## CONGERNING THE CURRICULUM Thomas C. Oden

Re: Suggestions for Curriculum Revision, especially conceraing "the relative number of Required Courses, Optional-Required Courses and Pure Elective courses".

Introduction: The Need for an Equitable Reduction of Required Courses
It has been widely observed by our faculty that the morale of our students this year seems generally lower than it should be. AIthough doubtless many factors other than curriculum frustrations may have contributed to this condition, serious conversations with students make undeniable the fact that the complex and proliferating curriculum we have devised remains the source of considerable quite resentment. Often idealized as "flexible", "tailored to the person"s needs" and highly individualistic, our curriculum has more often been experienced by cur students as rigid, labyrinthine in its complexity and often subjective in its application。

There are many things we cannot and sould not do to modify this ailuation, but some of the things we can do and should do with dispatch this year are: (1) Simplify the curriculum, making it administerable by all the facuilty instead of only the few who have special knowledge of ail its complexities, and making it more understandable to students who, like characters in a Kafka novel, often feel caught in a mase. (2) Limit the excessive quantity of courses and hours spent in required courses. (3) Bring the GSPU curriculum more into line with what other comparable seminaries are doing in corresponding areas and fields.

We have encouraged our students to think of the Graduate Seminary as a distinctive educational opportunity quite different from undergraduate studies, with special efforts made to maintain this distinction with our Phillips students. We say, "You will be given more freedom to specialize, explore your own interests", "Our graduate program will allow you to expand your own already defeloping concerns ${ }^{n}$, etc., and this is as it should be, often, however, they discover rather disappointingly less freedom for pursuing their own interests than in undergraduate study. Why? Because we persist in proliferating our required and required-optional courses to the point where only a precious fev electives are optable. Why do we do this? We rationalize that we want to "prevent overspecialization", "provide needed proficiency in every area", "distribute the curriculum", but in more cases than we are willing to admit "in wistion to other lezitimete rgasora) we are discreetly more intent on guaranteeins students in our courses than sound academic reasoning.

As I sought to indicate in connection with the report for curriculum discussion on Nov. 12 a number of undeniable facts point to the wisdom if reducing required offerings. However ambiguous certain points may renain, at least the following points made in the report are unchailengable:

1. An excessively large number of elective offerings have to be cancelied regrettably because of small enrollmentoor none at all.
2. Ths only courses heavily or even moderalely enrolled are the

- deeiciency, added proficiency and basic proficiency courses.

3. The average number of fres electives on projected curricula of curent (SPU studants is considerably less than in comparable seminaries.
4. In a large majority, perinaps more than two-thirds, of the eighteen fields in which we offer courses, we require more deficiency and proficiency houre than other semineries, according to their required course Iistings. In scarcely mere than three fiolds (HR, CT, HTS) do we appear to require less than the average.
5. Acconding to projected curricule estimated by the Enrollment and suidance Committee, most of our students should expect to have less than 20 electives, foughly a third should expect less then 10 , and हis meny as fourteen no electives whatsoever.

Although due to the complexity of our varying types of added proficiency requirements some feacures remain ambiguous, nevertheless the above ftams are indisputable sacts. If we are serfous about providing the kind of freedom and flexibility we promise to our students, the conclusion seems obvious that we must now make a concerted effort to effect an over-all reduction of these proliferating requirenents. Our catalogue states:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { You will have a wide choice to add electives : that } \\
& \text { is, courses of your own choice in any area. Usually } \\
& \text { electives will add up to about one-fourth of the } \\
& \text { total reguired for the B.D. degree. }
\end{aligned}
$$

I think we should live up to that catalogue statement. One-fourth of the total required for the B.D. degree would be twenty-two and one-half hours electives. it is abundantly clear that we are not currentiy measuring up to that general catalogue statement now.

The preceading section of this report has sought to establish clearly the reasons why our greatest curriculum need is for general and equitable reduction of roquired comses. It is not untin this noed is el early understood that the incention of the following suggestions will be truly perceived. If it is true, however, that sueh an over-all reduction is needed, then it behooves us to look most realistically and specifically at our areas and courses to detemine where outs may most appropriately be made.
I. General Suggestions for Over-all Requirement Reduction

Before dealing with specific suggestions for requirement reduction in the four basic areas, I would like respectfully to submit for the committee ${ }^{2}$ s constdoration several basic primciples, possibilities for procedure and general suggestions involving the whole curriculum.

1. If there is to be an over-al1 requirement reduction it sould be shared general. y by 21 four areas.
2. Each area should be willing to reduce at least three and not more than six hours from their cleim on required courses generally speaking if the revision is to equitable. This would involve a total reduction of 12 to 24 hours, which is about what we need to allign ourselves with other seminaries.
3. No deficiency courss should bo aceredited at more then two hourg on requipe more than three hours chassior:. If the deficiency exceeds this level. students should be asked to take the necessary work in the undergraduate school. Greek gromar should be an exception to this ruleo

40 Optionai-requitred course sequences which have no good rationate of course groupinfs shonl be eliminated. One might note especiauly the optional-required choice between courses in $\mathrm{CH} / \mathrm{HR} / \mathrm{PR} / \mathrm{CH} / \mathrm{Bc}$. What ame the reasons for grouping such courses togethar as altornatives for a requirement? Might there be better ways to prevent over-specialisation then lumplng together a conclomerate of diverse and univelsted fields zend Scme of these groupings, such as $\mathrm{HT} / \mathrm{Ph}$ ?/CI/ /Eth have never really been discussed by the faculty working in those flalds. Also wording such as "ons course in each of two of several ficlds seems unwleldy and less well-defined than our curriculum should beo
5. Since some of our faculty currently are boing asked to cerry onomously hasyy teaching loads in large required classes while othere of the faculty principally teaching alectivas frequently have a rather smail student-hour load comparabively, it seems that we may not be utilliging the potential toaching power of ow faculty to its best ability. Perhaps the Cursiculum Comnittes and the Laculty should look for means bather to utilise this potential. Porhaps one rule of thumb night be that no facul ty member should be asked to campy mope than eisht hours total of deflelency and required coursos las derined by columan io 803 pand $40 \%$ Table lo excluding wider choleg added profletency courses in uhich a choice is alicwed betwean courses in a spectited group of tields). Currently some faculty members ame belng asked to cary as much as one-sixth of the toteil curpetculvm requiped lond whereas others capry as little as one-twentylourth of the total losd.
6. Excluding deficiency and semi-deficioncy courses, no AREA should reguire more than twelve hours of regured bisic proficiency $10 r$ all students. Cuxant Iy the Biblfeal Area renulxes 12 houps, Ramitage dras $11=12$ Culture Area 12. Perhaps Area IV should constitute somathing of an exception to this mule, but currentiy it is requiring 17-18 hours plus four hours of Linited choice Homileticas thus making ronghly 21.22 hours of basic proficlancy requirements of evary student in the Lifa and Wortc Area (not counting hom. 503 and Adra. 505 which are semi-daflelencies). of a total of 58 hours of spectfice reguiroda in the curcheulum Area IV slightly more than ons-thixd of these hours are in Area IV.
7. Spocial offort shonle be dipectec tomard peducing required basice proficiency courses in fiedos where GSPU 18 requiring substentiany or noticably more then other comperable semtrarjes. Fi.elds whes perhape need to be reviewed in this consection include: Soclology of Rellgi co. Fhilosophical Theology, Administration. Church History and perhape geasraliy in Area I.
8. Fiotrs reouiting added proflciency eourses in which on ly two choices are vailaolo ghoyld ba urged to gllow wider chodce. This suggeation eccually applies only to three ile.ds: NT, of and fom.
9. Every gtor takan in curpiculum revision should proceed cazefully
 Ferimps the Curxiculim Commitee shon d revien the question of whether it is best to proceed in faculty consultation according to hroaso

Table 1. Reguirement Distribution by Fields and Areas (Current):

| PIELD | Deficiency <br> or Semi-D <br> (Asterisk <br> added <br> hours | Required <br> Basic Prof | Choice betw Two Courses | DED PRoFICI Choice bett Spacified Courses in One Fiteld | ENCY 5 <br> Choice betw <br> - Courses in Spec. Group of Fialds | Average Core Hours in Com parable Seminariea | T Total Claim on Required Curicul um (not incl. Columns or 5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{NT} \\ & \mathrm{OT} \\ & \mathrm{BTh} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\left.\begin{array}{lll} \text { NT } & 515 & (3) \\ \text { NT } & 526 & (3) \\ \text { OT } & 512 & (3) \\ \hline \end{array}\right)$ | $\begin{array}{lr} \hline \text { NT } & (3) \\ \text { NT } & (3) \\ \text { OT } & (3) \\ \text { Gín } & 3 \end{array}$ | BTh (3) |  | (8) <br> (8) <br> (-) | I. BIBLICAL 27 hours <br> (9 hours poss. minimux |
| rea | Totals: ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ \% | 12 | 12 | -3 |  | 16 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CH} \\ & \mathrm{HT} \\ & \mathrm{EC} \end{aligned}$ | CH 501 (1) HT 502 (1) | $\begin{array}{\|ccc\|} \hline \mathrm{CH} & 525 & (4) \\ \mathrm{CH} 607 / 2.4 & (3-4) \\ \mathrm{HT} & 522 & (4) \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CH (3) } \\ & \text { HT (3) } \\ & \text { Ee (3) }^{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (5) \\ & (5) \\ & (-) \end{aligned}$ | II. HERITAGE $\frac{11}{\text { hingurs }}$ |
| rea II | Totals:2 | 11-12 |  |  |  | 10 |  |
| CT <br> PWRT <br> SR <br> SR <br> Cr <br> PR <br> Eth | $\begin{gathered} \operatorname{PhT} 501(2) \\ \operatorname{PhT} 502(1) \\ \operatorname{SR} 504(1) \\ \\ \text { Eth } 501(1) \end{gathered}$ | CT 612 (3) <br> PhTCl3 (3) <br> CH 612 (3) <br> Eth512 (3) |  | SR (2-3) | $\begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{cr} & \text { (3) } \\ \operatorname{PhT} & \text { (3) } \\ & \\ \operatorname{Cr} & (3) \\ \operatorname{PR} & (3) \\ \operatorname{sth} & (3) \end{array}$ | (4) <br> (2) <br> (-) <br> (2) <br> (-) <br> (4) |  <br> HUS:AN CULTURE <br> 16. hacher <br> manymur |
| rea | II Totals: 5 | 12 |  | 2-3 |  | 12 |  |
| Hom <br> Lit <br> Pas <br> Adm <br> RE | Hom 503 (3) Adm 505 (1) | Lit 620 (3) Pas $611-12(4$ Adm $504(1)$ Adm $512(3)$ Am791-92 $(3-4$ Practicum RE $621(3)$ | Hom651/682 (4 | $\mathrm{RE} \text { (3) }$ | Lit (3) Pas (3) Adm (3) | (5) <br> (3) <br> (4) <br> (4-5) $(3-4)$ | IV. LIFE AND WORK OF THE CHURCH $\frac{24 \text { hours }}{\text { ETnI }}$ |
| Arsa IV Totals 4 |  | 17-18 | 4 | 3 |  | 20 |  |
| Over-all Totals 17 |  | **** 52 | 16 | 8 | 9 |  | 74 hourg (not incl. |



A PROPOSAL FOR CURRICULUM ADJUSTMENT
Thomas C. Oden

The following statement proceeds along similar lines and with the same basic intentions as the statement "A Proposal for Curriculum Adjustment" by Dr. E.M. Hawkins, distributed earlier to the faculty. This statement constitutes part II of an earlier paper discussing the need for an equitable reduction of required courses and general suggestions for over-all requirement reduction.

The table on the next page contains the entire proposal. This statement will be an explication and elaboration of the table. We now proceed by fields:

New Tpstament Greek courses would remain the same, although it seems questionable whether they should be regarded as "deficiency" courses, since they are regarded as such at virtually no other theological seminaries. It wuld perhaps be preferable to regard them as required basic proficiency courses and exempt students who had fulfilled the requirement. NT 515 and 526 , previously not uniformily required of gll students should be required of all. Four additional added proficiency hours, structured in any way the NT field should choose, would bring the total required NT hours for all students to 10 winich is more than the total number in the entire Area III or IV. Hopefully these four hours would be widely selective electives instead of limited to only two or three courses.

Old Tostament 512 and 513 , also previously not uniformly required of all students should be so required, giving six bastc proficiency hours to OT and no required electives.

Biblical Theology would remain as it is with three required hours.
Whereas currently the Biblical disciplines (Area I claim 9 hours minimum and 33 hours maximum of the total required curriculum, under this propesal they would have 19 hours minimum and 25 hours maximum.

Church History 501 would remain unchanged. Included in this proposal is a proposal for team teaching inter-relating three courses: CH525, CH714 and HT522. These three courses now constituting 11 hours, should be required of all students (not just Disciples in the case of CH 714), and could be offered encurrently in two semesters in four courses numbered CH525 a \& $b$, and HT522 a \& $b$, with integrated syllabi, the former for 6 hours, the latter for 4 hours, reducing the total required hours in the historical disciplines from 11 to 10. CH525a would cover the History of the Church to the Reformation, taught by Dr. Hawkins, consulting with Dr. Thomas, for 2 hours credit. CH525a would not attempt to deal extensively with theological analysis, but would be integrated at every point with HT522a, which would by taught by Dr. Thomas, consulting with Dr. Hawkins, for 2 hours credit, and would center in primary source readings and theological analysis in patristic and medieval theologians. CH522b would be a four hour course, required of all students, taught by Dr. Hawkins, covering the History of the Church since the Reformation, and especially emphasizing the origin and development of the Disciples of Christ, spending roughly one-half of the four hours on general Church history and one-half on Disciples' history. Similarly CH525b would not attempt to deal extensively with theological

$$
\text { mebo . } 0 \text { esmorit }
$$





 - Rolfowbet jxemextuper Lis-revo

 :ablols









 - taesruos bernt to ons yino of betymil zo



























- Table 2. A Proposal for Curriculum Adjustment (Oden):

| Field <br> , | $\|$Deficiency or <br> Semi -Def. (Found <br> ation) Courses. <br> Asteri sk=added <br> class hours | 2 Required Basic Proficiency (incli. Cholee betwo Courses) | 3 <br> Added <br> Proficien | Area Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NT } 503 \mathrm{Greek}(3) \\ & \text { NT } 572 \mathrm{Greek}(3) \end{aligned}$ | NT 515 $(3)$ <br> NT 526 3 <br> OT 512 $(3)$ <br> OT 513 $(3)$ <br> BTh 609 $(3)$  | $\sqrt{\}(4)}$ | I. BIBLICAL DISCIPLINES 19 minimum, 25 maximum |
| Aree | Cotals: 6** | 15 | 4 |  |
| CH | CH 501 (1) |  | $\xi(3)$ | II. HISTORICAL DISCIPLINES 13 minimum, 14 maximum |
| Arye | Totals: 1 | 10 | 3 |  |
| $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \mathrm{CT} \\ \mathrm{PhT} \\ \text { Ecum } \end{array}\right\|$ | PhT5018502 (2)* | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CT } 612(3) \\ & \text { PhT } 613 \text { (3) } \end{aligned}$ | $\}(3)$ | III. THEOLOGICAL DISCIPLINES 9 minimum, 11 maximum |
| rea I | II Totals: 2* | 5 | 3 |  |
| Eth。 <br> RR <br> CM <br> SR | Eth. 501 (1)* <br> SR 504 (2) | Eth 512 (3) <br> CM 613 (2) | $\}(3)$ | IV. SOCIO-CULTURAL DISCIPLINES <br> 8 minimum, 11 maximum |
| Area IV Totals $3^{3 \pi}$ |  | 5 | 3 |  |
| Adm <br> Hom <br> PR-Pa <br> RE <br> Lit | Adm 505 (1) <br> Hom 503 (2) |  |  | V. PARISH DISCIPLINES 22 minimum, 25 maximum |
| Area V Totals 3 |  | 19 | 3 |  |
| Over-all Totals 15 \%**** |  | 55 | 16 | 73 minimum, 86 maximum |


analyses, but wauld be integrated at every point with HT522b, designed to center in primary source readings and theological analysis in reformation and post-reformation theologiens. Dr. Thomes could participate in certain portions of CH52 3 b which deal speciffically with Disciples theology. The whole sequence of CH525a \& $b$ and HT522a \& $b$ should be well-planned and integrated by all three participants in the historical disciplines. In addition to this 10 hr . sequence, one additional 3 hour course of added proficiency would be elected by the student from Area II, the histomical disciplines.

Historical Theology would become integrated into a 10 hour sequence, as described above, with Church history.

Constructive Theology would remain unchanged.
Philosophical Theology deficiency courses (PhT $501 \& 502$ ) should be reduced to a single course with two hours accreditation (three hours classwork). PhT 613 remains unchanged. Add 3 hr . required elective in Area III.

Ecumenice, Ethics and History of Religions remain unchanged.
Christian Missions, CM 613, following Hawkins' suggestion, reduced from 3 to 2 hours, with missions receiving greater emphasis in CH525, Adm 512, and Eth 512.

Sociology of Religion, $5 R 504$ should be increased fram one to two hours credit, and Yacommended as a semi-deficiency caurse for all students lacking socioloky in their transcript. Since few theological schools, even tho se much larger and with more numerical faculty strength then ours, are currently offering full programs in sociology of religion, and since less than $10 \%$ (accordigg to recent reckonings) require it in their core programs, it seems dubious that we should have it included in our basic proficiency list required for all students.

Church Administration 505 and 511 (Ministerial leadership and Orientation) should remain unchanged, as well as Practicum, Adm 91/92. Since practicum has recently been expanded in its scope, interests and effectiveness, it seoms reasonable, following Hawkins suggestion, for Adm 512 to be reduced from 3 to 2 hours.

Homiletics offers some interesting possibilittes for possible consileration for team teaching, in connection with the Biblical disciplines and other fields. Hom 503 should remain as a deficiency course, but should be delayed (yrrhaps renumbered 603) until the basic biblical sequence is completed, which again according to Hawkins suggestion, should enable it to be adequately offered in two hors. Likewise if biblical and theological studies could presuppose Hom 651/682, sufficient background would enable these courses to be offered as three bur courses.

Pastoral Care would remain unchanged. Limited offer ings in Psychology of Religion could be absorbed into this field without damáge to actual offerings. Little is being offered currently in PR, making its status as a separate field questionable.

Religious Education perhaps should be changed to Christian Education. RE 621 would remain unchanged, but added proficiency requirements in RE specifically would be dropped.

Liturgics would remain unchanged. Three hours of added proficiency would be required/ to be chosen from among all the parish disciplines. in Area $Y$
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