China Evangelical Seminary
Box 2800, Shihlin
No. 15, Iane 5, Hsing Fu St.
Taipei 111, Taiwan, ROC
May 17,1973

six fent befor I . Tel os fi mori strough

Dr. Delbert Rose delicated and the one belief to the second of the will be with the control of the best and the will be with the control of the best and the will be the second of the best and the best

Dear Dr. Rogse:

Thank you for your letter of sometime ago, as also for the copy of the 1973 Wesleyan Theological Journal. Of course it will take quite sometime for the package of Journals sent surface mail to reach Taiwan- about two months usually, but sometimes longer.

I am well pleased with the Journal. The print is good and clear and so far as I have read the proofing appears to be well done. I was a bit disappointed that the membership list was omitted from this number. We had thought this to be a very fine feature of the Journal. Last year's list could have been photographed without the necessity of resetting that type, and then a supplementary list of the new members added. However, there were doubtless justifyable reasons for omitting this item. I hope it can be reinstated newxt year, however. This is a great help in identifying the membership. I see that we now have about 450 members. This is encouraging, especially in the face of the fact that following the 1969 Convention several predicted the demise of the society.

I have read several of the articles with pleasure and profit. I am especially appreciative of Dr. Blaney's article on "St. Paul's Posture on Speaking in Unknown Tongues." I note that he agrees well with my own position as expressed in my commentary on First Corinthians ( WBC, Vol. V), and my much more extensive treatment of this subject in my new book on The Person and Work of the Holy Spirit. I consider this issue to be one of the most threatening to the identity of the holiness movement extant today. I am greatly alarmed at the softened attitude and position of some of our leaders in the Wesleyan movement on this issue today, and this goes for some of the leaders in my own denomination, The Wesleyan Church. Why the dikes have not been raised and strengthened against this pagan error by scholars and leaders in the Wesleyan oriented churches today I cannot understand. This is not to read the Pentecostals out of the Kingdon, but it is an outcry against the pagan, unscriptural doctrine and practice of the so-called unknown tongue. I am strongly of the opinion that, in addition to the ignorance of what the Scriptures teach on this subject, many are yielding to the social pressure of the emotional floodtide of this aberrant practice. We should not forget that the Quakers at one time "quaked," the Methodist "jerked; and the Shakers " shook." The "quakes; "" jerks," and "shakes," were no essential part of whatever genuine religious experience they had. I am thoroughly disqusted with the misleading manner in which Vinson Synan slants and even perverts the facts in his book, The Holiness Pentecostal Movement ( Eerdmans, 1971). After admitting, as I have held for a long time, that the earliest manifestations of the socalled "unknown tongues speaking" occurred with the pagan Mormons, and among the Shakers, he ten attempts to make this practice an outgrowth of Methodism and the Holiness Movement in America. One might as logically trace Methodism to Mormonism in America. As an historian of the Holiness Movement in the USA I should appreciate your reactions to Isnan's thesis. His oft repeated, misleading expression " Pentecostal like" manifestations imply identity. Though

Blaney's treatment is very brief, I consider it to be very sound. I would only question his statement that phonon is used by Paul as a word for languages, especially in I Corinthians 14:7 and 8. But this is quite incidental. His interpretation is perfectly sound. Dr. William Greathouse has a very sound definitive article on this issue, entitled " Who if the Holy Spirit?" in the Herald of Holiness, May 10, 1972. Doubtless you have seen this. Enough for the present on this subject!

I trust you had a good CHA Convention at Portland. I have had no reports from it so far. I noted that Richard Taylor was to deal with the "tongues" issue there. Taylor and I are well in agreement on this issue. I hope he did a good job of it there.

I am pleased to report that Mrs. Carter is making a very satisfactory recovery from her surgery and is getting on well, for which we are most grateful to the Lord.

I have read several of the articles with pleasure and profit. I am especially appreciative of Or, Claney's article on " St. faul' with my own position as expressed in my commentary on First Corinta-

iang ( WBC, Vol. V), and my much more excensive treatment of this subject in my new book on the Person and Vork of the Holy pirit.

ent to emos not sees sint bur , yroot eussi sint no inemevom negelset leaders in my own demonination, The Wesleyan Church. Why the dikes neve not been raised and evrenethened against this pagen ernor by

semolars and leaders in the wesleyah oriented churches today I can not understand. This is not to read the Partequetals out of the finedon, but it is an outchy against the parame, unsamiptured doctrin and practice of the so-craited unknown tongue. I am strongly of the opinion that, in addition to the immersues of what the deriptures teach on this subject, many are viciding to the social pressure of teach on this subject, many are viciding to the social pressure of

The esption I floodtide of this aberrant practice, a should not for the esption I floodtide of this aberrant practice, a should not for ethic the camers at one time "character," the eshediet "jerked," and "character," and "character," were no escential part of whatever consine religious experience they had a thoroughly disquered with the misleading manner in which vincous types along the Holinger synan along along the Holings

entecosta i pyenent ( peremens, 1971). After admitting, as I

held for a long time, that the sarifest manifestations of the so-called "whknown wonders speaking" occurred with the regan wormons, and among the tracers, he ten attempts to make this proctice an

might as locionly tree Methodism to Mormoniam in America. Was an

your resctions to isn't thesis. His oft repeated misleading ex-

dught this to be a very fine feature of the

senob flew ed of anseads anifood cordially

Chas. W. Carter necessity of resetting that type, and then a supplementary list of necessity of resetting that type, and then a supplementary list of the new members adoed, nowever, then it on the reinstituted new to vear, however, this is a great help in identifying the remains, year, however, this is a great help in identifying the remains, see that we now to be about 450 members. Inis is enour mine, expectably in the fact the fact that John wing the 1909 convention