Scripture: Matthew 23:16-22

Text: Mt. 23:22 -- ".he who swears by heaven swears by the throne of God, and by him who sits upon it."

Topic-Theme: Swearing to God.

Proposition: Every oath is related ultimately to God. and therefore should be kept conscientiously by the maker.

Dates written: Feb. 8-12, 1971.

Dates and places used:

Feb. 14/ 1971 - Oakland Baver, 7la.

William Color Off The SS: C. J. J. J. Sen bod the anamed of the arrows device. Tors of particular to got. constitution: who was blocked the show a kept consolentionally by the select bebergelben: soa, 5412, 1071.

## Introduction:

1. An insurance investigator returned from a recent fire, and his boss asked him what had caused the blaze.
"Friction," the investigator said

"Something rubbing together, eha; said the boss.

"Yeah," the adjuster said, "the fire was caused by rubbing a \$10,000 insurance policy against a \$7,000 house."

(from Coronet, 12-70)

2. Perhaps many insurance policies are bought on the basis of false declarations of value. The companies usually have someone inspect property that is insured, but sometimes it may

be possible that they don't do it, or make mistakes. Anyway, occasionally an "insurance fire" is reported in the papers, and, if it can be proven that

fraud and larceny were present, the greedy owner may be prosecuted, fined and perhaps jailed.

3. Jesus dealt with the issue of speaking falsely, of swearing in a way that meant little, when he spoke the words of our Scripture of today.

1. An insurance investigator ceturn od from a recent fire, and his boss essid odd bebuse bsd sees sid cox biga noiselflevented ', neiletel order. Bise belowfue end ".neert coo.off a mate of herman and and insurance policy designs a 17,000 ", eauc ( Poronet, 18-20) 2. Ference want insurance nolloies ediacations of value. When compenies the is insured, but sometimes it say be possible that they don't do bt, or wice mistrices, convey, consistently namers, and, if it can be recoven that Troud and largeny word prosont, the meedy owner may be proceeded, "ined . holder anarras che without the physician was the

- These words are known by some as the "Third Woe" of Jesus, or the third wxxxixx rebuke and warning to the scribes and Pharisees about various areas of their practice and conduct.
- He was speaking of swaring, or making oaths, of declaring solemnly with the intent to be believed. Let us
- I. Review the Scripture, Matthew 23: 16-22, to be familiar with what Jesus is recorded as saying along these lines. Then we will think about its application to us and our living, although we may do some of that as part of our review.
- time and of some **xeen**turies before with tried to prevent people from making any oaths or vows whatever, since oaths should be taken advisedly and observed religiously. If oaths were made, they sought to make them sacred and ones that people would keep, by insisting that they be made in specific and proper form. Since the common people were incurably addicted

to all sorts of oaths, as people are

today, the rabbis were gradually

There would are known by some as the "This of woo" of desus, or the inind warming to the soribes and Pharisons about writing reas of their aredice and nondact.

The was arealing are sential, or making or being or the decision solves, or decision solves, level, lot us a large of the sential or th

I. Review the Peristan With whet Jens 16-22: 16-22, to be familiar With whet Jeens is recorded as eaching plant plant these limes. Then we will think excelling application to us and our living although we wiw do some of their as part of our review.

I. The Jewish redpois of Joses John Sine and of some watern and of the soul of the making and of the soul of the s

it:"

- forced to make terms with popular custom, and they therefore drew various distinctions between forms of swearing so that some types of oaths were stronger and more to be kept than others, they taught in effect.
- 2. Jesus was referring to some of these distinctions, and rebuking the scribes and Pharisees for them. in words of this Scripture of today. He said: "Woe to you, blind guides. who say, 'If any one swears by the temple, it is nothing, but if anyone swears by the gold of the temple, he is bound by his oath. ' You blind fools! For which is greater, the gold of the temple that has made the gold sacred? And you say, 'If any one swears by the altar, it is nothing; but if any one swears by the gift that is on the altar, he is bound by his w oath.' You blind men! For which is greater, the gift or the altar that makes the gift sacred? So he who swears by the xxxxxxx, swears by it and it; and he who swears by the temple swears by it and by him who dwells in

SS-OF SS 120-SZ Conciden work supported voil has the ver and more to de kept to an others, . Looks of High Tell out raislurior bon . enotionitate ased sorthes and harisees or than, in words of this Series of tollar. He said: "Toe to you, blind guines, end vd steers one vas III, vse odw comple, it is nothing, hot if anyone is bound by his oath ! You hited Cools: For which is meater, the cold blow edd obem and tand olumou odd To sac ves art . ves way bad . Loosens swears of the given, it is nothing; ents ship ond by transme one the his and is on the alter, he is bound by his w ei deide go? Indem brick mov I, dien reater, the fit on the alter that means by the xxxxxx arears by it an O MANAMARKANISH KANAMARK OVER GREEN OF elamed only advantage of the tomple al affect one bid is been it as among

- 3. Theh Jesus finished up this teaching with an admonition, with advice, by telling them what to do on this swaring business: ".he who swears by heaven, swears by the throne
  - of God and by wim who sits upon it."

    4. This is another of several sayings of Jesus which is difficult to understand. It even seems in some
- ways to be contradictory to others

  quotations of his words. In the 5th
  chapter of Matthew verses 33 37
  quote Jesus on the matter of swearing
  or making oaths or solemn promises:
  "I say to you, Do not swear at all,
  either by heaven, for it is the throne
  of God, or by earth,.." etc.. He had
  - indicating that one should not swear by anthing, and ended, "Let what you say be simply 'Yes' or 'No'; anything more than this comes from evil."

some other words along these lines.

These words seem to be contradictory to the closing words of today's Scripture, but really they are not.

of Jesus about swearing oaths, they seem to reveal a consistent attitude and philosophy, which he would have

23-26: ES world. 1 to the heat total sugar, does if differentiation and rate on discoul ob of tell waller will to our colves ody od." assertent sufficients ald me swont's by heaven, sweets or the throne " Ji done sits on aid yd ins hog lo Concerns to religion si sit . once of emoca deve il . In a recome of of the all all all of the line of the universe to redden and no suset way or molding or the or soldern openions: . Ils is news don oil , wov of yes I'l citlet by heaven, for it is the throng of God, or by corth, .. " www., He had semil epodividols abnov Terio amos there doe bloods one and saidspilet unt fem tell, hence bee , midde vd enintrar : tollt an Iso'l afante on ven "Tive ment sence sind made erom dietary to the closing mords of to ave . for era year that for , equipment. in the interpret these tenering estan bigger on total travel have

his hearers and followers adopt.

1. A man was asked by his friend, "How come your son is doing so well in college?"

"Well," came the reply, "he's bald headed. He doesn't want to grow a beard. Drinking makes him sick. He's much to weak to carry a protest sign.

So the only thing left for him to do is to stay home and study."

(Herm Albright, in The American Legion Magazine, 11-70).

Things just worked out in this young student's life so that there was only one way to go, and that was the way intended when he first went to college anyway, the way that works out best for college students.

2. Jesus was trying to downway with many of the frills and details of making oaths, of making promises, and to simplify the process, so that the purpose of such declarations would be more apt to be fulfilled. That is what this third "woe" is about.

3. The teachings about making oaths to which Jesus referred were

I. A man was never better and name A. . I ded. To does to really, "he's hald search, crimding mains his stake than is to start home and study." (Hear Taright, in me. lagrican eat and tart ber on of the one call od Jnew danie en ment benne ni ven sollers anyway, the way blad creftes out best for celle a pundents. To elicab brasilist of toward of Loss, sonthoro bothism to lender satisfan to surplify the property so that the more age to be Lineal ed of the orem . Juous El Toom Lands Elnis Jane "casuistry," which is a way of saying that it is reasoning which appears plausible and pleasant but which really is false and deceptive. Jesus was pointing out the elements of falsehood.

4. He quickly showed that an oath by the sacrice on the altar is an oath by the altar, and therefore by the temple, and bherefore by the God who made heaven and earth. We might say "an oath is an oath is an oath," and"a promise is a promise is a promise." No matter how you put it, when you tell somebody else, or tell God, or promise yourself, that you're going to do something, it is a sacred utterance, and should be gept. It is sacred because the ever-present and only God of the universe is a party to any oath, any promise. Therefore it is not actually necessary to bring any wkhar names at all in to back up an oath. As Jesus says singly in the reference we've looked at in Mathhew 5, "let xxxx what you say be simply 'Yes' or 'No'; "

III. We apply Jesus teachings about

\$5\_31: FS - World +el Tonspierry, " which is a second in the same really is raise and decentive, Josus was notneting out the slements of inlsehood. of the by the alter, and knersfore by the temple, and therefore by the doc who wade beaver and earth. We wight say 'an cath is an orth is an osta," , the tree was the next of the sections was you tell sampled of ea, or tell God, or cromise yourself, that yourse soing to do gome uning, the is a snored utto ence, and should be Hept. It is agran s at bardwing and in bot vino o enr outh, end proving. There ore it is not notned a more same to brane when ob at Its to weemen waxxx too of wire ever some to the or or the reference we've looked at in ad was you dend where you say he P. To I no test tablemis lunda avaidagos ausel viliar e. . III

- oaths to our own lives and times, and find that life is more meaningful and better in quality in many ways.
  - l. The term used so widely today for stating things factually and simply is "telling things as they are." To do so sometimes is funny, sometimes tragic.
    - 2. Like a woman who went to the police to report her husband missing. She said, "He's bald and skinny, has no teeth and no personality. In fact, most of him was missing before he was!" Her picture of her husband wasn't pleasant, but that's the way she saw him, so she said it! (Wall St. Journal, 12-7-70)

3. Or the man who was asked, "Come now, own up. Who is the head of your household?"

He replied, "Well, my wife used to be, but since my daughters have grown up, we have a commission form of government." (from Illustrated Weekly of India, 8-23-70 )

It is better to tell things as they are, even tho they may seem ridiculous or tragic. Besides, people will find out anyway!

C\_\_EPS were I. The turn wood so ricely today to belling things to they are." To do -and comitores thank as assiduous -og end of them one of them to skill . lice to mort her bushend missing. he asid, "Lots beld and skings, has no teach and no horse of its. In fact, to a decimal of the state of the back week! the pain way had alled and impended Forgues . L. Cin | 111 hisa out os , min Q. Our the use who reaceaked, 'Come mor he hand but al one . ou one , non of born of the work to the uned to one of the country of the country to the country of the count un de bate a commission form of a country to whise took to will north ", tacmo ( M-8-8-8 - M) is borten o dil things as are, w the the the last seem rithe are, or trovid, insides, peptic

- 4. There are some religious groups that take literally Jesus! words of the fifth chapter of Matthew, and their members will not swear to anything. When they are called into
- court, they refuse to take oaths on the Bible, by holding up their hand, or in any other way. They will answer questions, but they will not swear to
- them, or to anything. Are they right? Ideally, they are. A person should be so honest that his "yes" or "no" is all it takes. A person who will lie, will do it whether or not he is under oath. At least, such goes the argument. And maybe it is a right argument. But if you're going to tell the truth, why object to any serious form of telling it
  - 5. When we use the phrase "oath" or "swearing," we sometimes think of cursing and profanity. We are not here talking about that type of temper-based usage of some of the same words. We are talking only about the process of making promises and statements seem more believable

and acceptable by fortifying them with reference to sacred things, or

which might impress the hearers more?

ES-BI: ES world a to more age gone in the start of To elected tensor, allegable odes of the bar reduce to redead dille and telar, then they are called into no adding only of the for white on the the Bible, or post to their hand, or in tay other vay. Low will en i means for self to well live , amointeen then, on to on think, when there deli Liell, they bre. A person or "no" is ill it a los. A person no monthedy shade this pair this or re terof dal litte melau ei od ten el li e yed bed .incours . odi seo. certain I du Januarie des in s coing to trill the trib, env object wino words, we are this only no provided homons of contractor site

- God. We would feel that Jesus is on the right track: any oath, and promise, and statement, should be truthful, and If it is a statement of intent, the intent should be carried out to the best of ones ability.

  God is present, God hears, everything
  - God is present. God hears, everything we say, and an oath, swearing, a promise, is sacred, wowever and whenever it is made. It should be kept

## Conclusion:

- l. To put it another way, Jesus was really saying that whenever you say or promise something, you are making an oath, are putting yourself on the line to be believed, are making a statement to God, and you should do so truthfully and with every intent of carrying out all or any promises.
- 2. To put it in the terms of our sermon topic, whenever you state anything as the truth, you are "swearing to God," however you word it. When you speak, people should be able to believe you because they have always found you trustworthy. If that is not their experience with you, they'r

not very likely to believe and trust

SN-81:75 worden no of erreat Jant for bluow ow .bor the right track; out outh, and onemiso, and statement, should be truth-Cul, we that is a sustement of takent the takent the intent spould be corried out to the mat of ones soility. nidatione and for the everythin promise, is sain, however and when ever it is tode. a decide Library L. To pot it another way, Jacus ugy devended in it rejuce vilet es ser or provide some thing, you are Tornior pottage and dico as anishm on the line to be believed, are makin bloods pov les . of of themed its s Two to error of hi bi two of . S ermon topic, the naver you excle any to Jot," deserge you were it, to ben of elds ad flugge elded 'y egs upy bolievo you tocause they ever large of the tart is not their to the tree to the t term had over to be term bad truet

- you more because you put the statement in some facey oath or form. You're either trustworthy or you're not.
  - 3. Yes, we know that in court you are subject to xxxxx punishment if it can be proven that you lie under oath. We realize that this custom stems from the semi-religious, super-
- stituous feeling that when one promises in the name of God or on the Bible, the promise is more binding, and if broken the punishment will be more severe. But you would have a hard time proving that such is so. We have to revert to the statement that either a person is a person of truth and trustworthiness, or he is not. If he is, his word is to be be-
- not. If he is, his word is to be believed whenever he gives it. If he is not, his oath, however worded, is quite suspect.
  - 4. The meaning of our Scripture, Christ's teaching on oaths and swearing, seems to me simply to mean:
    whenever you say something you are

"swearing to God." Your word is sacred! Keep it true and trustworth; every time.

23-36-72 Wer 4 enlyov . mgo? so dise Vone? ence ni 3. les, we law the far to court vou to the movement that you lie one of morns , som tet [on\_fres eds mot] smale blole, the promise is more binding. ed Illu treeds bus old redood it has a even blow bor just . enemes even not did noting that such is so. truth and trus worthings, or no is not. If we is, with word is to be beed il . Ti toyl od gevenody bevoli oot, his oad , however worded, is . The escuting of our fortunes, Thist's teachtag on orths and sweening, seems to makelenly to meen: with "sweering to god, " for word is ins cond it good