Daughters.** By PANSY. Copyright Edition.

**Some Eminent Methodist Women.** By ANNIE E. KEELING. Crown 8vo, with Portraits.

**The Nine Famous Crusades of the Middle Ages.** By ANNIE E. KEELING. Crown 8vo. Numerous Illustrations.

**Mad Margrete.** By NELLIE CORNWALL, Author of 'Grannie Tresawna's Story,' etc. Crown 8vo. Three page Illustrations.

**For the King and the Cross.** By JESSIE ARMSTRONG.

**More than Kin.** By EMMA E. HORNIBROOK, Author of "The Shadow of Nobility."

**The Shadow of Nobility.** By EMMA E. HORNIBROOK. Author of 'The Queen of the Family.' Crown 8vo. Frontispiece.

'Sunny Fountains' and 'Golden Sand.' Pictures of Missionary Life in the South of the "Dark Continent." By ARTHUR BRIGG. Crown 8vo. Illustrations.

**Roger Haigh, Chartermaster.** By Mrs. R. A. WATSON, Author of 'Building her House,' etc. Frontispiece.

**Elias Power, of Ease-in-Zion.** By Rev. JOHN M. BAMFORD. 14,000. Crown 8vo. Seventeen Illustrations. Gilt edges.

**John Conscience, of Kingseal.** By Rev. J. M. BAMFORD, Author of 'Elias Power.' 5,000. Crown 8vo. 17 Illustrations, gilt edges.

**Father Fervent.** By JOHN M. BAMFORD. Crown 8vo, gilt edges. 4,000. Eighteen Illustrations.

**General Gordon; Hero and Saint.** By A. E. KEELING. Crown 8vo. Portrait and Eight Illustrations.

**Uncle Jonathan's Walks in and Around London.** Second Edition, Enlarged. Foolscap 4to. Profusely Illustrated.

**Nature Musings for Holy-Days and Holidays.** By Rev. N. CURNOCK, with Introduction by Dr. DALLINGER. Crown 8vo. 41 Illustr.

**My Mission Garden.** By Rev. S. LANGDON, Author of "Punchi Nona." Crown 8vo. Numerous Illustrations.

**The Man with the White Hat; or, The Story of an Unknown Mission.** By C. R. PARSONS. Crown 8vo. 21 Illustrations. Gilt edges.

**The Vicar of Berrybridge.** By C. R. PARSONS. Crown 8vo. 37 Illustrations. Gilt Edges.

**The Little Woman in Grey.** Scenes and Incidents in Home Mission Work. By C. R. PARSONS. Crown 8vo.

**The Hallam Succession.** A Story of Methodist Life in Two Countries. By A. E. BARR. Crown 8vo. Frontispiece.

**Fought and Won.** A Story of School Life. By RUTH ELLIOTT. 3,000. Crown 8vo, with Frontispiece.

PRICE TWO SHILLINGS AND SIXPENCE (*Continued.*)

- The Opposite House; and other Stories for Cottage Homes.** By A. FRANCES PERRAM.
- 'Than Many Sparrows.'** By ANNIE E. COURTENAY, Author of 'Tina and Beth.' Crown 8vo, with Frontispiece.
- Good News for Children; or, God's Love to the Little Ones.** By JOHN COLWELL. Crown 8vo, gilt edges. Fourteen Illustrations.
- Life of John Wicklif.** By Rev. W. L. WATKINSON. Portrait and Eleven Illustrations. Crown 8vo.
- Little Abe; or, the Bishop of Berry Brow.** Being the Life of Abraham Lockwood. By F. JEWELL. Crown 8vo, gilt edges. With Portrait.
- Cecily; a Tale of the English Reformation.** By EMMA LESLIE. Crown 8vo. Five full-page Illustrations.
- History of the English Bible.** By Dr. MOULTON. New and Revised Edition. Crown 8vo.
- Glimpses of India and Mission Life.** By Mrs. HUTCHEON. Crown 8vo. Eight Page Illustrations.
- The Beloved Prince: a Memoir of His Royal Highness, the Prince Consort.** By WILLIAM NICHOLS. Crown 8vo. With Portrait and Nineteen Illustrations. Cloth, gilt edges.
- Glenwood: a Story of School Life.** By JULIA K. BLOOMFIELD. Crown 8vo. Seven Illustrations.
- Undeceived: Roman or Anglican? A Story of English Ritualism.** By RUTH ELLIOTT. Eighth Thousand. Crown 8vo.
- At Miss Lamblion's.** A Story of School Life. By RICHARD ROWE. Crown 8vo. Sixteen Illustrations.
- The Story of a Peninsular Veteran: Sergeant in the 43rd Light Infantry during the Peninsular War.** Crown 8vo. 13 Illustrations.
- Rays from the Sun of Righteousness.** By the Rev. RICHARD NEWTON, D.D. Crown 8vo. Eleven Illustrations. Gilt edges.
- In the Tropics; or, Scenes and Incidents of West Indian Life.** By the Rev. JABEZ MARRAT. Crown 8vo, gilt edges, Illustrations, etc.
- Climbing: a Manual for the Young who Desire to Rise in Both Worlds.** By the Rev. BENJAMIN SMITH. Crown 8vo. Sixth Edition.
- Our Visit to Rome, with Notes by the Way.** By the Rev. JOHN RHODES. Royal 16mo. Forty-five Illustrations.
- The Lancasters and their Friends.** A Tale of Methodist Life. By S. J. F. Third Thousand. Crown 8vo.
- Leaves from my Log of Twenty-five years' Christian Work in the Port of London.** Eighth Thousand. Crown 8vo. Eight Illustrations.
- East End Pictures; or, More Leaves from My Log of Twenty-five Years' Christian Work.** By T. C. GARLAND. Fourth Thousand. Crown 8vo. Portrait and Five Illustrations.
- The Willow Pattern: A Story Illustrative of Chinese Social Life.** By the Rev. HILDRIC FRIED. Crown 8vo, gilt edges. Illustrations.
- Passages from the Diary of an Early Methodist.** By RICHARD ROWE. Crown 8vo.
- Orphans of the Forest; or, His Little Jonathan.** By A. E. COURTENAY. Foolscap 8vo. Four Illustrations.

## MARK GUY PEARSE'S WORKS.

*Eleven Volumes, Crown 8vo, Cloth, Gilt Edges. Price 2s. 6d. each.*

- 1.—Daniel Quorm, and his Religious Notions. FIRST SERIES. 88,000.
- 2.—Daniel Quorm, and his Religious Notions. SECOND SERIES. 37,000.
- 3.—Sermons for Children. 25,000.
- 4.—Mister Horn and his Friends; or, Givers and Giving. 27,000.
- 5.—Short Stories, and other Papers. 11,000.
- 6.—'Good Will': a Collection of Christmas Stories. 12,000.
- 7.—Simon Jasper. 21,000.
- 8.—Cornish Stories. 12,000.
- 9.—Homely Talks. 17,000.
- 10.—John Tregenoweth, Rob Rat, and The Old Miller and his Mill. 24,000.
- 11.—Short Talks for the Times.

The Christianity of Jesus Christ, Is it ours? Royal 16mo.  
Cloth, red edges.

Praise: Meditations in the 103rd Psalm. By MARK GUY PEARSE. Royal 16mo. Cloth, red edges.

Thoughts on Holiness. By MARK GUY PEARSE. Royal 16mo. Cloth, red edges.

Some Aspects of the Blessed Life. By MARK GUY PEARSE. Royal 16mo. Cloth, red edges.

## - PRICE TWO SHILLINGS.

My Black Sheep. By EVELYN EVERETT GREEN. Crown 8vo. Illustrated.

Raymond Theed: A Story of Five Years. By ELSIE KENDALL, author of "Friends and Neighbours."

Friends and Neighbours: A Story for Young Children. Crown 8vo. Illustrated.

Hugh Axe, of Hephzibah. By Rev. JOHN M. BAMFORD. Crown 8vo. Six Illustrations. Gilt edges.

Avice Tennant's Pilgrimage. A Tale of Bunyan's Days. By KATE T. SIZER. Crown 8vo. Six Illustrations

Great Britain and Her Queen. By A. E. KEELING. Crown 8vo. Profusely illustrated. Gilt edges.

Lights of the Western World: Biographical Sketches of Distinguished Americans. By JABEZ MARRAT. Crown 8vo. Portraits and Illustrations.

Grannie Tresawna's Story. By NELLIE CORNWALL. Crown 8vo. With Frontispiece.

Castle Malling: A Yorkshire Story. By A. E. KEELING. Crown 8vo. Five Illustrations.

Andrew Golding: A Story of the Great Plague. By ANNIE E. KEELING. Crown 8vo. Three Illustrations.

The Pride of the Family. By ANNIE E. KEELING. Crown 8vo. Five Illustrations.

The Oakhurst Chronicles: A Tale of the Times of Wesley. By ANNIE E. KEELING. Crown 8vo. Four Illustrations.

PRICE TWO SHILLINGS (*Continued*).

- Wayside Wisdom; or, Old Solomon's Ideas of Things.  
By Rev. JOHN COLWELL. Crown 8vo. Numerous Illustrations.
- Fortunes and Misfortunes, and other Stories. By Rev. W. H. BOOTH. Crown 8vo. Five Illustrations.
- Punchi Nona: A Story of Female Education and Village Life in Ceylon. By Rev. S. LANGDON. Crown 8vo. Numerous Illustrations.
- Valeria, the Martyr of the Catacombs. A Tale of Early Christian Life in Rome. By Dr. WITHROW. Crown 8vo. Illustrations.
- Poet Toilers in Many Fields. By Mrs. R. A. WATSON. Crown 8vo. Thirteen Illustrations.
- The 'Good Luck' of the Maitlands: a Family Chronicle. By Mrs. R. A. WATSON. Five Illustrations. Crown 8vo.
- Wilfred Hedley; or, How Teetotalism Came to Ellensmere. By S. J. FITZGERALD. Crown 8vo. Frontispiece.
- Master and Man. By S. J. FITZGERALD. Frontispiece.
- Equally Yoked: and other Stories. By S. J. FITZGERALD. Frontispiece.
- Coals and Colliers; or, How we Get the Fuel for our Fires. By S. J. FITZGERALD. Crown 8vo. Illustrations.
- Tina and Beth; or, the Night Pilgrims. By ANNIE E. COURTENAY. Crown 8vo. Frontispiece.
- James Daryll; or, From Honest Doubt to Christian Faith. By RUTH ELLIOTT. Crown 8vo. 8000.  
'We have seldom read a more beautiful story than this.'—*The Echo*.
- The King's Messenger: a Story of Canadian Life. By the Rev. W. H. WITHROW, M.A. Crown 8vo.
- The Basket of Flowers. Illustrated. Crown 8vo, gilt edges.
- The Dairyman's Daughter. Crown 8vo, gilt edges.
- The Great Apostle; or, Pictures from the Life of St. Paul. By the Rev. JABEZ MARRAT. Foolscap 8vo. 28 Illustrations and Map.
- Sir Walter Raleigh: Pioneer of Anglo-American Colonisation. By CHARLES K. TRUE, D.D. Foolscap 8vo. 16 Illustrations.
- Homes and Home Life in Bible Lands. By J. R. S. CLIFFORD. Foolscap 8vo. Eighty Illustrations.
- Hid Treasures, and the Search for Them: Lectures to Bible Classes. By the Rev. J. HARTLEY. Foolscap 8vo. With Frontispiece.
- Youthful Obligations. Illustrated by a large number of Appropriate Facts and Anecdotes. Foolscap 8vo. With Illustrations.
- Eminent Christian Philanthropists: Brief Biographical Sketches, designed especially as Studies for the Young. By the Rev. GEORGE MAUNDER. Fcap. 8vo. Nine Illustrations.
- The Tower, the Temple, and the Minster: Historical and Biographical Associations of the Tower of London, St. Paul's Cathedral, and Westminster Abbey. By the Rev. J. W. THOMAS. Second Edition, Foolscap 8vo. 14 Illustrations.
- The Prisoners' Friend: The Life of Mr. JAMES BUNDY, of Bristol. By his Grandson, the Rev. W. R. WILLIAMS. Fool cap 8vo.
- Adelaide's Treasure, and How the Thief came Unawares. By SARSON. Small Crown 8vo. Illustrated.

PRICE TWO SHILLINGS (*Continued.*)

- The Stolen Children.** By Rev. H. BLEBY. Foolscap 8vo.  
Six Illustrations.
- My Coloured Schoolmaster; and other Stories.** By the Rev.  
H. BLEBY. Foolscap 8vo. Five Illustrations.
- Female Heroism and Tales of the Western World.** By  
the Rev. H. BLEBY. Foolscap 8vo. Four Illustrations.
- Capture of the Pirates: with other Stories of the Western Seas.**  
By the Rev. HENRY BLEBY. Foolscap 8vo. Four Illustrations.

PRICE EIGHTEENPENCE. '*Little Ray*' Series. Royal 16mo.

- Little Ray and her Friends.** By RUTH ELLIOTT. Five  
Illustrations.
- The Breakfast Half-Hour; Addresses on Religious and Moral  
Topics.** By the Rev. H. R. BURTON. Twenty-five Illustrations.
- Gleanings in Natural History for Young People.** Profusely  
Illustrated.
- Broken Purposes; or, the Good Time Coming.** By LILLIE  
MONTFORT. Five Page Illustrations. Gilt edges.
- The History of the Tea-Cup; with a Descriptive Account of  
the Potter's Art.** By the Rev. G. R. WEDGWOOD. Profusely Illustrated.
- The Cliftons and their Play-Hours.** By Mrs. COSSLETT.  
Seven Page Illustrations.
- The Lilyvale Club and its Doings.** By EDWIN A. JOHNSON,  
D.D. Seven Page Illustrations.
- The Bears' Den.** By E. H. MILLER. Six Page Illustrations.
- Ned's Motto; or, Little by Little.** By the author of 'Faithful  
and True,' 'Tony Starr's Legacy.' Six Page Illustrations.
- A Year at Riverside Farm.** By E. H. MILLER. Six Page  
Illustrations.
- The Royal Road to Riches.** By E. H. MILLER. Fifteen  
Illustrations.
- Maude Linden; or, Working for Jesus.** By LILLIE MONTFORT.  
Four Illustrations.
- Oscar's Boyhood; or, the Sailor's Son.** By DANIEL WISE,  
D.D. Six Illustrations.
- Summer Days at Kirkwood.** By E. H. MILLER. Four  
Illustrations.
- Holy-days and Holidays; or, Memories of the Calendar for  
Young People.** By J. R. S. CLIFFORD. Numerous Illustrations.
- Talks with the Bairns about Bairns.** By RUTH ELLIOTT.  
Illustrated.
- My First Class; and other Stories.** By RUTH ELLIOTT.  
Illustrated.

'*Wee Donald*' Series. Royal 16mo.

- An Old Sailor's Yarn; and other Sketches from Daily Life.**
- The Stony Road; a Tale of Humble Life.**
- Stories for Willing Ears. For Boys.** By T. S. E.
- Stories for Willing Ears. For Girls.** By T. S. E.
- Thirty Thousand Pounds; and other Sketches from Daily Life.**
- 'Wee Donald'; Sequel to 'Stony Road.'**



PRICE EIGHTEENPENCE. *Foolscap 8vo Series.*

- Martin Luther, the Prophet of Germany.** By the Rev. J. SHAW BANKS. Foolscap 8vo. 13 Illustrations.
- Two Standard Bearers in the East; Sketches of Dr. DUFF and Dr. Wilson.** By Rev. J. MARRAT. Eight Illustrations.
- Three Indian Heroes: the Missionary; the Soldier; the Statesman.** By the Rev. J. SHAW BANKS. Numerous Illustrations.
- David Livingstone, Missionary and Discoverer.** By the Rev. J. MARRAT. Fifteen Page Illustrations.
- Columbus; or, the Discovery of America.** By GEORGE CUBITT. Seventeen Illustrations.
- Cortes; or, the Discovery and Conquest of Mexico.** By GEORGE CUBITT. Nine Illustrations.
- Pizarro; or, the Discovery and Conquest of Peru.** By GEORGE CUBITT. Nine Illustrations.
- Granada; or, the Expulsion of the Moors from Spain.** By GEORGE CUBITT. Seven Illustrations.
- James Montgomery, Christian Poet and Philanthropist.** By the Rev. J. MARRAT. Eleven Illustrations.
- The Father of Methodism: the Life and Labours of the Rev. John Wesley, A.M.** By Mrs. COSSLETT. Forty-five Illustrations.
- Old Truths in New Lights: Illustrations of Scripture Truth for the Young.** By W. H. S. Illustrated.
- Chequer Alley: a Story of Successful Christian Work.**
- The Englishman's Bible: How he Got it, and Why he Keeps it.** By the Rev. JOHN BOYES, M.A. Thirteen Illustrations.
- Home: and the Way to Make Home Happy.** By the Rev. DAVID HAY. With Frontispiece.
- Helen Leslie, or, Truth and Error.** By ADELINE.
- Building her House.** By Mrs. R. A. WATSON. Five Illustns.
- Crabtree Fold.** By Mrs. A. WATSON. Five Illustrations.
- Arthur Hunter's First Shilling.** By Mrs. CROWE.
- Hill Side Farm.** By ANNA J. BUCKLAND.
- The Boy who Wondered; or, Jack and Minnchen.**
- Kitty; or, The Wonderful Love.** By A. E. COURTENAY

PRICE EIGHTEENPENCE. *Crown 8vo Series.*

- Loving Counsels. Sermons and Addresses.** By Rev. CHARLES GARRETT.
- Elias Power, of Ease in Zion.** By Rev. J. M. BAMFORD.
- John Cor science, of Kingseal.** By Rev. J. M. BAMFORD.
- Father Fervent.** By Rev. J. M. BAMFORD.
- High Axe, of Hephzibah.** By Rev. JOHN M. BAMFORD. Six Illustrations.
- The Man with the White Hat. The Story of an Unknown Mission.** By C. R. PARSONS. 12,000. Illustrated.

PRICE EIGHTEENPENCE. *Crown 8vo Series. (Continued.)*

- The Two Harvests. By ANNIE RYLANDS. Frontispiece.
- John Marriot's Idol; or, the Scarlet Geranium. Frontispiece.
- Millie's Disappearance, and What Came of It. By M. E. SHEPHERD. Frontispiece.
- Mother McCubbin; and other Stories. By ANNIE M. YOUNG. Illustrated.
- The Scottish Covenanters and Their Sufferings for Religious Liberty. By T. J. MACCARTNEY. Illustrated.
- Courtenay Harrison's Early Struggles. By M. E. CROWTHER.
- Great Britain and Her Queen. By A. E. KEELING. Profusely Illustrated with Portraits of Leading Men of the Period.
- Jennie and her Charges. By ANNIE RYLANDS. Frontispiece.
- The New Head Master; or, Little Speedwell's Victory. By MARGARET HAYCRAFT.
- 'Twi'xt Promise and Vow; and other Stories. By RUTH ELLIOTT.
- Siam and the Siamese. With Map and Illustrations.
- Life in a Parsonage; or, Lights and Shadows of the Itinerancy. By Dr. WITHROW.
- May's Captain. By HELEN BRISTON. Three Illustrations.
- The Little World of School. By A. RYLANDS. Three Illustrations.
- Patty Thorne's Adventures. By Mrs. H. B. PAULL. Illustrated.
- The Dairyman's Daughter. By the Rev. LEGH RICHMOND, M.A. A New Edition, with Additions, giving an Authentic Account of her Conversion, and of her connection with the Wesleyan Methodists.
- Footsteps in the Snow. By ANNIE E. COURTENAY, Author of *Tina and Beth*, etc., etc. Illustrated.
- The Beloved Prince: A Memoir of His Royal Highness the Prince Consort. By WILLIAM NICHOLS. Nineteen Illustrations.
- Drierstock: A Tale of Mission Work on the American Frontier. Three Illustrations.
- Rambles and Scrambles in The Tyrol. By Mrs. HENRY HILL. Numerous Illustrations.
- Go Work: A Book for Girls. By ANNIE FRANCES PERRAM.
- Picture Truths. Practical Lessons on the Formation of Character, from Bible Emblems and Proverbs. By JOHN TAYLOR. Thirty Illustrations.
- Those Watchful Eyes; or, Jemmy and his Friends. By EMILIE SEARCHFIELD. Frontispiece.
- The Basket of Flowers. Four Illustrations.
- Auriel, and other Stories. By RUTH ELLIOTT. Frontispiece.
- My Mission Garden. By Rev. S. LANGDON. Numerous Illustrations.
- Punchi Nona. A Story of Village Life in Ceylon. By Rev. S. LANGDON.

PRICE EIGHTEENPENCE (*Continued*).

- A Voice from the Sea; or, The Wreck of the Eglantine.  
By RUTH ELLIOTT.
- A Pledge that Redeemed Itself. By SARSON.
- In the Tropics; or, Scenes and Incidents of West Indian Life.  
By the Rev. J. MARRAT. Illustrations and Map.
- Old Daniel; or, Memoirs of a Converted Hindu. By Rev. T. HODSON. Twelve Illustrations.
- Little Abe; or, The Bishop of Berry Brow. Being the Life of Abraham Lockwood.

BY REV. RICHARD NEWTON, D.D.  
*Crown 8vo. Price Eighteenpence each.*

- |                  |  |
|------------------|--|
| Bible Animals.   | The Safe Compass and How it Points.                    |
| Bible Warnings.  | The King's Highway: Illustrations of the Commandments. |
| Bible Wonders.   | Rays from the Sun of Righteousness.                    |
| Bible Jewels.    | Heroes and Martyrs of the Reformation.                 |
| Bible Promises.  |  |
| The Great Pilot. |  |

CHEAP EDITION OF MARK GUY PEARSE'S BOOKS.

*Foolscap 8vo. Price Eighteenpence each.*

1. Daniel Quorm, and his Religious Notions. 1ST SERIES
2. Daniel Quorm, and his Religious Notions. 2ND SERIES
3. Sermons for Children.
4. Mister Horn and his Friends; or, Givers and Giving.
5. Short Stories: and other Papers.
6. 'Good Will': a Collection of Christmas Stories.
7. Simon Jasper.
8. Cornish Stories.
9. Homely Talks.
10. John Tregonoweth, Rob Rat, and The Old Miller.

UNCLE DICK'S LIBRARY OF SHILLING BOOKS.

*Foolscap 8vo. 128 pp. Cloth.*

- Uncle Dick's Legacy. By E. H. MILLER. Illustrated.
- Beatrice and Brian. By HELEN BRISTON. Three Illustrns.
- Becky and Reubie; or, the Little Street Singers. By MINA E. GOULDING. Three Illustrations.
- Gilbert Guestling; or, the Story of a Hymn Book. Illustrated.
- Guy Sylvester's Golden Year. Three Illustrations
- Left to Take Care of Themselves. By A. RYLANDS. Three Illustrations.
- Tom Fletcher's Fortunes. By Mrs. H. B. PAULL. 3 Illust.
- The Young Bankrupt, and other Stories. By Rev. JOHN COLWELL. Three Illustrations.
- The Basket of Flowers. Four Illustrations.
- Mattie and Bessie. By A. E. COURTENAY.
- Tom: A Woman's Work for Christ. By Rev. J. W. KEY-WORTH. Six Illustrations.
- The Little Disciple: The Story of his Life Told for Young Children. Six Illustrations.

PRICE ONE SHILLING (*Continued.*)

- Won Over. By Miss HELLIS. Three Illustrations.  
 Afterwards. By EMILIE SEARCHFIELD. Three Page Illustrations.  
 Poppy's Life Service. By EMILIE SEARCHFIELD. 10 Illus.  
 Davy's Friend; and other Stories. By JENNIE PERRETT.  
 The Dairyman's Daughter. By the Rev. LEGH RICHMOND,  
 M.A. A New Edition, with Additions, giving an Authentic Account of her  
 Conversion, and of her connection with the Wesleyan Methodists.  
 The Good Earl: A Sketch of the Career of the Seventh Lord  
 Shaftesbury. By Rev. EDWARD LIGHTWOOD. Illustrated.

## UNCLE FRANK'S LIBRARY OF SHILLING BOOKS.

- Skipper George Netman: A Story of Outport Methodism in  
 Newfoundland. By Rev. GEORGE J. BOND. Crown 8vo. Illustrated.  
 Pictures and Stories of the Early Christians. By A. F.  
 PERRAM. Illustrated.  
 Sketches of Early Methodism in the Black Country, and  
 the Story of Leek-Seed Chapel.  
 Matthew Windrod; or, the Methodists of Casterwell.  
 Old Daniel, a Converted Hindu. With a Description of  
 Village Life in India. By Rev. T. HODSON. Twelve page illustrations.  
 The Land of the Ghauts. Pictures of Life in Southern  
 India. Illustrated. By Rev. J. MARRAT.  
 The Poor Boy of the Class. By HELEN BRISTON. Frontispiece.  
 Rooksnest Abbey; and other Tales. By JENNIE CHAPPELL.  
 The Narrow Escape; and other Stories for the Young. By  
 W. J. FORSTER. Illustrated.  
 With Steady Aim; or, Herbert Ford's Life Work. By W.  
 J. FORSTER.  
 One too Many. By E. M. EDWARDS.  
 Under the Juniper Tree. By W. J. FORSTER.  
 Tell me a Story: Yes I will. By HELEN BRISTON.  
 My Brother Jack. By EMILIE SEARCHFIELD.  
 Recent Wanderings in Fiji. By Rev. W. REED.  
 Robert Moffat, the African Missionary. By Rev. J. MARRAT.  
 Fallen on the Field. Memorials of Rev. A. H. Clegg.  
 Memorials of Rev. George W. Baxter.

## PRICE ONE SHILLING.

- The Christianity of Jesus Christ—Is it Ours? By MARK  
 GUY PEARSE.  
 Praise: Meditations in the 103rd Psalm. By MARK GUY  
 PEARSE. 12,000.  
 Thoughts on Holiness. 58,000. By MARK GUY PEARSE.  
 Some Aspects of the Blessed Life. By M. G. PEARSE, 24,000.  
 Mischievous Foxes; or, the Little Sins that mar the  
 Christian Character. By JOHN COLWELL.  
 Joel Bulu: The Autobiography of a Native Minister in  
 the South Seas. F'cap 8vo.  
 Polished Stones from a Rough Quarry. By Mrs. HUTCHEON.  
 Recollections of Methodist Worthies. Fcap. 8vo.  
 A Bible Woman's Story: Autobiography of M:s. COLLIER,

HELIS HEAVENWARD. *Price One Shilling.*

- The Beginning of the Christian Life. By Rev. W. L. WATKINSON. 4,000.  
 God and Nature. By Rev. N. CURNOCK, Author of 'Nature Musings,' etc.  
 Christian Childhood. By Rev. A. E. GREGORY.  
 The Word in the Heart. Notes on the Devotional Study of Holy Scripture. By Rev. W. T. DAVISON, M.A. 4,000.  
 The Coming of the King. Thoughts on the Second Advent. By Rev. J. ROBINSON GREGORY.  
 The Programme of Life. By Rev. W. L. WATKINSON.

BY MARK GUY PEARSE.

PRICE ONE SHILLING. *Royal 16mo. Cloth, gilt lettered.*

- John Tregenoweth: His Mark. Twenty-five Illustrations.  
 Rob Rat: a Story of Barge Life. Numerous Illustrations.  
 The Old Miller and his Mill. Twelve Illustrations.

PRICE NINEPENNY. *Royal 16mo. Cloth, gilt lettered.*

- Ancient Egypt: Its Monuments, Worship, and People. By the Rev. EDWARD LIGHTWOOD. Twenty-six Illustrations.  
 Vignettes from English History. From the Norman Conqueror to Henry IV. Twenty-three Illustrations.  
 Margery's Christmas Box. By RUTH ELLIOTT. Seven Illusts.  
 No Gains without Pains: a True Life for the Boys. By H. C. KNIGHT. Six Illustrations.  
 Peeps into the Far North: Chapters on Iceland, Lapland, and Greenland. By S. E. SCHOLLS. Twenty-four Illustrations.  
 Lessons from Noble Lives, and other Stories. 31 Illustrations.  
 Stories of Love and Duty. For Boys and Girls. 31 Illustrations.  
 The Railway Pioneers; or, the Story of the Stephensons, Father and Son. Fifteen Illustrations.  
 The Royal Disciple: Louisa, Queen of Prussia. By C. R. HURST. Six Illustrations.  
 Tiny Tim: a Story of London Life. Founded on Fact. By F. HORNBER. Twenty-two Illustrations.  
 'I'll Try'; or, How the Farmer's Son became a Captain. Ten Illustrations.  
 The Giants, and How to fight them. By Dr. RICHARD NEWTON. Fifteen Illustrations.  
 The Meadow Daisy. By LILLIE MONTFORT. Numerous Illustrations.  
 Robert Dawson; or, the Brave Spirit. Four Page Illusts.  
 The Tarnside Evangel. By M. A. H. Eight Illustrations.  
 The Unwelcome Baby, with other Stories of Noble Lives early Consecrated. By S. ELLEN GREGORY. Nine Illustrations.  
 Jane Hudson, the American Girl. Four Page Illustrations.  
 The Babes in the Basket; or, Daph and her Charge. Four Page Illustrations.  
 Insect Lights and Sounds. By J. R. S. CLIFFORD. Illustrated.  
 The Jew and his Tenants. By A. D. WALKER. Illustrated.  
 The History of Joseph: for the Young. By the Rev. T. CHAMBERS. Twelve Illustrations.  
 The First Year of my Life: a True Story for Young People. By ROSA CATHAY FRIEND.  
 Fiji and the Friendly Isles: Sketches of their Scenery and People. By S. E. SCHOLLS. Fifteen Illustrations.  
 The Story of a Pillow. Told for Children. Four Illustrations.

PRICE ONE SHILLING. *Imperial 32mo. Cloth, gilt lettered.*  
Abbott's Histories for the Young.

Vol. 1. Alexander the Great. Vol. 2. Alfred the Great. Vol. 3. Julius Cæsar.

PRICE NINEPENCE.

*Wonderful Lamp Series. Imperial 32mo. Cloth, gilt lettered.*

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <p>1. The Wonderful Lamp: and other Stories. By RUTH ELLIOTT. 5 Illustrations.</p> <p>2. Dick's Troubles: and How He Met Them. By RUTH ELLIOTT. 6 Illustrations.</p> <p>3. The Chat in the Meadow: and other Stories. By LILLIE MONTFORT. 6 Ills.</p> <p>4. John's Teachers: and other Stories. By LILLIE MONTFORT. 6 Illustrations.</p> <p>5. Nora Grayson's Dream: and other Stories. By LILLIE MONTFORT. 7 Illustrations.</p> <p>6. Rosa's Christmas Invitations: and other Stories. By LILLIE MONTFORT. 6 Illustrations.</p> <p>7. Ragged Jim's Last Song: and other Ballads. By EDWARD BAILEY. 8 Illustrations.</p> <p>8. Pictures from Memory. By ADELINE. 9 Illustrations.</p> <p>9. The Story of the Wreck of the 'Maria' Mail Boat. Illustrated.</p> <p>10. Passages from the Life of Heinrich Stilling. 5 page Ills.</p> <p>11. Little and Wise: The Ants, The Conies, The Locusts, and the Spiders. 12 Illustrations.</p> <p>12. Spoiling the Vines, and Fortune Telling. 8 Illustrations.</p> <p>13. The Kingly Breaker, Concerning Play, and Sowing the Seed.</p> <p>14. The Fatherly Guide, Rhoda, and Fire in the Soul.</p> <p>15. Short Sermons for Little People. By Rev T. CHAMPNESS.</p> <p>17. Mary Ashton: A True Story of Eighty Years ago. 4 Illusts.</p> | <p>18. The Little Prisoner: or, the Story of the Dauphin of France. 3 Illustrations.</p> <p>20. Mona Bell: or, Faithful in Little Things. By EDITH M. EDWARDS. 4 Illustrations.</p> <p>21. Minnie Neilson's Summer Holidays. 4 Illustrations.</p> <p>22. After Many Days; or, The Turning Point in James Power's Life. 3 Illustrations.</p> <p>23. Alfred May. By A. RYLANDS. Two coloured Illustrations.</p> <p>24. Dots and Gwinnie: A Story of Two Friendships. By A. RYLANDS. 3 Illustrations.</p> <p>25. Little Sally. By MINA E. GOULDING. 6 Illustrations.</p> <p>27. Muriel; or, the Sister Mother. 3 Illustrations.</p> <p>28. Nature's Whispers. 5 Illusts.</p> <p>29. Johnny's Work and How He did it. 5 Illustrations.</p> <p>30. Pages from a Little Girl's Life. By A. F. PERRAM. 5 Ills.</p> <p>31. The Wrens' Nest at Wrenthorpe. By A. E. KEELING. 5 Illustrations.</p> <p>32. Bernard the Little Guide and other Stories. 4 Illustrations.</p> <p>33. Little Pilgrims; or, Glimpses of Child Life. By A. M. YOUNG.</p> <p>34. The Father of Methodism; or, the Life of the Rev. J. WESLEY. By N. CURNOCK. 17 Illusts.</p> <p>35. Jesus, and other Sermons to Children. By J. V. B. SHREWSBURY.</p> |
|--|--|

JOY OF HER HOME SERIES. PRICE NINEPENCE.

*Small Crown 8vo. Cloth, gilt lettered. Illustrated.*

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <p>The Joy of Her Home. By Miss TOMLINSON. Frontispiece.</p> <p>Only a Ball. By JENNIE PERRETT. Frontispiece.</p> <p>A-Fa. The Story of a Slave Girl in China. Frontispiece.</p> <p>Little Jim; or, the Story of a Young Christian Hero. By J. CLEGG.</p> <p>Joe Webster's Mistake. By EMILIE SEARCHFIELD. 3 Illustrations.</p> | <p>Sketches from my Schoolroom. 4 Illustrations.</p> <p>The Story of an Apprenticeship. Frontispiece.</p> <p>Leaves from a Mission House in India. By Mrs. HUTCHEON.</p> <p>Open Flowers. By Mrs. HAYCRAFT.</p> <p>Broken. By EINAN.</p> <p>Uncle Ray's Choice.</p> <p>Village Chimes. By EDITH GREEVES.</p> |
|---|--|



PRICE EIGHTPENCE. *Imperial 32mo. Cloth, gilt edges.*

The whole of the *Wonderful Lamp* Series are also sold in Cloth, plain, at Eightpence. (See Page 13.)

Ancass, the Slave Preacher.  
 Archie and Nellie; What they Saw and What they Heard.  
 Bernard Palissy, the Huguenot Potter. By A. E. KERLING.  
 < Brief Description of the Principal Places mentioned in Holy Scripture.  
 Christianity Compared with Popery: A Lecture.  
 Daddy Longlegs and his White Heath Flower. By NELLIE CORNWALL.  
 Death of the Eldest Son (The).  
 Emily's Lessons; Chapters in the Life of a Young Christian.  
 Fragments for Young People.  
 Freddie Cleminson.  
 Janie: A Flower from South Africa.  
 Jesus (History of). For Children.  
 Little Nan's Victory. By A. E. COURTENAY.

Martin Luther (The Story of).  
 Precious Seed, and Little Sowers.  
 Sailor's (A) Struggles for Eternal Life.  
 Saville (Jonathan), Memoirs of. By the Rev. F. A. WEST.  
 Soon and Safe: A Short Life Well Spent.  
 The Wreck, Rescue, and Massacre: an Account of the Loss of the *Thomas King*.  
 Two Little Cousins. By KATE T. SIZER.  
 Will Brown; or, Saved at the Eleventh Hour.  
 Youthful Sufferer Glorified: A Memorial of Sarah Sands Hay.  
 Youthful Victor Crowned: A Sketch of M<sup>r</sup>. C. JONES.

#### AUNT MARGARET'S GIFT BOOKS.

PRICE SIXPENCE. *Small Crown 8vo. Cloth.*

Ancass, the Slave Preacher.  
 Bernard Palissy, the Huguenot Potter  
 A Posy of Pinks, and other Stories. By MARGARET HAYCRAFT.  
 Little Phil's Christmas Gift. By JESSIE F. ARMSTRONG.  
 Trixie, Jack, and Baby Belle. By A. S. ROWE.  
 Burden Bearers, and Travelling by Land and Water. By T. C. HEATH. 77 Illustrations.  
 How People Dress at Home and Abroad. By T. C. HEATH.  
 < Fire and Water, Candles, Lamps, etc. By T. C. HEATH.  
 Golden Jerusalem. By JENNIE PERRETT.

Faithful Rigmor and Her Grandmother. By NELLIE CORNWALL.  
 The Children of Kinlochbervie. By F. E. PERRAM.  
 Eva's Mission. By ANNIE F. PERRAM.  
 A Week of Life; A Temperance Tale. By Rev. JOHN M. BAMPFORD.  
 In the Eventide. By HARRY LEBER.  
 How Katie Kept House for a Week. By F. E. PERRAM.  
 Deborah's Trials. By Miss SEARCHFIELD.  
 Little Miss Crosspatch.  
 Daddy Longlegs and his White Heath Flower. By NELLIE CORNWALL.

PRICE FOURPENCE. *Imperial 16mo. Cloth. Ink Lettered.*

1. African Girls.  
 < 2. Book for Young Maid Servants.  
 3. Bunyan, John.  
 4. Cecil Lawrence; or, 300 Years Ago.  
 5. Children of Leyden, The. By JEANNETTE.  
 6. Deserted Nestlings, The.  
 7. Duty Called. By C. C. WINDER.  
 8. Fifth of November, The.  
 9. Hattie and Nancy.

10. Held Down; or, Why James did not Prosper.  
 11. In a Minute. By FAITH CHILDERN.  
 12. Joseph Peters, The Negro Slave.  
 13. Michael Faraday.  
 14. Nunks: or, Aunt Mary the Nursemaid.  
 15. Over the Sea and Far Away.  
 16. Street I've Lived In, A.

## PRICE FOURPENCE.

*Crown Series. 16mo. Cloth Frontispiece.*

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. A Kiss for a Blow: true Stoics about Peace and War.</li> <li>2. Louis Henrie.</li> <li>3. The Giants, and How to Fight Them.</li> <li>4. Robert Dawson.</li> <li>5. Jane Hudson.</li> <li>6. The Jewish Twins.</li> <li>7. The Book of Beasts. 35 Illust.</li> <li>8. The Book of Birds. 40 Illust.</li> <li>9. Proud in Spirit.</li> <li>10. Althea Norton.</li> <li>11. Gertrude's Bible Lesson.</li> <li>12. The Rose in the Desert.</li> <li>13. The Little Elack Hen.</li> <li>14. Martha's Hymn.</li> <li>15. Nettie Mathieson.</li> <li>16. The Prince in Disguise.</li> <li>17. The Children on the Plains.</li> <li>18. The Babes in the Basket.</li> </ol> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>19. Richard Harvey; or, Taking a Stand.</li> <li>20. Kitty King; for Little Girls.</li> <li>21. Nettie's Mission.</li> <li>22. Little Margery.</li> <li>23. Margery's City Home.</li> <li>24. The Crossing Sweeper.</li> <li>25. Rosy Conroy's Lessons.</li> <li>26. Ned Dolan's Garret.</li> <li>27. Little Henry and his Bearer.</li> <li>28. The Woodman and his Dog.</li> <li>29. Johnny; for Little Boys.</li> <li>30. Pictures and Stories.</li> <li>31. A Story of the Sea.</li> <li>32. Aunt Lizzie's Talks about Remarkable Fishes. 40 Illusts.</li> <li>33. Three Little Folks who Mind their own Business; 25 Illustrations.</li> <li>34. The Dairyman's Daughter.</li> </ol> |
|--|---|

*Enamelled Covers.*

Precious Seed, and Little Sowers.  
 Spoiling the Vines.  
 Rhoda, and Fire in the Soul.  
 The Fatherly Guide, and Fortune Telling.  
 Will Brown; or, Saved at the Eleventh Hour.

The Story of Martin Luther.  
 Little Nan's Victory.  
 Archie and Nellie: What they Saw and what they Heard.  
 Father of Methodism. Life of Rev. J. WESLEY. By N. CURNOCK.  
 Two Little Cousins. By L. SLADE

PRICE THREEPENCE. *Enamelled Covers.*

'The Ants' and 'The Conies.'  
 Concerning Play.  
 'The Kingly Breaker' and 'Sowing the Seed.'  
 'The Locusts' and 'The Spiders.'  
 Hattie and Nancy.  
 Michael Faraday.  
 Three Naturalists: Stories of Linnæus, Cuvier, and Buffon.

John Bunyan. By E. M. C.  
 Celestine; or, the Blind Woman of the Pastures.  
 Held Down; or, Why James didn't Prosper. By Rev. B. SMITH.  
 The Good Sea Captain. Life of Captain Robert Stewart.  
 "Nunks;" or, Aunt Mary the Nursemaid.

PRICE TWOPENCE. *Enamelled Covers.*

1. The Sun of Righteousness.
2. The Light of the World.
3. The Bright and Morning Star.
4. Jesus the Saviour.
5. Jesus the Way.
6. Jesus the Truth.
7. Jesus the Life.
8. Jesus the Vine.
9. The Plant of Renown.
10. Jesus the Shield.
11. Being and Doing Good.
12. Jessie Allen's Question.
13. Uncle John's Christmas Story.
14. The Pastor and the Schoolmaster.
15. Laura Gaywood.
16. In His Own Way.
17. The Blind Girl and Her Brother. By A. E. COURTENAY.

18. Kate's Rescue.
19. Annette's Testament.
20. Will Brett; or, Have you Everlasting Life.
21. A Prince and a Saviour.
22. Dickon's Dream.
23. Ethel's Talk and Letter, and the Fruit they Bore.
24. Village Treasure Trove.
25. The Singer and Sailor—John Newton.
26. The Innkeeper's Son—Whitefield.
27. A True Hero—Roger Miller.
28. The Prisoners' Friend—Howard.
29. The Boy by the Arno—Savonarola.
30. The Poor Man's Friend—Wilberforce.

*The above Twopenny Books are also sold in Packets.*

Packet No. 1, 1 to 6, 1/- No. 2, 7 to 12, 1/- No. 3, 13 to 18, 1/- No. 4, 19 to 24, 1/- No. 5, 25 to 30, 1/.

PRICE ONE PENNY. *Crown 16mo. Enamelled Covers. With Illustrations.*

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Woodman's Daughter.</li> <li>2. The Young Pilgrim.</li> <li>3. Isaac Watkin Lewis. By MARK GUY PEARSE.</li> <li>4. The History of a Green Silk Dress.</li> <li>5. The Dutch Orphan.</li> <li>6. Children Coming to Jesus.</li> <li>7. Jesus Blessing the Children.</li> <li>8. 'Under Her Wings.'</li> <li>9. 'The Scattered and Peeled Nation.</li> </ol> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>10. Jessie Morecambe and Her Playmates.</li> <li>11. City of Beautiful People.</li> <li>12. Ethel and Lily's School Treat. By R. R.</li> <li>13. Edgar's Seventh Birthday.</li> <li>14. How Little Joe Found his Father.</li> <li>15. Cyril's Summ.</li> <li>16. Johnny's Mistake.</li> </ol> |
|--|--|

Nos. 1 to 12 are sold in a Packet, price 1/-

PRICE ONE HALFPENNY.

By MARK GUY PEARSE, THOMAS CHAMPNESS, RUTH ELLIOTT, and other  
*Imperial 32mo. 16 pages. With Frontispiece.*

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The New Scholar.</li> <li>2. Is it beneath You?</li> <li>3. James Elliott; or, the Father's House.</li> <li>4. Rosa's Christmas Invitations.</li> <li>5. A Woman's Ornaments.</li> <li>6. 'Things Seen and Things not Seen.'</li> <li>7. Will you be the Last?</li> <li>8. 'After That?'</li> <li>9. Christmas; or, the Birthday of Jesus.</li> <li>10. The School Festival.</li> <li>11. John's Teachers.</li> <li>12. Whose Yoke do You Wear?</li> <li>13. The Sweet Name of Jesus.</li> <li>14. My Name; or, How shall I Know?</li> <li>15. Annie's Conversion.</li> <li>16. The Covenant Service.</li> <li>17. The Chat in the Meadow.</li> <li>18. The Wedding Garment.</li> <li>19. 'Love Covereth all Sins.'</li> <li>20. Is Lucy V—— Sincere?</li> <li>21. He Saves the Lost.</li> <li>22. The One Way.</li> <li>23. Nora Grayson's Dream.</li> <li>24. The Scripture Tickets.</li> <li>25. 'Almost a Christian.'</li> <li>26. 'Taken to Jesus.'</li> <li>27. The New Year; or, Where shall I Begin?</li> <li>28. The Book of Remembrance.</li> <li>29. 'Shall we Meet Beyond the River?'</li> </ol> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>30. Found after Many Days.</li> <li>31. Hugh Coventry's Thanksgiving.</li> <li>32. Our Easter Hymn.</li> <li>33. 'Eva's New Year's Gift.'</li> <li>34. Noble Impulses.</li> <li>35. Old Rosie. By MARK GUY PEARSE</li> <li>36. Nellie's Text Book.</li> <li>37. How Dick Fell out of the Nest.</li> <li>38. Dick's Kitten.</li> <li>39. Why Dick Fell into the River.</li> <li>40. What Dick Did with his Cake.</li> <li>41. Dick's First Theft.</li> <li>42. Dick's Revenge.</li> <li>43. Alone on the Sea.</li> <li>44. The Wonderful Lamp.</li> <li>45. Not too Young to Understand.</li> <li>46. Being a Missionary.</li> <li>47. Willie Rowland's Decision.</li> <li>48. 'Can it Mean Me?'</li> <li>49. A Little Cake. By Rev. T. CHAMP</li> <li>50. A Little Coat. Do. [NESS,</li> <li>51. A Little Cloud. Do.</li> <li>52. 'The Two Brothers' Story of a Life By MARK GUY PEARSE.</li> <li>53. Make for the Rock.</li> <li>54. Little Jennie's Prayer.</li> <li>55. Apple Blossom.</li> <li>56. A Bold Little Knight.</li> <li>57. Frue Hansen's Grandchildren.</li> <li>58. I tute Prue Tregarthen's Faith.</li> <li>59. A Leaf from Jack's Journal.</li> <li>60. Look Out for the Specks.</li> </ol> |
|--|--|

*The above Series are also sold in Packets.*

Packet No. 1 contains Nos. 1 to 24. Price 1/-

Packet No. 2 contains Nos. 25 to 48. Price 1/-

Packet No. 3 contains Nos. 49 to 60. Price 6d.

LONDON:

CHARLES H. KELLY, 2, CASTLE STREET, CITY ROAD, E.C.:

AND 66, PATERNOSTER ROW, E.C.



BX  
8495

W5 R498  
1886

UC SOUTHERN REGIONAL LIBRARY FACILITY



**A** 001 024 173 5



